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OBJECTIVES:

It is the objective of this project to bring quality and quantity together to allow the forces of market value to influence evaluation of winter and spring wheat varieties under varying cropping conditions in northern Montana.

RESULTS:

Average annual PNW quotes for Hard Red Winter wheat at 10, 11, 12, and 13 percent protein for the 10-year period 2006-2015 are graphed in Figure 1. The PNW annual market averages for the same period for Dark Northern Spring wheat at 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 percent protein are graphed in Figure 2. In 2010 bids were no longer available for Dark Northern Spring wheat at 12 percent protein, and bids at 16 percent protein became available. Both graphs include values along the top axis reflecting the average annual dollar per bushel ($/bu) price spread between the minimum and maximum protein levels for which quotes were consistently offered. It should be noted that during 2009 and even more so during 2008, exporters were not issuing bids for certain days (sometimes entire months) and for certain protein levels. Thus, fewer values make up the average annual quotes for those two years, and reported protein levels shifted in 2010 for the Dark Northern Spring. Historical daily bids issued were once available the Montana Wheat and Barley Committee website, however, as of June 30, 2015 Montana Wheat and Barley discontinued reporting the daily market price. Current daily bid data is now accessible at the USDA website:

https://www.marketnews.usda.gov/mnp/ls-home

Analyses span the maximum number of calendar years, up to 10, for which data exists for a specific location and variety set. Figures marked with an asterisk (*) denote those for which a reduced number of data years were used in the analyses for purposes of including new or otherwise popular variety releases having fewer data years available. In contrast to the ‘Comparable Average’ method of comparing varietal performance, graphs in this report reflect only analyses where each variety shown was actually grown at that particular location during all years listed. Thus, values included are ‘actual’ in terms of agronomic yield and associated gross return based on protein content and average annual market performance for each year.

It must be kept in mind that in addition to the influence of price variability; crop fertility, plant available water, and numerous other factors can dramatically affect gross dollar return. All trials included were fertilized. No attempt has been made to consider fertilizer or other input costs and their subsequent effect on ‘net’ return because of highly variable and unique producer practices. Plant available water estimates and soil fertility data are available for most of these studies. Climatic and nursery management data details for each off-station trial featured here are included with the associated agronomic evaluations in a separate report. Most Havre data, collected both on-station and off-station, is represented by a minimum ‘applied’ nitrogen rate of 100 lbs actual N/ac since 2012, and 70 lbs actual N/ac in prior years. It should be further understood that management plays a key role in affecting dollar return, be it associated with enhanced product quality, quantity or shrewd marketing skills.
'Gross Dollar Return' comparisons are graphically presented in Figures 3 through 9 reflecting research plot response data for the locations and years below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure No.</th>
<th>Cropping System &amp; Crop</th>
<th>Research Location</th>
<th>No. of Varieties Included</th>
<th>No. of Data Years Included</th>
<th>No. of Calendar Years Spanned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*3a</td>
<td>Flw-WW</td>
<td>NARC-Havre</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3b</td>
<td>Flw-WW</td>
<td>NARC-Havre</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3c</td>
<td>Flw-WW</td>
<td>NARC-Havre</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*4</td>
<td>Flw-WW</td>
<td>Turner</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*4a</td>
<td>Flw-WW</td>
<td>Turner</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Flw-WW</td>
<td>Loma</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*5a</td>
<td>Flw-WW</td>
<td>Loma</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*5b</td>
<td>Flw-WW</td>
<td>Loma</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*5c</td>
<td>Flw-WW</td>
<td>Loma</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Flw-SW</td>
<td>NARC-Havre</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*6a</td>
<td>Flw-SW</td>
<td>NARC-Havre</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*6b</td>
<td>Flw-SW</td>
<td>NARC-Havre</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*6c</td>
<td>Flw-SW</td>
<td>NARC-Havre</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Flw-SW</td>
<td>Turner</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*7a</td>
<td>Flw-SW</td>
<td>Turner</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*7b</td>
<td>Flw-SW</td>
<td>Turner</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*7c</td>
<td>Flw-SW</td>
<td>Turner</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*8</td>
<td>Flw-SW</td>
<td>Loma</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Flw-SW</td>
<td>Loring</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*9a</td>
<td>Flw-SW</td>
<td>Loring</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*9b</td>
<td>Flw-SW</td>
<td>Loring</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*9c</td>
<td>Flw-SW</td>
<td>Loring</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUMMARY:**

Producers are well aware of the impact protein premiums can have on overall market value, but are troubled by the fact that the market has generally not been consistent in terms of rewarding growers for producing high quality wheat. The potential for discount associated with low quality has likely had more bearing on production management than have positive incentives in the form of premiums for quality above average. In the past decade, average annual premiums for 10-13 percent protein winter wheat and 13-16 percent protein spring wheat have varied from as little as $0.06 to as much as $1.16 per point increase in protein per bushel. Producers have encouraged researchers to evaluate potential new practices in terms of dollars and cents. Such is never easy; and this particular effort toward quantifying wheat variety performance on the basis of total dollar return was no exception.

For each research location, a multi-year, average gross market value per acre was determined for selected varieties. Such values were based on gross return for actual yield at the lowest consistently quoted protein level plus added gross return for protein premium, if any. The sum of the two values then represents the gross return per acre in a given market year. Calculations were made for each year the varieties were under evaluation at a particular location. The values were then tested via analysis of variance with data years as replications.

It should be noted that the current procedure affords no mechanism for appropriate adjustment of gross return where protein content is either below what was termed as "minimum quoted" (10 percent for winter wheat and 13 percent for spring wheat), or above that termed as "maximum quoted" (13 percent for winter wheat and 16 percent for spring wheat). Thus, discounts for protein below the minimum quoted, or added premiums sometimes available for protein above normal quote maximums, cannot be reflected in these data. Due to fertilization, situations where protein levels were below minimum are rare in these research databases. However, situations where protein exceeded the maximum level for which market quotes were available may be common in years of low yield associated with drought stress. Thus, in cases where proteins for ‘average protein performing’ varieties in a particular trial are at the maximum level for which a market quote exists; entries with higher protein are not benefited by additional premium as they may have been in a commercial marketing situation.
One must also remain aware that the marketing periods chosen for these analyses can have pronounced effects on the results due to obvious year differences in overall market price and premium spreads. Not unlike most crop evaluation procedures, but perhaps even more important in this case, data reliability increases with additional years of observation. At present, it would appear that a minimum of four to five years should be involved for meaningful comparison via this system, however in specific instances; three year analyses have been included to elucidate the potential of promising newly released varieties. For this 2015 report, all analyses include a minimum of three years of data.

**RECOGNITION:**

This research would not have been possible without the assistance of the following temporary hourly employees: Nathan Chapman, Kasee Clark, Rocky Kuhr, Derek Matosich, Kyla McNamara, Dakota Parsons & Kathy Wesley.
**Average Annual Market Quotes**

* ($/Bu - Hard Red Winter Wheat)
** ($/Bu - Dark Northern Spring Wheat)
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**Figure 1.**

* Average of All Market Days/Market Year

** $/Bu Difference Between 10 & 13% Protein

Quotes Courtesy of MT Wheat & Barley Committee
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**Figure 2.**

* Average of All Market Days/Market Year

** $/Bu Difference Between 12 & 15% Protein for 2006-09

** $/Bu Difference Between 13 & 16% Protein for 2010-15
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Figure 3.
MSU/AES/NARC-Havre
Ref=15-35021 P=.0011 CV=7.67%

Gross Return - Fallow Winter Wheat
Northern Ag Research Center – Havre, Montana

($ Yield / Acre at 10% Protein + Premium)

Figure 3a.
MSU/AES/NARC-Havre
Ref=15-35023 P=.1068 CV=6.62%

Gross Return - Fallow Winter Wheat
Northern Ag Research Center – Havre, Montana
5-Yr Means (2010-2015)

($ Yield / Acre at 10% Protein + Premium)
Gross Return - Fallow Winter Wheat
Northern Ag Research Center – Havre, Montana
4-Yr Means (2012-2015)

($ Yield / Acre at 10% Protein + Premium)

Figure 3b.
MSU/AES/NARC-Havre
Ref-15-35024 P=.3437 CV=8.90%
LSD (P<.05), Gross Return = $ 59.15 / ac
Prices = PNW Average Annual Market/Year

Gross Return - Fallow Winter Wheat
Northern Ag Research Center – Havre, Montana
3-Yr Means (2013-2015)

($ Yield / Acre at 10% Protein + Premium)

Figure 3c.
MSU/AES/NARC-Havre
Ref-15-35025 P=.0263 CV=8.21%
LSD (P<.05), Gross Return = $ 63.97 / ac
Prices = PNW Average Annual Market/Year
**Gross Return - Fallow Winter Wheat**  
*Cederberg Farm – Turner, Montana*  
*4-Yr Means (2011-2015)*
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($ Yield / Acre at 10% Protein + Premium)

Figure 4.
MSU/AES/NARC-Havre  
Ref=15-38511  P=.0829  CV=10.34%

LSD (P<=.05), Gross Return = $ 48.33 / ac  
Prices = PNW Average Annual Market/Year

**Gross Return - Fallow Winter Wheat**  
*Cederberg Farm – Turner, Montana*  
*3-Yr Means (2012-2015)*
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($ Yield / Acre at 10% Protein + Premium)

Figure 4a.
MSU/AES/NARC-Havre  
Ref=15-38512  P=.2012  CV=9.53%

LSD (P<=.05), Gross Return = $ 47.82 / ac  
Prices = PNW Average Annual Market/Year
Gross Return - Fallow Winter Wheat
McKeever Farm & Seed, Inc. – Loma, Montana

- CDC Falcon: $433.01
- Genou: $386.37
- Jerry: $382.85
- Rampart: $384.16
- Yellowstone: $448.14

($ Yield / Acre at 10% Protein + Premium)

Figure 5.
MSU/AES/NARC-Havre
Ref=15-38531 P=.0021 CV=10.66%

LSD (P<=.05), Gross Return = $ 39.36 / ac
Prices = PNW Average Annual Market/Year

Gross Return - Fallow Winter Wheat
McKeever Farm & Seed, Inc. – Loma, Montana

- CDC Falcon: $446.35
- Decade: $423.46
- Genou: $391.24
- Jerry: $392.78
- Rampart: $392.30
- Yellowstone: $457.03

($ Yield / Acre at 10% Protein + Premium)

Figure 5a.
MSU/AES/NARC-Havre
Ref=15-38532 P=.0141 CV=10.94%

LSD (P<=.05), Gross Return = $ 46.35 / ac
Prices = PNW Average Annual Market/Year
Gross Return - Fallow Winter Wheat
McKeever Farm & Seed, Inc. – Loma, Montana
4-Yr Means (2012-2015)

Figure 5b.
MSU/AES/NARC-Havre
Ref-15-38533 P=0.002 CV=8.39%

Gross Return - Fallow Winter Wheat
McKeever Farm & Seed, Inc. – Loma, Montana
3-Yr Means (2013-2015)

Figure 5c.
MSU/AES/NARC-Havre
Ref-15-38534 P=0.0311 CV=7.59%

LSD (P<.05), Gross Return = $ 47.30 / ac
Prices = PNW Average Annual Market/Year

LSD (P<.05), Gross Return = $ 49.64 / ac
Prices = PNW Average Annual Market/Year
Gross Return - Fallow Spring Wheat
Northern Ag Research Center – Havre, Montana

Figure 6.

Gross Return - Fallow Spring Wheat
Northern Ag Research Center – Havre, Montana
7-Yr Means (2009-2015)

Figure 6a.

LSD (P<.05), Gross Return = $ 26.84 / ac
Prices = PNW Average Annual Market/Year

Minimum Protein Quote 2006-09 was 12% & 2010-15 was 13%
Gross Return - Fallow Spring Wheat
Northern Ag Research Center – Havre, Montana
5-Yr Means (2011-2015)

Figure 6b.
MSU/AES/NARC-Havre
Ref=15-31023 P=.0001 CV=7.06%

Gross Return - Fallow Spring Wheat
Northern Ag Research Center – Havre, Montana
3-Yr Means (2013-2015)

Figure 6c.
MSU/AES/NARC-Havre
Ref=15-31024 P=.0001 CV=4.76%
Gross Return - Fallow Spring Wheat
Leon Cederberg Farm – Turner, Montana

$ Yield / Acre at Minimum Quoted Protein + Premium

Minimum Protein Quote 2006-09 was 12% & 2010-15 was 13%

Figure 7.
MSU/AES/NARC-Havre
Ref=15-99511 P=.0238 CV=10.87%

Gross Return - Fallow Spring Wheat
Leon Cederberg Farm – Turner, Montana
7-Yr Means (2008-2015)

$ Yield / Acre at Minimum Quoted Protein + Premium

Minimum Protein Quote 2008-09 was 12% & 2010-15 was 13%

Figure 7a.
MSU/AES/NARC-Havre
Ref=15-99512 P=.0090 CV=10.14%

LSD (P<.05), Gross Return = $ 27.33 / ac
Prices = PNW Average Annual Market/Year
### Gross Return - Fallow Spring Wheat

Leon Cederberg Farm – Turner, Montana

#### 5-Yr Means (2010-2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yield $s’</th>
<th>Protein $s’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choteau</td>
<td>$307.69</td>
<td>$316.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corbin</td>
<td>$273.96</td>
<td>$292.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duclair</td>
<td>$299.14</td>
<td>$306.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortuna</td>
<td>$332.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McNeal</td>
<td>$313.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mott</td>
<td>$317.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oneal</td>
<td>$338.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY Tyra</td>
<td>$324.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vida</td>
<td>$368.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 7b.**

MSU/AES/NARC-Havre

Ref=15-99513  P=.0889  CV=10.44%

LSD (P<.05), Gross Return = $41.45 / ac

Prices = PNW Average Annual Market/Year

#### Gross Return - Fallow Spring Wheat

Leon Cederberg Farm – Turner, Montana

#### 4-Yr Means (2011-2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yield $s’</th>
<th>Protein $s’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choteau</td>
<td>$313.05</td>
<td>$324.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corbin</td>
<td>$296.35</td>
<td>$317.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duclair</td>
<td>$293.99</td>
<td>$301.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortuna</td>
<td>$340.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McNeal</td>
<td>$330.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mott</td>
<td>$340.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oneal</td>
<td>$338.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reeder</td>
<td>$287.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY Tyra</td>
<td>$317.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vida</td>
<td>$368.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB Gunnison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB9879CLP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 7c.**

MSU/AES/NARC-Havre

Ref=15-99514  P=.0099  CV=8.69%

LSD (P<.05), Gross Return = $40.07 / ac

Prices = PNW Average Annual Market/Year
Figure 8.

Gross Return - Fallow Spring Wheat
McKeever Farm and Seed, Inc. – Loma, Montana
3-Yr Means (2013-2015)

- Yield $s'
- Protein $s'

Choteau $359.87
Corbin $385.35
Duclair $369.08
Fortuna $315.72
McNeal $386.68
Mott $366.91
Oneal $388.72
Reeder $386.54
SY Tyra $348.74
Vida $370.00
WB Gunnison $375.16
WB9879CLP $438.37

Minimum Protein Quote 2013-15 was 13%

Figure 9.

Gross Return - Fallow Spring Wheat
Flansaas/Lumsden Farm – Loring, Montana

- Yield $s'
- Protein $s'

Choteau $300.15
Fortuna $277.55
McNeal $295.64
Reeder $334.82
Vida $337.94

Minimum Protein Quote 2006-09 was 12% & 2010-15 was 13%
Gross Return - Fallow Spring Wheat
Flansaas/Lumsden Farm – Loring, Montana

- Choteau: $330.95
- Corbin: $345.52
- Fortuna: $306.59
- McNeal: $326.00
- Oneal: $368.08
- Reeder: $372.53
- Vida: $370.50

Yield $s' and Protein $s' at Minimum Quoted Protein + Premium

Minimum Protein Quote 2008-09 was 12% & 2010-15 was 13%

Figure 9a.
MSU/AES/NARC-Havre
Ref=15-99552 P=.0001 CV=7.38%

Gross Return - Fallow Spring Wheat
Flansaas/Lumsden Farm – Loring, Montana
6-Yr Means (2010-2015)

- Choteau: $343.03
- Corbin: $358.26
- Duclair: $359.31
- Fortuna: $320.90
- McNeal: $335.90
- Mott: $352.75
- Oneal: $382.62
- Reeder: $389.92
- SY Tyra: $355.54
- Vida: $394.62

Yield $s' and Protein $s' at Minimum Quoted Protein + Premium

Minimum Protein Quote 2010-15 was 13%

Figure 9b.
MSU/AES/NARC-Havre
Ref=15-99553 P=.0001 CV=7.29%

LSD (P<=.05), Gross Return = $ 25.75 / ac
Prices = PNW Average Annual Market/Year
Gross Return - Fallow Spring Wheat
Flansaas/Lumsden Farm – Loring, Montana
5-Yr Means (2011-2015)

Figure 9c.
MSU/AES/NARC-Havre
Ref=15-99554 P=.0001 CV=6.26%
LSD (P<.05), Gross Return = $30.47 / ac
Prices = PNW Average Annual Market/Year

Minimum Protein Quote 2011-15 was 13%

$ Yield / Acre at Minimum Quoted Protein + Premium