#### FOURTEENTH ANNUAL REPORT

1962

#### NORTHWESTERN MONTANA BRANCH

#### MONTANA AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION

Route Four

Kalispell, Montana

This report deals briefly with fiscal matters and activities and reports in detail the research work done by C. W. Roath, Superintendent with fertilizers, forages, potatoes, and farm flock investigation and by Vern R. Stewart, Associate Agronomist with fertilizers, cereals, oil seed and miscellaneous crops, and weed control.

Research work is also reported by projects in appropriate Research Committee 1962 annual reports.

		Pas No.	e
Part	I	by C. W. Roath	
Part	II	by Vern R. Stewart	

# PART I

Annual Research Report

Northwestern Montana Branch

of the

Montana Agricultural Experiment Station
Kalispell, Montana

by

C. W. Roath

Superintendent

1.75.751	VIII 4.22*	140.707	2.304	

Fiscal Projects	Page. No.
General Administration1062	2.
Physical Flant 1063	1
General Farm 1064	1
Activities	2
Research Projects	
Forages	3
Preliminary Investigations	27
Farm Flock	42

#### GENERAL ADMINISTRATION 1062

#### Staff:

C. W. Roath, Superintendent Vern R. Stewart, Associate Agronomist

Don Merkley, Associate Entomologist, received a portion of his salary from the Northwestern Montana Branch Station budget. However, since his major responsibilities were at the Western Montana Branch Station where he maintained residence, report of his work will be found in the reports of that Station.

#### Help:

Paul Boss again did the major part of the general farm work. Jeff Buller helped Paul and together they constituted the total labor force for research plot assistance. Audrey Holman, in two or three days each week, did all clerical and stemographic work.

### General Statement:

Even with the least staff time and smallest labor force in recent years no excuses are offered for the overall research accomplishments in 1962.

The 1962-63 administrative budget was for \$5113.00.

#### PHYSICAL PLANT 1063

A 1962-63 budget of \$4345.00 proved to be generally adequate for heat, light, and minor repairs and maintenance of the physical plant.

Flans have been made for drilling a domestic well and for construction of a machine shed and a forage-livestock building from insurance funds available to the Director. The well is expected to provide adequate domestic water for the first time since the Station was established. The two buildings will provide for machine and hay storage and for efficient handling of the farm flock and permit removal of the ancient red barn.

## GENERAL FARM 1064

Fall seeding of alfalfa in winter wheat stubble in strips in the dryland lease successfully established stands. Fall seeding in fallow strips was less successful due to soil movement, and blown out areas were reseeded in the spring.

Planned expansion of pasture areas provided adequately for the Station farm flock.

Announced increases in I.H.C. equipment leases will make general farm operations considerably more costly if these actually go into effect.

General Farm - Continued

The aim of general farm operations is to provide suitable conditions for research. Yields improve with good farming, however, and incidental receipts from crops and livestock help pay for research. Receipts for 1962-63 will closely approximate the \$4000.00 estimate.

The 1962-63 budget was for \$6425.00.

#### 1962 ACTIVITIES

Chief among the Station activities in 1962 was the staging of a very successful Field Day in recognition of the 100th anniversary of the Land Grant College System.

Chairman of the Advisory Committee, Harold Tutvedt, very effectively served as chairman of the Field Day committee which included all segments of the agricultural economy. A program was planned, exhibits located, transportation for exhibits furnished, publicity secured including a special issue of the Kalispell News, handbills, posters, and a parade by the Old Car Club.

Over 300 people from all over western Montana attended the Field Day program designed to acquaint attendants with the nature and extent of agricultural progress in 100 years and of the role of the Land Grant College System in this progress.

Our thanks to all who helped with or participated in this activity.

Usual activites such as issuance of the monthly letters, a progress report, talks at meetings, and participation in community and area activities such as Conservation education, fairs, and tours have been continued.

Notable among the favorable recognition received for activities was the fine speech by Congressman Arnold Olsen which was read into the Congressional Record July 20, 1962 A 5592-93.

TITLE: Pasture studies

LOCATION: Northwestern Montana Branch Station

PERSONNEL: C. W. Roath and Forage Research Committee

**DURATION: 1960 - 1965** 

OBJECTIVES: Objectives and reasons for study are those adopted by the Forage Research Committee.

1962 annual data attached.

#### RESULTS:

- A. Sanfoin performed well under dryland conditions at Creaton producing three crops for grazing and yields significantly above Neb. 50 Intermediate at the five percent level. No grass in the study improved the yield of Sanfoin.
- Sanfoin bloat hazard and palatability sheep graze Sanfoin with relish unless allowed to become too stemmy then strip off the leaves. Ewes confined to an area seeded to Sanfoin (four ewes on 1/5 acre) for several days until utilized showed no tendency to bloat.
- B. Ten yearling ewes were confined for four days to a fifty feet by sixty feet enclosure containing five Tall Fescue varieties, then removed and the refused grass cut and weighed.

It was determined that sheep don't relish fescue. Lack of agreement between plots seems to indicate that no preference was indicated.

Table A. Sanfoin Pastures - 1962. Season's yields in tons per acre at 12% moisture.

			Replie	cations			
Species or Mixt	tures	I	II	III	IV	Total	Average
Sanfoin		2.67	2.92	3.18	2.71	11.48	2.87 #
Sanfoin & Neb.	50	2.19	2.45	1.95	3.13	9.72	2.43 ***
Sanfoin & Stips		2.71	2.97	2.97	3.18	11.83	2.96 *
Stipa		.17	.12	.12	.20	.61	.15
Sanfoin & Norda	222	3.10	2.41	3.31	3.19	12.01	3.00 #
Wordan		.81	1.40	1.44	1.14	4.79	1.20
Neb. 50		1.16	1.76	.76	1.36	5.04	1.26
		ed as the					1.98
** Species	yield: check	ing signi		7 more	S.E.Z. L.S.D. L.S.D.	(.05) (.01)	1.98 .16668 .50 .68 8.41%
** Species than the Analysis	yield: check	ing signi	ficantly	7 more	S.E.Z. L.S.D. L.S.D.	(.05) (.01)	.16668 .50 .68
** Species than the Analysis of Source	yield: check of Vari	Ing signi (.05). Lance Mean Sq	ficantly	7 more	S.E.Z. L.S.D. L.S.D.	(.05) (.01)	.16668 .50 .68
** Species than the	yield: check of Var	ing signi (.05).	ficantly	·	S.E.Z. L.S.D. L.S.D.	(.05) (.01)	.16668 .50 .68

Table B . Palatability of Fescues determined by refused grass.

	Grass Resid	iue in Grams		Palatability
Variety	Rep. 1	Rep. 2	Average	Rank
Alta	70	260	165	2
Ky. 31	120	170	145	1
Goar	160	170	165	2
Ky. G 32		200	210	4
Ore. 4-36	220 165	245	205	3

TITLE: Off-station Dryland Grass Studies

LOCATION: Polson and Gvando

PERSONNEL: C. W. Roath, Forage Research Committee, Agents, and farmer cooperators

DURATION: Possibly through 1965

OBJECTIVES: Determine adaptability and productivity under Northwestern Montana conditions.

1962 annual data attached.

#### RESULTS:

- A. Intermediate and Tall wheatgrasses produced more, significant at the five percent level, than Standard Crested at Polson. Russian Wild Rye, Potomac Orchard, Meadow Foxtail, and Hopkins Timothy were lower in yield.
- B. Observations of stand, height, maturity, and yield rank 1 10 were made of grass plots seeded by the late Mr. Tice near Ovando. Intermediate and Tall Wheatgrasses and Alfalfa ranked high in yield, followed closely by Orchardgrass in this quite favorable moisture location.

Maturity was some two weeks behind that at Polson for the same varieties.

SIGNIFICANT FINDINGS: Intermediate Wheatgrass and Tall Wheatgrass demonstrate superiority under Northwestern Montana dryland conditions.

Table A . Dryland Grasses in Lake County - 1962. Tons per acre at 12%.

Replications							
Variety	I	II	III	IV	Total	Average	
Intermediate	.86	.89	.56	.64	2.95	.74 *	
Nordan Crested	.71	.29	.43.	.65	2.06	.52	
Standard Crested	.74	.28	.42	-44	1.88	-47	
Pubescent	.33	.75	.24	.43	1.75	-44	
Tall	.71	.70	.69	.60	2.70	.68 *	
Manchar Brome	.28	.71	-51	.43	1.93	.48	
Blender Wheat	.29	.31	.42	.67	1.69	.42	
Russian Wild Rye	.24	.17	.11	.28	.80	.20 1	
Potomac Orchard	.24	.20	.11	.19	.74	.19 1	
Sherman Big Blue	.48	-75	.52	.34	2.09	.52	
Meadow Foxtail	.17	.24	.17	.20	.78	.20 1	
Alta Fescue	.39	.62	.15	-39	1.55	-39	
Lincoln Brome	.57	.50	.50	.69	2.26	.57	
Hopkins Timothy	.14	.29	.05	.32	.80	.20 1	

Note: Standard Crested is used as the check. # Varieties yielding significantly more

than the check (.05).

Varieties yielding significantly less than the check (.01).

Analysis of Variance Nean Square D.F. 2.14 Replications 6.10 \*\* Varieties 13 .12795 39 .02097 Error

X ...... .43 S.E.R. ... .072 L.S.D.(.05) .21 L.S.D.(.01) .29 .07240 C.V. .... 16.90%

Table B . Dryland Grasses in single plots near Ovando - 1962.

Name	Stand	Height	Maturity	l - 10 Yield R <sup>A</sup> nk l-highest
British Perennial Rye Grass #23	few	6	heading	10
Kent Rye Grass (pasture)	few	8	headed	10
British Rye Grass #24	few	10	headed	9
Wild Russian Rye Grass	fair	12 lvs. 36 hds.	headed	6
Imported Meadow Fescue (pasture)	fair	10	boot	8
#215 Meadow Pescue (hay)	fair	16	headed	7
Timothy British #48 (hay)	good	16	boot	5
British Pasture Timothy #50	fair	6	inm	9
#21 Timothy British Hay Strain	fair	16	heading	5
Orchard Grass #20 (pasture)	good	16	heading	4
Orchard Grass #143 (pasture)	good	20	heading	3
Orchard Grass #37 (hay)	good	16	heading	4
#100 British White Clover	few	6	bloom	10

Table B . (Continued)

Name	Stand	Height	Maturity	1 - 10 Yield Rank 1-highest
British late Flowering Red Clover	some	8	inn	7
#184 Wild White Clover	few	4	bloom	10
Dorset Marl Grass Broad Red Clover	few	10	bloom	8
Meadow Foxtail	good	36	bloom	4
Creeping Meadow Foxtail	good	36	bloom	4
Meadow Foxtail	good	36	bloom	
Greenar Intermediate	good	24	boot	4
Creeping Red Fescue	good	16	heading	B
Topar Pubescent Wheat	good	18	boot	8 2 3 2
Siberian Wheatgrass	good	20	headed	3
Smitless Crested Wheat	good	26	headed	5
Latar Orchardgrass	good	28	heading	2
Russian Wild Rye	good	14 lvs.	headed	5
nassan ware nyo	Bood	26 hds.	11000000	,
Ladak Alfalfa	good	24	buds	1
Alta Fescue	good	26	headed	
Tall Wheatgrass	good	20	jointing	5 3 2
Nordan Crested Wheat	good	24	headed	2
Sodar Streambank Wheatgrass	good	6 lvs.	headed	8 .
Whitmar Beardless Wheat	good	22	headed	5
Alsike Clover	some	10	bloom	7
Poa Longifolia (Armenian Bluegrass)	good	40	headed	6
Big Bluegrass	good	28	headed	5
Canada Muegrass	good	14	headed	L
Kenland Red Clover	fair	12	blooming	6
Lethridge Orchardgrass	good	24	bloom	5
Avon Orchard	good	30	bloom	3
Creeping Red Fescue	fair	1.6	head	7
Mandan Rice (a failure) Fall Seeded '59		24	heading	6
Mandan Rice (a fair stand)	few	24	heading	76546537663
Belgian Alfalfa - Triumph DuNord	good	22	buds	3
Engels Rye Grass (pasture)	fair	4	imm	9
MerKense Red Clover	fair	12	ea bloom	
Violetta Red Clover	fair	12	ea bloom	6
Kroper hay and pasture	good	14	heading	6 6 5 8
	fair	10	headed	8
Engels Rye Grass (hay type)	fair		imm	9
English Meadow Fescue		5	bloom	10
Kent Wild White Clover	very few fair	4	inn	9
Perennial Rye Grass	TOTT	4	42000	7

TITLE: Alfalfa-Grass Mixtures for Hay

LOCATION: Lake County and Northwestern Montana Branch Station

PERSONNEL: C. W. Roath, Forage Research Committee, Agents, and farm cooperators

DURATION: Three harvest years for each study

## OBJECTIVES:

a. Determine performance and adaptability to Northwestern Montana conditions b. Develop sound recommendations based on reliable information.

1962 annual data on Tables A - D.

## RESULTS:

Hay mixtures - Lake County

Fair two cutting yields, 2.5 to 4.0 tons per acre at twelve percent moisture, were obtained in an irrigated hay mixture study on clay loam soil in Lake Co.

Vernal and Rhizoma produced more, based on four plot averages, than Ladak or any of the Ladak-grass mixtures. Great variation between plots seeded to any given entry rendered data non-significant.

PLANS: Discontinue

Bluegrass-Alfalfa Mixtures

Introduced bluegrasses seeded with alfalfa for hay produced 3.5 to 4.5 tons per acre at twelve percent moisture in two cuttings. One introduction (13703-208) produced significantly more than the orchard-alfalfa check this season. During the three year harvest period only .16 ton per acre separates the two, however.

PLANS: Discontinue

One Cutting Hay Hixtures

The objective of this work, i.e., to have mixtures mature during favorable weather for field curing, produce four tons per acre, and have one ton per acre regrowth for fall grazing, has been partially realized.

Yields of four tons in one cutting harvested July 6 were secured as also was one ton of regrowth from some entries.

PIANS: Continue to harvest these mixtures and also seed other grasses and legumes for harvest under one cutting-fall grazing management. Probably also use nitrogen mid-season to increase fall grass growth.

Table A . Hay Mixtures - Lake County in 1962. Season's yield in tons per acre

at 12% mo	isture in	two cuttin	gs.			
Species or Mixture	Rep. 1	Rep. 2	Rep. 3	Rep. 4	Total	Average
Vernal Alfalfa	4.92	3.29	3.41	3.62	15.24	3.81
Rhizoma Alfalfa	4.63	4.07	3.58	3.62	15.88	3.97
Ladak Alfalfa	3.45	2.93	2.26	3.46	12.19	3.03
Whitmar Wheatgrass	2.32	2.76	4.92	2.72	12.72	3.18
Greenar Wheatgrass	3.38	2.84	3.62	4.19	14.03	3.51
Ree Wheatgrass	3.21	3.98	3.95	3.33	14.47	3.62
Mandan 404 Brome	2.73	2.81	4.92	3.49	13.95	3.49
Manchar Brome	2.15	3.46	3.13	2.19	10.93	2.73
Lincoln Brome	3.01	1.87	4.35	4.92	14.15	3.54
Potomac Orchard	3.09	3.58	2.80	3.66	13.13	3.28
Alta Fescue	2.97	3.62	2.19	2.88	11.66	2.92
Sherman Big Blue	3.50	1.95	2.72	3.91	12.08	3.02
Reed Canary	3.13	2.72	2.11	4.27	12.23	3.06
Meadow Foxtail	2.20	2.36	2.84	2.97	10.37	2.59
Green Stipa	2.80	4.43	3.33	2.48	13.04	3.26
Tall Oatgrass	3.05	3.86	3.26	3.70	13.87	3.47
Analysis of Var	iance					3.27922
Source D.F.	Mean Sq	uare F	-			.39020
Replications 3	-35372					
Varieties 15	.56868	-		C.∀		11.89%
Error 45	.60902					

Table B. Irrigated Bluegrasses - 1962. Season's yields in tons per acre in two cuttings.

Variety	Rep. 1	Rep. 2	Rep. 3	Total	Average
P - 5731	3.77	4.08	3.23	11.08	3.69
P - 8903	4.22	4.26	3.21	11.69	3.89
P - 846	4.15	3.26	3.00	10.41	3.47
P - 15398	4.40	4.51	3.43	12.34	4.11
13949 - 410	4.48	3.85	3.15	11.48	3.83
13783 - 33	3.56	3.41	3.27	10.24	3.41
13703 - 208	4.59	4.51	4.68	13.78	4.59 *
P - 13819	4.08	3.68	3.97	11.73	3.91
14095 - 803	3.98	4.11	3.81	11.90	3.97
Sherman	4.20	4.37	3.28	11.85	3.95
Potomac	4.13	4.44	3.32	11.89	3.96
Troy	3.60	3.85	3.74	11.19	3.73
-		a abaala	**		3.88

Note: Potomac is used as the check. \* Varieties yielding significantly more than the check (.05).

63

Total

S.E.X. L.S.D.(.05).... 3.88 .194 5.00% C.V. .....

Analysis	of Vari	lance		
Source	D.F.	Mean Square	F	
Replications	2	1.24463	11.03	
Varieties	11	.28085	2.49	49
Error	22	.11287		

Table C . First cutting in tons per acre.

One cutting hays 1962 actually cut twice on July 6 and September 3 in tons per

The Charles and	-	Acres 3 mars	and the same of the same of the	moisture.	
2022	DT.	TAME LAWS	nercent.	DOINTHE.	_
Company of the Company	CO 10	Child Johnson A. John	DOM GOVERN	STATE OF STREET, STATE OF STREET, STATE OF	ж.

Species or Mixtures	Rep. 1	Rep. 2	Rep. 3	Total	Ave.
Canary and Trefoil	2.18	2.18	2.53	6.89	2.30
Canary and Mammoth	3.05	4.09	3.05	10.19	3.40
Canary and Sanfoin	3.75	3.83	4.23	11.81	3.94
Intermediate and Mammoth	4.84	4.62	5.01	14.47	4.82 ##
Intermediate and Trefoil	3.14	3.57	4.70	11.41	3.80
Intermediate and Sanfoin	4.53	4.14	3.83	12.50	4.17
Brome and Trefoil	4.14	2.79	3.14	10.07	3.36
Brome and Sanfoin	2.87	3.48	3.92	10.27	3.42
Brome and Manmoth	3.61	4.27	5.18	13.06	4.35 *

Note: Reed Canary and Mammoth is used as the check.

\* Varieties yielding significantly more than the check (.05).

\*\* Varieties yielding significantly more than the check (.01).

Analysis of Variance

Source	D.F.	Mean Square	F
Replications	2	.36508	1.27
Varieties	8	1.58311	5.50 **
Error	16	.28790	
Total	26		

Table D . Second cutting in tons per acre.

One cutting hays 1962 actually cut twice on July 6 and September 3 in tons per

Species or Mixtures	Rep. 1	Aep. 2	Rep. 3	Total	Ave.
Canary and Trefoil	.58	1.34	2.06	3.98	1.33
Canary and Mammoth	1.02	1.60	.87	3.49	1.16
Canary and Sanfoin	1.86	1.71	2.38	5.95	1.98 *
Intermediate and Mammoth	1.77	1.19	1.48	4.114	1.48
Intermediate and Trefoil	1.28	1.57	1.71	4.56	1.52
Intermediate and Sanfoin	1.97	1.86	1.86	5.69	1.90 *
Brome and Trefoil	.70	•99	1.57	3.26	1.09
Brome and Sanfoin	1.39	1.68	1.83	4.90	1.63
Brome and Manmoth	1.02	1.02	-73	2.77	.92

Note: Reed Canary and Mammoth is used as the check.

\* Varieties yielding significantly more than
the check (.05).
Analysis of Variance

Charles and the control	Agents of colonial or	Britain a an inc	
Source	D.F.	Mean Square	F
Replications	2	.23385	1.87
Varieties	8	.38624	3.10 *
Error	16	.12458	
Total	26		

X.....

S.E.X. .....

L.S.D.(.05) ...

L.S.D.(.01)..

C.V. .....

3.72851

.93

1.28 8.31%

.30978

TITLE: Alfalfa Variety Evaluation

LOCATION: Northwestern Montana Branch Station and off-station locations

PERSONNEL: C. W. Roath and Forage Research Committee

DURATION: 1953 - 1965

OBJECTIVES: Objectives and procedures are generally those accepted by the Forage Research Committee.

1962 annual data plus some summaries of completed tests are tabulated on attached pages.

#### RESULTS:

- A-B. Stands of standard irrigated and dryland alfalfa evaluation nurseries seeded in 1961 are all 90% or above except for 79.25% for Ladak in the dryland nursery.
  - C. A one cutting harvest of the irrigated alfalfa variety evaluation nursery shows significant yield differences with Vernal and Orenberg.
  - D. Stands of varieties in the standard winter hardiness nursery seeded in 1960 were reduced by winter injury. Vernal came through better than other varieties.
  - E. A one cutting harvest of varieties in the winter hardiness nursery shows Vernal to be above others in yield on the average. Data was non-significant.
  - F. A comparison of other varieties with Ladak was made from records of completed trials. Ranger was 99.7%, Rhizoma 112.6%, and Vernal 110% of Ladak in the same locations and years.

#### SIGNIFICANT FINDINGS:

Vernal alfalfa appears well adapted to, and productive in, Northwestern Montana.

Table A . Irrigated Variety Evaluation Nursery - Stand Percentages

Variety	I	II	III	IV.	Total	Average
Vernal	93	100	96	97	386	96.50
Orenberg	98	100	1.00	89	387	96.75
Rambler	97	96	88	80	361	90.25
Teton	100	94	95	94	383	95.75
Ladak	96	98	97	95	386	96.50

Table B .	Dryland	Variety	Evaluation	Nursery	-	Stand	Percentages
-----------	---------	---------	------------	---------	---	-------	-------------

Variety	I	II	III	IV	Total	Average
Vernal	95	82	100	97	374	93.50
Orenberg	94	89	95	99	377	94.25
Rambler	88	72	100	100	360	90.00
Teton	89	89	98	92	368	92.00
Ladak	53	76	100	88	317	79.25

Table C . Irrigated Alfalfas Variety Evaluation. Second Cutting.

		Replica	tions			
Variety	I	II	III	IV	Total	Average
Vernal Orenberg Rambler Teton Ladak	3.73 2.37 3.30 2.71 3.56	3.73 2.88 2.29 2.88 3.56	4.57 3.05 4.07 3.81 3.73	3.81 1.86 2.46 3.13 2.63	15.84 10.16 12.12 12.53 13.48	3.96 * 2.54 <u>1</u> 3.03 3.13 3.37

Note:	Ladak is used as the check. Varieties yielding significantly	S.E.X	.19148
	more than the check (.05).	L.S.D. (.05)	•59
1	Varieties yielding significantly less than the check (.05).	L.S.D. (.Ol)	.83 5.97%

Source	D.F.	Mean Square	F
Replications	3	1.02835	7.01 **
Varieties	4	1.07534	7.33 **
Error	12	.14666	

Table D . Percent Stand in Hardiness Nursery - 1962.

•		Replic	Replications			
Variety	I	II	III	IV	Total	Average
Lahontan Vernal Zia N. Mex. 11-1 N. Mex. 22-2	62 77 42 69 50	29 71 33 47 50	77 79 63 42 36	53 75 63 39 63	221 302 201 197 199	55.3 75.5 50.3 49.3 49.8

Table E . Winter Hardiness Alfalfa - 1962. Tons per acre from one cutting at 12% moisture.

To said or how		Replicati				
Variety	I	II	III	IA	Total	Average
Lahontan	1.95	2.54	1.69	.68	6.86	1.72
Vernal	2.46	2,20	1.86	2.12	8.64	2.16
Zia	1.27	.51	.76	1.86	4.40	1.10
N. Mex. 11-1	1.52	1.19	.76	1.10	4.57	1.14
N. Mex. 22-2	1.19	.68	1.27	1.69	4.83	1.21
Analysis o	of Vari	Lance			X	26758
Source	D.F.	Mean Square	F		L.S.D	
Parit and tone	2	31131			C.V	.18.27%
Replications Varieties	3	.84906	2.96			
Error	12	.28640	2.70			
Total	19	* 1200 Otto				

Table F. Comparison of Certain Alfalfa Varieties in Northwestern Montana.

Variety Dryland	Dryland	***************************************	Irrigat	ed		% Ladak
	2.	3.	4.	2.	Same Years	
Ladak Ranger	4.51 4.61	5.40 4.77	5.11	3.53	2.66	100.0
Rhizoma Vernal	4.90	5.39	5.84	3.79	2.96	112.6

<sup>1.</sup> Greeping Alfalfa - Creston 53-55
2. Legume Nursery - Greston 54-55
3. Intrastate Irrigated Alfalfa - 58-59
4. Ravalli County - 1960
5. Lake County Hay Mixture - 60-62

TITLE: Montana Standard Intrastate Small Seeded Legumes - Dry and Irrigated

LOCATION: Northwestern Montana Branch Station

PERSONNEL: C. W. Roath and Montana Forage Research Committee

DURATION: 1960 - 1963

OBJECTIVES: Objectivies and reasons for study are those adopted by the Forage Research Committee.

1962 annual data are tabulated on attached pages.

#### RESULTS:

- A. Yields of dryland legumes were quite exceptional and ranged from 1.9 to 4.3 tons. Tetra Alsike was high for the second year. Sanfoin, Milk Vetches, alfalfa, Alsike, and Dollard red clover were significantly above Kenland in yield.
- B. Considerable winter injury occurred in the irrigated nursery. Fortunately, however, this affected the several plots of each entry quite uniformly so that yield data was highly significant. Seven red clover varieties were above Kenland in yield.
- C. Regrowth was ranked 1 10 according to apparent forage growth one month after the hay cutting. In both nurseries Vernal alfalfa, Lakeland, Dollard and Kenland red clover, and Sanfoin ranked high in regrowth.
- D. Stands were reduced in varying degrees in the irrigated nursery to below 60% stands for Alaskland and Pennscott red clover and Vernal alfalfa.
- E. Pennscott red clover suffered the greatest stand loss by winter injury in the dryland nursery. Sickle Milk Vetch has a low stand percentage in the table, but this is an improvement over the previous year.
- F. Maturity characteristics of legumes were observed. The earliest red clovers were Alaskland and Pennscott. Last to reach the half bloom stage were Altaswede, Tomminsto, and Weibull's Resistanta red clovers. These late clovers were highest in yield when cut at one-half bloom.

PLANS: Continue harvest and observation of maturity characteristics one more year. Put late clovers with late grasses in one cutting hay study.

SIGNIFICANT FINDINGS: Nurseries contain varieties more productive and more winter hardy than Kenland.

Table A . Dryland Legumes - 1962.

		Replica				
Variety	I	II	III	IV	Total	Average
Zigzag	3.29	3.20	3.50	2.98	12.97	3.24
Pennscott	2.23	1.78	1.92	2.04	7.97	1.99
Kenland	2.54	3.04	1.46	2.43	9.47	2.37
Lakeland	2.99	3.65	2.98	3.25	12.87	3.22
Dollard	3.76	4.67	3.20	3.49	15.12	3.78 ***
Montgomery	3.15	2.87	2.49	2.59	11.10	2.78
Com. Alsike	3.50	2.52	5.22	3.57	14.81	3.70 **
Tetra Alsike	4.14	4.48	3.86	4.92	17.40	4.35 ***
Vernal Alfalfa	2.72	4.64	3.26	3.60	14.22	3.56 *
Cicer Vetch	3.31	3.13	3.83	3.90	14.17	3.54 *
Sickle Vetch	3.23	3.30	3.84	2.89	13.26	3.32 #
Astrag. semi.	3.54	3.12	2,09	1.68	10.43	2.61
Sanfoin	3.18	3.37	2.63	4.46	13.64	3.41 #

Note: Kenland is used as the check.

\* Varieties yielding significantly more than the check (.05). \*\* Varieties yielding significantly more than the check (.01).

x.....3.22 S.E.x....31321 L.S.D.(-05).90 L.S.D.(01)1.21 C.V.....9.73%

Source	D.F.	Mean Square	F
Replications Varieties Error	3 12 36	.15965 1.63062 .39240	.41 4.16 **

Table B. Irrigated small seeded legumes- 1962. Tons per acre at twelve percent moisture. Sixty square feet cut July 3, 1962.

		Replica	ations			
Variety	I	II	III	IA	Total	Average
Zigzag	2.81	4.32	2.78	3.00	12.91	3.23 ***
Pennscott	1.65	1.52	1.18	1.49	5.84	1.46
Kenland	2.35	2.08	1.71	1.21	7.35	1.84
Lakeland	2.91	2.28	2.25	2.11	9.55	2.39
Dollard	3.07	2.50	2.42	2.66	10.65	2.66 #
Altaswede	2.87	4.17	3.07	2.97	13.08	3.27
Ottio Mammoth	2.65	2.94	2.60	1.67	9.86	2.46
Weibull's Tetra Red Clover	3.09	2.48	2.69	4.37	12.63	3.16 **
Tonminsto	2.87	2.30	3.52	2.89	11.58	2.89 ##
Alaskland	1.61	1.69	1.56	1.32	6.18	1.54
Manhardy	3.81	3.44	2.78	3.59	13.62	3.40 111
Weibull's Resistanta	3.62	2.28	2.03	3.72	11.65	2.91 ##
Common Alsike	2.10	2.04	2.19	2.47	8.80	2.20
Tetra Alsike	1.62	1.92	1.55	1.94	7.03	1.76
Vernal Alfalfa	2.31	1.81	1.91	2.17	8.20	2.05
Cicer M. Vetch	1.71	1.81	1.84	2.05	7.47	1.85
Sickle M. Vetch	1.74	1.53	1.52	1.88	6.67	1.67
Sanfoin	2.29	2.34	2.49	1.88	9.00	2.25
Note: Kenland is used as the * Varieties yielding si than the check (.05).	gnifica		re	S.E.Z	(.05)	2.39 .23245 .66
** Varieties yielding si than the check (.01).	gnifica	ntly mon	re	L.S.D.	(.01)	.89 9.73 %

Source	D.F.	Mean Square	<u>F</u>
Replications Varieties Error	3 17 51	.24350 1.63986 .21613	1.13 7.59 ***

Table C . Yield rank of legume regrowth at Creston, Montana in 1962. Estimates made August 6, 1962 with one (1) the greatest.

			Irrig	ated						land		
	R	epli	catio	ns			R	epli	catio	ns		
Variety	I	II	III	IV	Total	Rank	I	II	III	IV	Total	Rank
Zigzag	7	4	4	5	20	5	5	5	4	5	19	6
Pennscott	5	3	6	3	17	4	6	6	5	6	23	7
Kenland	1	2	5	6	14	3	3	5	5	3	16	4
Lakeland	2	1	2	4	9	1	2	4	4	4	3.4	2
Dollard	4	3	4	5	16	3	3	. 3	4	5	15	3
Altaswede	5	5	4	5	19	4						
Ottio Mansmoth	5	4	4	7	20	5						
Weibull's Tetra	5	4	5	4	18	$l_{b}$						
Tomminato	4	4	3	4	15	3						
Alaskland	7	5	6	6	24	6						
Manhardy	5	5	5	5	20	5						
Weibull's Resistanta	4	3	4	5	1.6	3						
Common Alsike	8	9	8	9	34	8	9	10	8	9	36	10
Tetra Alsike	9	9	8	10	36	9	9	9	8	8	34	9
Vernal Alfalfa	1	5	3	3	12	2	1	1	1	1	4	1
Cicer Vetch	8	8	7	8	31	7	7	6	8	6	27	. 8
Sickle Vetch	9	10	8	9	36	9	9	8	9	9	35	10
Sanfoin	3	4	5	5	17	4	4	5	5	4	18	5

Table D . Irrigated Legumes - stand percentages based on five readings per plot.

	Replications								
Variety	I	II	III	IV	Total	Average			
Zigzag	71	94	96	87	348	87.00			
Pennscott	48	62	60	52	222	55.50			
Kenland	65	81	68	61	275	68.75			
Lakeland	77	95	95	78	345	86.25			
Dollard	75	85	91	80	331	82.75			
Altaswede	75	91	98	83	347	86.75			
Ottio Manmoth	57	69	83	54	263	65.75			
Weibull's Tetra	74	78	91	80	323	80.75			
Touminsto	92	90	94	94	370	92.50			
Alaskland	18	28	53	34	133	33.25			
Manhardy	85	87	93	69	334	83.50			
Weibull's Resistanta	83	74	90	88	335	83.75			
Common Alsike	67	74	74	89	304	76.00			
Tetra Alsike	71	83	80	79	313	78.25			
Vernal Alfalfa	47	46	52	67	212	53.00			
Sanfoin	67	62	71	63	263	65.75			

Table E . Dryland legumes - stand percentages based on five readings per plot.

		Replic	ations			Percent
Variety	I	II	III	IV	Total	Average
Zigzag	79	94	93	92	358	89.50
Pennscott	50	35	44	144	173	43.25
Kenland	75	43	47	93.	261	65.25
Lakeland	66	87	64	82	299	74.75
Dollard	87	100	92	65	344	86.00
Common Alsike	71	32	86	45	234	58.50
Tetra Alsike	79	90	93	89	351	87.75
Vernal Alfalfa	88	67	93 76	85	316	79.00
Sanfoin	65	46	33.	64	206	51.50
Cicer M. Vetch	45	64	51	88	248	62.00
Sickle M. Vetch	23	43.	17	31	112	28,00

Table F. Legume Maturity-1962. Date of half bloom and harvest. Tons per acre

at 12% moist	Cut	Date	Tons Per Acre	Season's Tons Per Acre
Zigzag	lst	7-3	2.71	
argaag	2nd	9-3	1.14	3.85
Pennscott	lst	6-18	.42	
remisco cc	2nd	8-6	.68	1.10
Kenland	lst	6-23	1.69	
TOI TTOIL TO	2nd	8-6	1.06	2.75
Lakeland	lst	6-25	2.29	
rand Land	2nd	8-15	1.69	3.98
Collard	lst	7-3	2.37	
702.4GE/G	2nd	8-23	1.10	3.47
lltaswede	lst	7-16	3.73	2.171
LTtaswede	2nd	9-3	.76	4.49
Indhania to Madage	lst	7-3	2.29	4.47
eibull's Tetra	2nd	9-3	1.23	3.52
Comminsto	lst	7-16	4.15	2.7~
TORRETTIS CO	2nd	9-3	.64	4.79
Maskland	lst	6-18	1.44	4.17
LASKLANG	2nd	8-6	1.52	2.96
for a language	lst	7-3	2.84	
Manhardy	2nd	9-3	.51.	3.35
t-41-27 to Desdetants	lst	7-16	4.74	2.22
Weibull's Resistanta	2nd	9-3	•93	5.67
2 42 -41	lst	625	1.36	2401
Common Alsike	2nd		0	1.36
9-1 A3	lst	7-3	2.03	21,50
letra Alsike		7-5	0	2.03
	2nd	6-30	1.57	2000
Vernal Alfalfa	lst	8-15	2.03	3.60
a	2nd	7-3	2.12	2100
Cleer Vetch	lst	9-3	.42	2.54
	2nd		2.75	6.1 714
Sanfoin	lst 2nd	6-25 8- 6	1.19	3.94

TITLE: Montana Uniform Intrastate Orchardgrass

LOCATION: Northwestern Montana Branch Station

PERSONNEL: C. W. Roath and Montana Forage Research Committee

DURATION: 1959 - 1962

OBJECTIVES: Objectives, reasons for the study, and procedures are those adopted by the Research Committee.

1962 annual data are tabulated on attached pages.

RESULTS: Winter injury greatly reduced the yield potential of all varieties and some possible more than others.

A. Dryland yields were low. Only one cutting was secured. No significance in data. Wisc. 52 and Avon highest.

B-C. Irrigated yields from two cuttings exceeded two tons in only a few varieties. One variety was below Potomac at the five percent level. Iowa 6 and Wisc. 52 highest.

D. Chinook equaled Potomac in livability in a separate nursery.

SIGNIFICANT FINDING: Data would hardly support consideration for release of a replacement of Potomac with another early variety. However, a later variety such as Pennlate which is equally as hardy and productive would be welcomed.

Table A . Dryland Orchardgrass - 1962. Tons per acre at 12% moisture.

Variety	Rep. 1	Rep. 2	Rep. 3	Rep. 4	Total	Ave.
Potomac Akaroa Aurora Commercial Iowa 1 Iowa 6 Ky. Syn. Latar Pa. Early Pa. Medium Pennlate Avon Danish Wisc. 52 Utah Syn. 2 S - 26 S - 37	Rep. 1  .75 1  .46 1  .69  .65 1  .76  .36  .41  .40 1  .70  .64  .81  1104  .83  1.31  .86  .45  .79  .70	Rep. 2  .70 .14 1 .84 .44 .72 .80 .61 .45 .37 .65 .50 .59 .54 .67 .61 .15 1 .35 1 .16 1	Rep. 3  .67 .91 .76 .89 .91 .78 .71 .42 .61 .60 .70 .86 .27 .77 .51 .37 .48 1	Rep. 4  -51 -35 1 -77 -67 1 -62 -71 -77 -65 -70 -57 -59 -79 -48 1 -73 -58 -32 1 -31 -32	Total  2.63 1.86 3.06 2.65 3.01 2.65 2.70 1.92 2.38 2.46 2.60 3.28 2.12 3.48 2.56 1.29 2.68 2.66	.66 .47 .77 .66 .68 .48 .60 .62 .65 .82 .53 .87 .64 .32 .67
S - 143 Trogdon	1.14	.65	.39	.87	3.05	.76

<sup>1</sup> Plots thought to be showing severe winter injury at time of cutting.

Continued ---

# Table A . (Continued)

Analysis	of Var	iance	
Source	D.F.	Mean Square	F
Replications	3	.06918	1.65
Varieties	18	.06755	1.61
Error	54	.04182	
Total	75		

x ... .64,526 S.E.X. .10225 L.S.D. NS G.V. ... 15.85%

Table B. Irrigated Orchardgrass - 1962. Tons per acre by cuttings on June 6th and July 23rd.

			Replica					
Variety	Cut	I	II	III	IA	Total	Ave.	
Potomac	lst	.62	1.20	1.38	1.09	4.29	1.07	
t O bombo	and	.42	.95	-79	.91	3.07	.77	1.84
Akaroa	lst	.57	.87	1.14	.80	3.38	.85	
anga os	2nd	.72	.92	1.04	-57	3.25	.81	1.6
Aurora	lst	.91	1.46	1.26	1.07	4.70	1.18	
Aurora	2nd	.69	.73	.91	.81	3.14	-79	1.9
Commercial	lst	1.22	.92	1.39	1.05	4.58	1.15	
Commercial	2nd	.87	.84	.70	.62	3.03	.76	1.9
Torre 7	lst	1.14	1.51	.87	1.28	4.80	1.20	
Iowa l		.81	.90	.72	.66	3.09	.77	1.9
PA C	2nd	1.48	1.65	1.11	.98	5.22	1.31	
Kty. Syn.	lst		.84	.79	.69	3.04	.76	2.0
* - 1	2nd	1.15	1.15	1.02	.99	4.31	1.08	~~
Latar	lst		.77	.67	.82	2.92	•73	1.8
	2nd	.66		1.42	1.14	4.97	1.24	2.00
Pa. Early	lst	1.34	1.07	.68	.77	2.97	.74	1.9
	2nd	.87	.65		1.04	4.83	1.21	2007
Pa. Med.	lst	1.19	1.55	1.05	.84	3.48	.87	2.0
	2nd	.85	.92	.87	1.00	4.50	1.13	~-0
Pennlate	lst	1.21	1.26	1.03	.78	3.39	.85	1.9
	2nd	-77	1.00	.84			1.31	2.07
Avon	lst	1.53	1.34	1.36	1.02	5.25		2.0
	2nd	.90	.66	.61	.68	2.85	.71	K U
Danish	lst	1.13	1.34	1.14	-92	4.53	1.13	2 0
	2nd	-77	.90	.61	.65	2.93	.73	1.8
Wisc. 52	lst	1.32	1.38	1.52	1.07	5.29	1.32	0.7
	2nd	-79	.82	.98	.66	3.25	.81	2.1
Utah Syn. 2	lst	.86	1.20	.87	•95	3.88	-97	
	2nd	-59	.85	.62	.90	2.96	.74	1.7
8 - 26	lst	.93	.92	.83	.89	3.57	.89	
	2nd	.64	1.06	.72	.82	3.24	.81	1.7
8 - 37	lst	.89	.91	1.13	.98	3.91	.98	
,	2nd	.59	1.09	.91	.81	3.40	.85	1.8
5 - 143	lst	.61	.97	.92	-56	3.06	-77	
	2nd	.36	.72	.69	.64	2.41	.60	1.3
Trogdon	lst	1.37	1.30	1.08	1.13	4.88	1.22	
== 0 3 ans	2nd	.58	.73	.76	.89	2.96	.74	1.9
Iowa 6	lst	1.41	1.47	1.38	1.05	5.31	1.33	
AUNG U	2nd	.93	1.06	.66	.65	3.30	.83	2.1

Table C. Irrigated Orchards - 1962. Season's yields in tons per acre at 12% moisture.

		Replic	ations			
Variety	<u>I</u>	II	III	IV	Total	Average
Potomac	1.04	2.15	2.17	2.00	7.36	1.84
Akaroa	1.29	1.79	2.18	1.37	6.63	1.66
Aurora	1.60	2.19	2.17	1.88	7.84	1.96
Commercial	2.09	1.76	2.09	1.67	7.61	1.90
Iowa 1	1.95	2.41	1.59	1.94	7.89	1.97
Kentucky Syn.	2.20	2.49	1.90	1.67	8.26	2.07
Latar	1.81	1.92	1.69	1.81	7.23	1.81
Pa. Early	2.21	1.72	2.10	1.91	7.94	1.99
Pa. Medium	2.04	2.47	1.92	1.88	8.31	2.08
Pennlate	1.98	2,26	1.87	1.78	7.89	1.97
Avon	2.43	2.10	1.97	1.70	8,20	2.05
Danish	1.90	2.24	1.75	1.57	7.46	1.86
Misc. 52	2.11	2.20	2.50	1.73	8.54	2.14
Utah Syn. 2	1.45	2.05	1.49	1.85	6.84	1.71
S - 26	1.57	1.98	1.55	1.71	6.81	1.70
8 - 37	1.48	2.00	2.04	1.79	7.31	1.83
3 - 143	-97	1.69	1.61	1.20	5.47	1.37 *
Trogdon	1.95	2.03	1.84	2.02	7.84	1.96
Iowa 6	2.34	2.53	2.04	1.70	8.61	2.15

Note: Potomac is used as the check

\* Varieties yielding significantly less than the check (.05).

Analysis of Variance

Source

D.F. Mean Square

Replications

3 .46561 6.71 \*\*

Varieties

18 .14684 2.11 \*\*

.06944

54

Varieties Error Table D . Stand data for Orchard variety evaluation 1962. Based on five readings per plot.

			ryland ication					igated ication	าย	
Variety	I	II	III	IV	Ave.	I	II	III	IA	Ave.
Chinook	99	100	96	98	98.25	98	100	99	96	98.25
Potomac	93	98	97	99	96.75	100	96	99	95	97.50

TITLE: Uniform Intrastate Wheatgrass

PROJECT NUMBER: 5022

PERSONNEL: C. W. Roath and Montana Forage Research Committee

LOCATION: Northwestern Montana Branch Station

DURATION: 1960 - 1963

OBJECTIVES: The objectives, reasons for the study, and procedure are those adopted by the Research Committee.

1962 annual data are tabulated on attached pages.

# RESULTS:

A. Irrigated yields in one cutting varied from 1.26 to 2.69 tons per acre at twelve percent moisture. Analysis indicates significance. Some varieties were lower but none higher than Neb. 50. No regrowth of consequence this season.

B. Dryland yields varied from .25 to 2.88 tons per acre at twelve percent moisture. Data highly significant. S. Dak. 20 higher in yield than Neb. 50 at one percent level.

C-D. Stands equal those during the previous season.

D. Spread is greatest for Sodar Streambank. None of the Tall varieties spread in excess of Standard Crested. Intermediate and Pubescent varieties have similar spreading tendency relatively double that of Crested since seeding in 1960 but with some variation between varieties.

E. By date of initial bloom Pubescents and Intermediates are similar in ma-

turity and most Talls ten days later.

PLANS: Put late Talls with late legumes in hay mixture study. Harvest present nurseries in 1963.

SIGNIFICANT FINDINGS: S. Dak. 20 (Oahe) outstanding as a dryland variety.

Table A . Irrigated Wheatgrasses - 1962. Tons per acre at 12% moisture. 60 square feet cut July 11.

		Replicat	tions			
Variety	I	II	III	IV	Total	Average
Whitmar	1.51	1.39	1.59	1.17	5.66	1.42 ***
Siberian P 27	2.10	1.82	2.21	1.62	7.75	1.94
Crested Standard	1.81	1.50	.87	.85	5.03	1.26 **
Fall Mandan 1422	2.67	2.17	3.03	2.44	10.31	2.58
Tall Neb. P.I. 985263	2.41	2.62	2.21	1.99	9.23	2.31
Tall S 64	2.20	2.96	2.05	1.90	9.11	2.28
Tall Alkar	2.28	2.54	2.61	1.72	9.15	2.29
Tall A 12465	2.08	1.87	3.45	2.31	9.71	2.43
Int. Amur	2.29	2.38	1.83	1.92	8.42	2.11
Int. Greenar	2.55	1.89	4.26	2.04	10.74	2.69
Int. Idaho 3	2.60	2.61	2.13	1.85	9.19	2.30
Int. Neb. 50	2.40	2.03	3.11	1.85	9.39	2.35
Int. Ree	2.41	2.68	2.67	2,48	10.24	2.56
Int. S. Dak. 20	2.18	2.58	3.23	1.97	9.96	2.49
Pub. Mandan 759	2.33	1.77	1.55	1.74	7.39	1.85
Pub. Topar	1.79	1.95	2.38	1.52	7.64	1.91
Pub. Utah 109	2.27	2.90	2.18	1.85	9.20	2.30
Streambank Sodar	1.30	1.51	1.96	.28	5.05	1.26 ***

Note:	Varieties	yielding	ed as the check significantly	less	than	S.E.Z	.21143
상당	the check Varieties the check	yielding	significantly	less	than	L.S.D.(.05) L.S.D.(.01) C.V.	.60 .80 9.94%

Source	D.F.	Mean Square	F
Replications Varieties Error	3 17 51	1.35132 .77280 .17881	7.56 ** 4.32 **

Table B . Dryland Wheatgrasses - 1962.

		Replic	ations			
Variety	<u> I</u>	II	III	IV	Total	Average
Whitmar	.70	.42	.50	.22	1.84	.46 2
Siberian P 27	1.53	1.20	1.59	1.11	5.43	1.36
Standard Crested	-57	.61	.40	1.31	2.89	.72 2
Tall Mandan 1422	1.49	1.12	1.16	.62	4.39	1.10 1
Mall Neb. P.I. 985263	1.03	-97	-59	.87	3.46	.87 2
Pall S 64	1.60	1.35	1.34	1.37	5.66	1.42
fall Alkar	1.49	1.33	1.21	1.53	5.56	1.39
Fall A 12465	1.40	1.15	1.32	1.07	4.94	1.24
Int. Amur	1.72	1.70	1.39	1.34	6.15	1.54
Int. Greenar	1.88	1.95	1.71	1.83	7.37	1.84
Int. Idaho 3	1.13	1.51	1.63	2.06	6.33	1.58
Int. Neb. 50	1.63	1.76	1.53	1.24	6.16	1.54
Int. Ree	1.81	1.71	1.98	1.87	7-37	1.84
Int. S. Dak. 20	2.48	2.62	3.17	3.25	11.52	2.88 #
Pub. Mandan 759	1.64	1.34	1.68	1.33	5.99	1.50
Pub. Topar	-74	-71	1.17	.90	3.52	.88 2
Pub. Utah 109	1.24	1.28	1.40	1.97	5.89	1.47
Streambank Sodar	.26	.24	.23	.28	1.01	.25 2
Note: Int. Neb. 50 is  Warieties yield: than the check	ing signi	ficantly :	nore	S.E.Z.	(.05)	1.33 .12526 .36
Varieties yield: than the check	ing signi (.05).				(.01)	.48 9.45%
2 Varieties yield: than the check		LAGRIDAY .	1000			

Source	D.F.	Mean Square	<u>F</u>
Replications	3	.02107	22.15 ***
Varieties	17	1.38992	
Error	51	.06276	

Table C . Stand data for irrigated wheatgrass, Creston, Montana, April 23, 1962.

Based on five readings with twenty units. Percent stand.

		Replic	cations			
Variety	I	II	III	IV	Total	Average
Whitmar	14	8	12	22	56	14
Siberian	86	86	75	69	316	79
Standard Crested	****	*****	-	****		
Mandan 1422 Tall	81	92	94	76	343	86
Neb. P.I. 985263	57	60	44	57	218	55
S 64 Tall	89	92	95	94	370	93
Alkar Tall	87	90	86	92	355	89
A 12465 Tall	93	91	94	91	369	92
Amur Intermediate	80	84	74	75	31.3	78
Greenar Intermediate	88	92	86	81	347	87
Idaho 3 Intermediate	83	72	55	70	280	70
Meb. 50 Intermediate	76	86	62	68	292	73
Ree Intermediate	89	78	72	84	323	81
S. Dak. 20 Int.	91	87	86	92	356	89
Man. 759 Pub.	72	74	79	69	294	74
Topar Pub.	80	61	78	75	293	73
Utah 109 Pub.	82	72	67	92	313	78
Sodar Streambank	95	94	63	88	340	85

Table D. Stand and spread data for dryland wheatgrass at Greston, Montana, April 20, 1962. Based on five readings with twenty units and five readings with twelve units of frame.

readin	-	25 0712	Perce	SCHOOL SPECIFICATION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1	tand	Irame.			S	pread		
	Re	plic	ation				Re	plicat				
Variety	I	II	III	IV	Total	Ave.	I	II	III	IV	Total	Ave.
Whitmar	37	41	27	32	137	34	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	16.0	4.0
Siberian	82	93	88	77	340	85	4.6	4.0	4.2	4.0	16.8	4.2
Standard Crested	17	13	23	22	75	19	5.2	4.8	4.0	4.0	18.0	4.5
Mandan 1422 Tall	72	82	84	72	310	78	4.2	5.6	4.2	4.0	18.0	4.5
Neb. P.I. 985263	43	46	19	52	160	40	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	16.2	4.1
S 64 Tall	77	88	91	79	335	84	4.0	4.4	4.0	4.0	16.4	4.1
Alkar Tall	69	77	86	75	307	77	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.0	16.4	4.1
A 12465 Tall	62	70	81.	69	282	71	4.0	4.0	4.4	4.0	16.4	4.1
Amur Int.	74	74	71	80	299	75	9.0	8.8	8.6	8.2	34.6	8.7
Greenar Int.	81	88	87	83	339	85	7.2	8.4	6.2	7.0	28.8	7.2
Idaho 3 Int.	75	82	75	78	310	78	6.4	7.4	7.4	8.2	29.4	7.4
Neb. 50 Int.	81	85	79	83	328	62	8.6	8.0	7.8	7.4	31.8	8.0
Ree Int.	72	81.	70	92	315	79	3.2	7.6	7.2	8.4	31.4	7.9
S. Dak. 20 Int.	84	86	86	88	344	86	8.0	8.4	7.6	7.2	31.2	7.8
Man. 759 Pub.	77	64	87	73	301	75	7.8	7.6	9.0	8.0	32.4	8.1
Topar Pub.	67	77	88	82	314	79	7.8	9.4	7.8	8.2	33.2	8.3
Utah 1.09	75	66	75	91	307	77	7.0	6.2	7.6	7.8	28.6	7.2
Sodar Streambank	85	84	84	86	339	85	10.6	10.2	9.4	9.6	39.8	10.0

Table E . Wheatgrass Maturity - 1962.

Variety	Stage 6-18	Rate of Bloom & Cut	Tons per Acre -
Whitmar	Headed	7-8	1.69
Siberian	Headed	7-8	1.69
Standard Crested	Headed	minute converse	*****
Mandan 1422 Tall	Heading	7-16	2.37
Neb. P.I. 985263 Tall	Boot	7-21	3.90
3 64 Tall	Boot	7-21	2.37
Alkar Tall	Boot	7-21	3.39
A 12465 Tall	Boot	7-21	4.15
Amur Int.	Heading	7-11	1.52
Greenar Int.	Heading	7-11	1.91
Idaho 3 Int.	Heading	7-11	1.61
Neb. 50 Int.	Heading	7-11	2.33
Ree Int.	Heading	7-11	1.52
S. Dak. 20 Int.	Heading	7-11	2.16
Man. 759 Pub.	Heading	7-11	1.78
Topar Pub.	Headed	7-9	1.86
Utah 109 Pub.	Heading	7-9	2.63
Sodar Streambank	Headed	6-25	1.57

Tons per acre at twelve percent moisture from nine square feet of single plot samples.

TITLE: Potato Production - Determine the Effect of Potash and Other

Fertilizers on Yield and Quality of Potatoes

PROJECT NUMBER: 5027

LOCATION: Lake and Flathead Counties

PERSONNEL: C. W. Roath, C. M. Smith, and Soils Research Committee in cooperation

with Extension personnel and potato growers

DURATION: 1962 - 1965

## OBJECTIVES:

1. Determine effects of fertilizer elements in various combinations upon yield of potatoes grown on Northwestern Montana soils

2. Determine effects upon specific gravity, hollow heart incidence, and

other measurable quality factors

 Develop sound recommendations for production of economic yields of quality potatoes.

1962 annual data is tabulated for the Lake County location in Tables A - C and the Flathead County location in Tables D - F.

#### OBSERVATIONS:

Observations were taken at the Lake County location on July 2, August 9, and August 23. On July 2 checks were noticeably light green in color and small. Those with only 24# N were light green and had fair growth. Those with only 19# P were medium dark green in color and vigorous. All four plots with 16# K were called dark green and vigorous, while all four with 184# K were called medium green and vigorous. On August 9 one hill from each treatment was dug and the tubers cut and observed for indication of hollow heart. Aside from the fact that some were more brittle than others and would split more easily, none was found. On August 23 one hill was dug in three replications of all treatments. Hollow tubers were found in three of the seventy-two plots dug.

Observations were made at the Flathead Courty location August 5 and 25. On August 5 vines on check plots and those with 225# N were noticeably smaller than on those with 75# N. Differences in color and size were much less than at the Lake County location. On August 25 vines on all checks plus some on plots receiving only 19# P and some on plots receiving 225# N were small and off-color, otherwise by vine character there was no noticeable difference. Some discoloration of vascular system was noted in tubers cut but only two hollow tubers were found in seventy-two hills dug.

# RESULIS:

Potatoes at the Lake County location were found to be varying in yield from 137 for checks to 343 hundred weight per acre for the best fertilizer treatment on a field run basis. Sorted yields varied from 133 to 305 hundred weight per acre. Analysis of variance shows all treatments to be above the checks at the one percent level. Hollow heart incidence was much lower than in the 1961 trial.

At the Flathead County location yields varied to a lesser degree from 134 to 207 hundred weight per acre field run and 108 to 158 hundred weight per acre sorted. Analysis of Variance shows most treatments to yield are above checks at the one percent level. Exceptions on a field run basis are treatments 3 and 7, both with 225# N per acre, 12 with only 19# Phosphoric Acid, and 24 with minor elements. On a sorted basis no treatment having 225# N reached significance at the one percent level. No hollow heart was found.

#### EFFECT OF TREATMENTS:

Table H shows yield increases to be due both to increase in set (number of tubers per plot) and to increase in size when compared to checks.

Numbers varied from 139 to 183 in Lake and from 121 to 158 in Flathead. Size varied from .197 pounds (3.15 ounces) to .402 pounds (6.43 ounces) in Lake and from .267 pounds (4.27 ounces) to .317 pounds (5.07 ounces) in Flathead. This is a four plot average number and size for field run tubers.

Table A. Potato Fertilizer Trial - 1962 in Lake County. Yield per acre, four

		repl	ications.	, 1/500 acre	plots,	field	run tub	ers.		
rea	tmenta			Minor		Replic	ations			
Vo.	N	P	Kel	Elements	I	II	III	IV	Total	Average
1	0	0	0	0	155.0	125.0	147.5	120.0	547.5	137
2	75	60	50	0	257.5	292.5	267.5	197.5	1015.0	254 **
3	225	60	50	0	300.0	247.5	280.0	310.0	1137.5	284 ##
4	75	180	50	0	200.0	255.0	250.0	250,0	955.0	239 ***
5	225	180	50	0	277.5	307.5	297.5	332.5	1215.0	304 ***
6	75	60	150	0	287.5	330.0	325.0	282.5	1225.0	306 **
7	225	60	150	0	320.0	307.5	305.0	330.0	1262.0	316 **
8	75	180	150	0	300.0	305.0	325.0	300.0	1230.0	308 **
9	225	180	150	0	377.5	332.5	310.0	325.0	1345.0	336 **
.0	24	120	100	0	235.0	260.0	220.0	210.0	925.0	231 ##
1	276	120	100	0	262.5	280.0	257.5	297.5	1097.5	274 **
2	150	19	100	0	285.0	315.C	265.0	312.5	1177.5	294 **
3	150	221	100	0	325.0	332.5	265.0	305.0	1227.5	307 **
4	150	1.20	16	0	327.5	240.0	300.0	310.0	1177.5	294 **
5	150	120	184	0	317.5	377.5	385.0	290.0	1370.0	343 **
6	150	120	100 _	0	322.5	310.0	300.0	355.0	1287.5	322 ##
7	75	180	50 ±	0	277.5	257.5	325.0	235.0	1095.0	274 **
8	225	180	50 ±	0	315.0	277.5	250.0	312.5	1155.0	289 **
9	75	180	150	Q	317.5	300.0	317.5	305.0	1240.0	310 **
0	225	180	150 =	0	317.5	340.0	340.0	340.0	1377.5	334 **
1	1.50	120	100 1	3 0	302.5	365.0	315.0	330.0	1312.5	328 **
2	150	120	100		315.0	270.0	325.0	295.0	1205.0	301 **
3	150	120	100 3	3 x	310.0	290.0	325.0	360.0	1285.0	321 **
4	150	120	100	3 x	322.5	305.0	325.0	275.0	1227.5	307 ***
A. B.	2304 11 mino oth su	lfate nts y	and nitriclding s	cept dboron rate forms of significantly				S.E.X. L.S.D. L.S.D.	(.05) (.01)	292.2 13.7094 38.6 51.4 4.69%

Potato Fertilizer Trial - 1962 in Lake County. Mields per acre of sorted tubers from four replications, 1/500 acre plots. Table B .

1	d.	2			P. P					1000		AVELGE
н	manufacture and other division in	4	Kel	-	Lements	Rep. 1	Rep. 2	Rep. 3	Rep. 4	Total	Average	Cart.
-	0	0	0		0	15,250	12,500	14,250	11,500	53,500	13,375	133.75
c	75	8	2		0	24,250	26,250	24,250	18,250	93,000	23,250	232,50 #
3	225	3	23		0	25,000	23,000	23,750	28,500	100,250	25,063	250.63 **
7	75	180	2		0	17,250	22,000	24,000	22,500	85,750	21,438	214,38 #
ın	225	180	R		0	26,750	26,250	26,750	31,000	110,750	27,688	276.88 **
9	75	3	150		0	25,250	27,000	29,750	24,500	106,500	26,625	266.25 #
7	225	8	150		0	27,500	26,250	26,000	29,250	109,000	27,250	272.50 #
10	75	180	150		0	24,500	28,750	28,500	26,000	107,750	26,938	269,38 #
6	225	180	150		0	33,500	29,750	27,250	30,000	120,500	30,125	301.25 #
10	24	120	100		0	21,500	22,500	20,250	20,000	84,250	21,063	210,63 #
11	276	120	100		0	21,500	24,250	21,000	25,750	92,500	23,125	231.25 #
12	150	13	100		0	25,000	28,750	22,000	28,750	1.04,500	26,125	261,25 **
13	150	221	100		0	30,000	30,750	23,500	28,250	112,500	28,125	-4-
77	150	120	16		0	30,000	22,000	28,500	29,000	109,500	27,375	4
15	150	120	184		0	27,750	34,750	34,500	25,000	122,000	30,500	305.00 **
91	150	120	100		0	29,500	28,500	26,500	32,750	117,250	29,313	293.13 **
17	75	130	_		0	25,500	24,250	31,000	21,000	101,750	25,438	254.38 ##
18	225	180	50 1		0	27,500	26,250	20,500	29,250	103,500	25,875	40
19	75	180	_		0	28,000	27,250	27,750	28,750	111,750	27,938	279.38 **
8	225	180	-		0	26,500	29,250	29,250	30,500	115,500	28,875	288.75 #
27	150	120	_	3	0	26,500	34,500	29,000	30,250	120,250	30,063	300.63 **
22	150	120	_	m	0	28,750	24,750	27,750	24,500	105,750	26,438	264,38 #
23	150	120	100	201	14	28,250	26,000	27,750	33,000	115,000	28,750	287.50 *
24	150	120	100	imi	14	29,000	25,000	27,750	25,250	107,000	26,750	267.50 *
HIGH SE	5504 Ll mir oth Su	ninor el Sulfate Ements y	elements te and Ni yielding	except trate	boron forms of ficantly	N more than	the check	check (.01).		X & TIC	(.05)	261.46

Table C . Yield analysis of potato fertility study on the Walt Mangles farm

Table D . Potato Fertilizer Trial - Flathead County in 1962. Yield per acre, four replications, 1/415 acre plots, field run tubers.

-	Treatment			Minor		Replications				
No.	N	P	K	Elemen	nts I	II	III	IV	Total	Average
1	0	0	0	0	145.3	107.9	149.4	132.8	535-4	133.8
2	75	60	50	0	186.8	190.9	172.2	244.9	794.8	198.7 #
3	225	60	50	0	149.4	128.7	153.6	170.2	601.9	150.5
4	75	180	50	0	170.2	203.4	209.6	186.8	770.0	192.5 #
5	225	180	50	0	155.6	170.2	182.6	182.6	691.0	172.8 *
5	75	60	150	0	178.4	174.3	147.3	188.8	688.8	172.2 **
	225	60	150	0	130.7	107.9	195.1	139.0	572.7	143.2
7	75	180	150	0	195.1	190.9	197.1	190.9	774.0	193.5 **
9	225	180	150	0	180.5	155.6	174.3	172.2	682.6	170.7 **
10	24	120	100	0	168,1	170.2	182.6	180.5	701.4	175.4 州
1	276	120	1.00	0	157.7	149.4	195.1	193.0	695.2	173.8 *
2	150	19	100	0	118.3	157.7	147.3	122.4	545.7	136.4
3	150	221	100	0	174.3	168.1	201.3	182.6	726.3	181.6 *
4	150	120	16	0	170.2	163.9	182.6	180.5	697.2	174.3 **
15	150	120	184	0	155.6	170.2	184.7	213.7	724.2	181.1 *
16	150	120	100	0	168.1	186.8	163.9	199.2	713.0	179.5 #
17	75	180	50 1	0	182.6	193.0	195.1	230.3	801.0	200.3 *
18	225	180	50 1	0	145.3	174.6	184.7	199.2	703.8	176.0 *
19	75	180	50 <u>1</u> 50 <u>1</u> 150 <u>1</u> 150 <u>1</u> 100 <u>1</u>	0	193.0	180.5	224.1	232.4	830.0	207.5 *
50	225	180	150 1	0	170.2	197.1	161.9	182.6	711.8	178.0 #
21.	150	120	100 1	3 0	174.3	180.5	184.7	205.4	744.9	186.2 #
22	150	120	100	3 0	166.0	170.2	199.2	207.5	742.9	185.7 #
23	150	120	100 2	3 0 x x	180.5	176.4	211.7	178.5	747.1	186.8 #
24	150	120	100	3 x	161.9	174.3	149.4	159.8	645.4	161.4 *
L	K2S04	nl nl								175.5
2	All m	inor	elements	except 1	ooron			L.S.D.	(.05) (.01)	23.64
3	All m	inor	<b>ele</b> ments	3						4.78%

<sup>\*</sup> Treatments yielding significantly more than the check (.05).

Analysis of Variance

Source	D.F.	Mean Square	<u>P</u>		
Replications Treatments Error	3 23 69 95	2387.05 1461.51174 282.27072	8.46 ** 5.17 **		

<sup>\*\*</sup> Treatments yielding significantly more than the check (.01).

Table E . Potato Fertilizer Trial - Flathead County in 1962. Yield per acre, four replications, 1/415 acre plots, sorted tubers.

	Treatments			Minor		Replica	tions			
No.	И	P	K	Elements	I	II	III	IV	Total	Average
1	0	0	0	0	128.7	91.3	105.8	107.9	433.7	108.4
2	75	60	50	0	151.5	139.4		199.2	591.8	148.0 #
3	225	60	50	0	126.6	107.9		134.9	496.0	124.0
4	75	180	50	0	124.5	155.6		137.0	579.0	144.8 #
5	225	180	50	0	139.0	137.0		128.7	547.9	137.0 *
6	75	60	150	0	143.2	126.6		147.5	533.5	133.4 #
7	225	60	150	0	105.8	85.1	145.3	92.4	428.6	107.2
8	75	180	150	0	147 3	161.9		149.4	615.2	153.6 ***
9	225	180	150	0	145.3	116.2		139.0	541.6	135.4 *
LO	24	120	100	0	137.0	126.6		149.4	545.8	139.0 #
1	276	120	100	0	118.3	120.4		141.1	525.1	131.3
2	150	19	100	0	89.2	132.8	118.3	91.3	431.6	107.9
3	1.50	221	100	0	143.2	132.8		118.3	531.3	132.8 #
4	150	120	16	0	143.2	139.0		128.7	552.0	138.0 #
.5	150	120	184	0	120.4	137.0		155.6	529.2	132.3 *
6	150	120	100	0	143.2	137.0		161.9	564.5	141.1 ***
7	75	180	50 1	0	134.9	141.1	126.6		570.7	142.7 ***
8	225	180	50 1	0	116.2	120.4	147.3		508.4	127.1
9	75	180	150 1	0	153.6	126.6	170.2		633.0	158.3 ***
20	225	180	150 1	0	1.24.5	155.6	114.1		537.4	134.4 *
1	150	120	150 1	3 0	143.2	134.9	147.3		570.7	142.7 ***
12	150	120	1.00	3 0	120.4	134.9	149.4		537.5	134.4 #
3	150	1.20	100 2	3 x	159.8	128.7	163.9		581.1	145.3 ***
4	150	120	100	3 0 3 x 3 x	141.1	126.6	124.5		518.8	129.7
	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>			The second secon				_ X		134.4
A11	2 4							S.E.Z.	******	8.49
	All mi	inor	elements e	except boron					(.05)	23.90
								L.S.D.	(.01)	31.83
	A33 m	inor	elements					C.V.	*******	6.32%

<sup>\*</sup> Treatments yielding significantly more than the check (.05). \*\* Treatments yielding significantly more than the check (.01).

Source	D.F.	Mean Square	P
Replications Treatments Error Total	3 23 69 95	352.24333 673.43783 288.56536	1.22 2.33 ***

Table F. Yield analysis of potato fertility study on the Koenig farm in Flathead County - 1962.

		Preatments			
No.	N	P	K	Yield	******
19	75	180	150 1	207.5	
17	75	180	50 1	200.3	
	75	60	50	398.7	
8 4	75	180	150	193.5	11
4	75	180	50	192.5	
23	150	120	100	186.8	
57	150	120	100 1	186.2	
22	150	120	100	185.7	
13	150	221	100	181.6	
1.5	150	120	184	181.1	
16	150	120	1.00	179.5	
90	225	180	150 <u>1</u> 50 <u>1</u>	178.0	
LS	225	180	50 1	176.0	11111
LO	24	1.20	100	175.4	
4	150	1.20	16	174.3	
11	276	120	100	173.8	
5	225	180	50	172.8	
5 6 9	75	60	150	172.2	
9	225	1.80	150	170.7	1111
24	150	120	100	161.4	
3	225	60	50	150.5	
3 7	225	60	150	143.2	1
2	150	19	100	136.4	1
1	0	0	0	133.8	

<sup>1</sup> K2SO4

Table G . Incidence of hollow heart - Lake County in 1962.

		*		Form	No.
No.	N	Р	K	of K	Reps.
2	75	60	50	Cl.	2
4	75	180	50	Cl.	3
5	225	180	50	Cl	1
9	225	180	150	C1.	1
10	24	1.20	100	Cl	2
12	150	19	100	Cl.	1
14	150	120	16	Cl	1
17	75	180	50	SO/4	3
18	225	180	50	304	1
19	75	180	150	304	3
20	225	180	150	304	1
21	150	120	100	S04	2

Table H Pounds per plot, tubers per plot, and average size of tubers in Lake and Flathead Counties - 1962. Four plot averages.

Treatment	Lal	ce County	r	Flath	ead Count	y
Number	Lbs.	No.	Size	Lbs.	No.	Size
1	27.4	139	.197	32.3	121	.267
2	50.8	150	-339	47.9	151	.317
3	56.9	168	.339	36.3	123	.295
3 4 5 6	47.8	150	.319	46.4	149	.311
5	60.8	155	.392	42.6	144	.288
6	61.3	165	.372	41.3	139	.297
7	63.1	157	.402	34.5	117	.295
7 8	61.5	162	.380	46.6	158	.295
9	67.3	183	.368	41.1	132	.311
LÓ	46.3	161	.288	42.3	153	.276
11	54.9	150	.366	41.9	138	.304
12	58.9	171	.344	32.9	117	.281
13	61.4	167	.368	43.8	141	.311
14	58.9	167	.353	42.0	142	.296
1.5	68.5	183	.374	43.6	146	. 299
16	64.4	176	.366	43.3	138	.314
17	54.8	157	.349	48.3	157	.308
18	57.8	165	.350	42.4	138	.307
19	62.0	182	.341	50.0	163	.307
20	66.9	170	-394	42.9	141	.304
21.	65.6	170	.386	44.9	153	.293
22	60.3	177	.341	44.8	144	.311
23	66.8	169	.395	45.0	148	.304
24	61.4	153	.401	38.9	135	.288

TITLE: Potato Production

PROJECT NUMBER: 5027

#### OBJECTIVES:

- 1. Determine effect of potash and other fertilizers
- Study rotation effects
- 3. Evaluate Montana crosses.

### 1962 WORK AND RESULTS:

- Determine effect of potash and other fertilizers. A separate report for the Soils Research Committee is included in this section
- 2. Study rotation effects.

#### 1962 WORK AND RESULTS:

- Plot 1: Soybeans planted May 29 plowed under when 18-24 inches high in Sept.
- Flot 2: Netted Gem potatoes planted following sweet clover in 1961 on May 24. Four rows 120 feet long harvested for yield:

Row 1 - 135 pounds

Row 2 - 116 pounds

Row 3 - 140 pounds

Row 4 - 165 pounds

Total - 556 pounds Average - 139 pounds

139 x 110 = 152.9 cwt. per acre

Four rows of Triumph were included for observation of scab incidence. These had light to moderately heavy scab.

Plot 3: Planted to Netted Gem potatoes May 24. Four rows 120 feet long harvested for yield:

Row 1 - 181 pounds

Row 2 - 170 pounds

Row 3 - 156 pounds

Row 4 - 159 pounds

Total - 666 pounds Average - 166.5 pounds

166.5 x 110 = 183.15 cwt. per acre

Four rows of Triumph potatoes included for observation of scab. These had light to moderately heavy scab. The rotation on this plot is wheat, barley, potatoes, with barnyard manure on the potatoes.

- Plot 4: Red clover harvested for hay. Yield: 12 tens per acre.
- Plot 5: Alfalfa harvested for hay. Yield: 22 tons per acre.

The first comparative evaluation of the rotations will be made in 1965 when all plots will be in potatoes.

### Evaluate Montana Potato Crosses

Six crosses grown in 1961 and considered to be early prospects were planted April 19 in four hill rows in the garden. All had scab in this situation, much lighter scab than Triumph, however. One hill was dug July 17, one August 3, and two August 24. The tubers per hill of useful size (four ounces and over) on the three dates are:

M.S.C. Number	Tubers p	er hill ov	er 4 ozs. 8/24	Scab	Pounds per hill 8/24
	2	10	6.5	Light	4.20
5903-5 5903-4	3	5	6.0	Medium heavy	4.50
5915-1	2	6	7.5	Medium	5.25
5903-6	1	7	11.0	Medium heavy	6.00
5962-3	1	4	6.0	Light	2.50
5962-4	2	5	6.0	Medium	4.20
Triumph	2	5	6.5	Very heavy	3.75

These crosses and others for which sufficient seed was available from the 1961 plantings were planted in rows in the field, 50 feet to 120 feet in length, for observation under field conditions. Some were early and some late. Three that were free of scab under field conditions, reasonably early, and of nice type and color were selected for further study as early red potatoes for the area. These were 5913-2 as Sel. 1, 5903-4 as Sel. 2, and 5903-6 as Sel. 3.

In a study involving forty-eight Montana crosses these were planted in four replications of single hill plots. Each plot or hill was observed for vine character and maturity. One hill of each of the forty-eight was dug August 31, the other three after frost with Orville McCarver, Extension Horticultural Specialist, on hand to help with notes and appraisal. The tubers dug were taken to N.S.C. for official scab readings. Measurements and observations are tabulated on the following page.

It will be noted that selections 1, 2, and 3 are also in the hill planted trials this year where they performed quite well.

Montana crosses - 1962 Four replication averages, grown in four single hills, randomized and replicated plots.

No.	M.S.C. Code	Color	Type	& ozs.	Seab	tur-	Vine	Set	Faults	Comments
<del>-</del> 1	6012	DK Red	III	3-11	M	201	VI.	T'	rough yel meat	
0	6012-1	Redburnt	LR	6-1	M	94	S-M	I	rough irreg yel mt	
57	6015	Wh to Lt Rus	LE	3-12	0	-1	M-L	11	hollow yel mt	
7	9109	Wh to Sl. Rust	pt	4-15	ы	鼠	M	11	pink skin	
ın	6021	Br Pk	LRF	1 - B	M	H	1	12		
9	6024	Coarse Rus	III	2-4	0	pq	62	100	small	
7	6024-1	White	RF	1-3	tei	M	100 mg	12	scab	
10	6030	Wh to Lt Rus	LR	1-2	0	題	ŧΩ	7	gr cracks yel meat	
6	1609	Russet	et	2-13	0	M	60	11	airchecks, irreg v yel mt	
10	6051	Pk to Redburnt	田	8-13	200	ы	ı	8	late	Late red prospect
17	6052	Pink	LRT	6-12	M.	T	L-VL	17	long poor shape	
12	6053	Coarse Rus	RL	2-13	0	M	60	6	rough gr eracks	
13	7509	Pink	LT	1-7	M	M	M	16	pk skin, yel mt, hollow	
17	02.09	Coarse Rus	R-LR	1-11	0	ME	60	12	vel mt, small, hollow	
15	6075	Redburnt	nt	777	L1	題	T	6	hollow, gr cracks	
16	2209	Dark Pink	est	3-15	300	pq	M	19	Polich, gr oracks	
17	6080	Light Pink	RP	5-15	M.	ME	50	77	flesh might be white	E. red prospect
18	7809	Ea Ohio	R-RF	5-11	ы	ME	S-N	18	uniform	
13	6084-1	Ea 0 to Rus	LRF	5-8	M	943	M	53	hollow	
R	6084-2	Lt pk to Rus	et	3-0	M.	ρą	00	11	pink eyes	E. red prospect
27	6083	Wh to Lt Rus	RLT	6-4	ı	ME	100 mg	12	irreg, pointed	
22	9809	Lt Pink	LR	200	201	M	1	13	200 30878	
23	8809	Coarse Rus	nt	1-10	0	(M)	60	11	si irreg	
24	60131	Lt Pink	R-RF	11-15	0	ы	₽Ì	18	rough	
252	60152	Russet	est	1-13	0	303	623	17	small, irregular	
26	60201	Pink	R-LB	6-13	0	po	10	16		

Montana potato crosses - 1962 (continued)

				Lbs.		Ha-	Wine			
No.	M.S.C. Code	Color	Type	hill	Scab	1ty	Size	Set	Paults Co	Comments
		1	0 64			2	24	6	forman of	
27	60203	Up Fr to Red	MIN	1	0	11	10	1		
28	60251	Pink	01	61.2	M	-1	L	17	small, checks, pk skin	
200	18109	Russet	RIP	5-3	0	M	H	6	rough, 2nd growth	
200	60266	14 Pk	FE	7-12	M	H	100	15	checks	
35	60266-1	1.4 194	R-LR	2- 5	0	M	63	15	growth, cracks	
250	2-99009	TA Ph	LRF	2	0	200	M	10	hollow, gr cracks	
300	L-08603	Wh to Sl Rus	LT	9-4	0	200	210	00	tapered, gr cracks	
20	100000	the to of Bre	T. D.	11 3	0	ME	100	12		
74	200200	The (or other)	T	10	M.	25	100	22	pink in flesh	
25	7000	D-Thrond	00.0	11-1	1	MR	100	17		Creston Sel. 3
30	2903-0	Redourne	THE STATE OF	1111	4 1		) )	i	de come	
37	5903-2	Fink	R-RL	6-7	П		100	4		4
00	5962-4	Br Pk	RF	6- 1	M	×	- S	16	prospect	Late prospect
30	5013-2	Lt Pk to Rus	I	4 3	0	M	M	60	th, checks, hollow	
100	5003-1	Deen Pink	od	5- 2	П	pq	S-M	77		Creston Sel. 2
3:	LE-0.102	Die Pink	R-RF	11- 5	T	M	П	15	hollow, checks	
4	2040-2	Ple to Bus	100	2-6	0	360	ы	16	2nd growth, pk flesh, uneven	1
10	5003 C	Wh to Lt. Rus	R-RI.	00	M	ME	M	16		
3-	Chon	lih to It. Rus	LRT	7- 1	-1	M	Mal.	13	sl rough	
44	Mottod Com	Buecot.	1	1,-13	0	M	I-H	11	2nd growth, rough, gr cracks Netted Gem	a Netted Gen
47	Meseed Com	10440	P-PT.	200	×	ME	60	60	Irreg, yel mt, gr cracks	
01	414040	DO THIN	DID	2 2	. 0	ME	00	4		
14.7	29.78-4	Russer	Trespit.	1	)	-	,	- (	-0	
198	Unknown	Dk Russet	R-LR	7	0	pig	M-L	T	gr cracks	
			-			-	-	-		

TITLE: Fertilizers for Forages

PROJECT NUMBER: 5020

LOCATION: Northwestern Montana Branch Station

PERSONNEL: C. W. Roath and Forage Research Committee

DURATION: Ten years

OBJECTIVES: Determine effect on sustained yield of pastures of various annual applications of N and P205.

PROCEDURES: Apply treatments annually to four replications and three mixtures. Clip in a different plot location each harvest small samples for yield prior to each grazing. Irrigate once each harvest period. Harvest four times or when forage is twelve inches in height. Report yields in tons per acre at twelve percent moisture.

ANNUAL DATA: Tables A - C present season's yields for three mixtures and nine treatments. Table D shows early (May) yields following the severe winter of 1961-62.

RESULTS: Response to treatments varies somewhat by pasture mixture in this the second year of harvest of mixtures of orchard grass with three legumes. Analysis of variance indicates significance in response due to nitrogen for two mixtures and due to phosphorous for three mixtures with little N P interaction.

From the early harvest data (Table D) it appears that fertilizer use may

have prevented winter injury or encouraged rapid recovery.

Fertilizers on Orchard-Trefoil pasture - 1962. Season's yields in tons Table A . per acre at twelve percent moisture from four clippings.

Treat	ment		Replica				
Ú.	P	I	II	III	IV	Total	Average
50	40	3.73	3.65	4.02	3.42	14.82	3.71 *
100	40	4.07	3.64	3.27	3.43	14.41	3.60
0	40	3.43	3.39	3.78	2.87	13.47	3.37
100	0	4.06	4.52	3.48	3.17	15.23	3.81 **
0	80	3.46	3.52	3.26	3.26	13.50	3.38
100	80	3.99	4.08	4.24	3.65	15.96	3.99 **
50	80	4.41	4.37	3.94	4.20	16.92	4.23 ***
50	0	3.19	3.09	3.97	3.76	14.01	3.50
0	0	2.84	3.05	3.61	3.00	12.50	3.13
Note:	0 - 0 Treat	is the ch	eck used in	this study.	than the	Z S.E.Z.	.16927
	check	(.05).				L.S.D.(.05).	
4849			ding signif	icantly more	than the	L.S.D.(.01).	
		(.01).				G.V	4.000
	Analysi	s of Varia					
Sourc		COLUMN TO A STATE OF THE PARTY	Mean Square	F 1.66			
	cations	3	.18975				
N .			1.06998	9.34 **			
P		2	-49993	4.36 **			
NxP		4	.14761	1.29			

Table B . Fertilizer on Orchard-Ladino pasture - 1962. Season's yields in tons per acre at twelve percent moisture.

Treatm	ent		Replic				
N	P	I	II	III	IV	Total	Average
50	40	3.35	3.77	3.52	2.33	12.97	3.24 **
100	40	3.09	3.94	3.60	2.84	13.47	3.37 **
0	40	2.62	2.33	3.51	2.50	10.96	2.74 **
100	0	1.40	3.72	2.03	1.83	8.98	2.25 *
0	80	2.63	3.69	3.01	3.09	12.42	3.11 ***
100	80	3.22	4.47	4.41	2.93	14.97	3.74 **
50	80	3.31	3.98	3.05	3.31	13.65	3.41 **
50	0	1.48	2.33	1.69	1.52	7.02	1.76
0	0	.98	2.80	1.99	1.47	7.24	1.81
Note:	0 - 0 Treat	is the che	eck used in ding signif	this study.	than	\$ S.E.R	2,80
**	the c	heck (.05)	ding signif	icantly more		L.S.D.(.05) L.S.D.(.01)	.49 .67 6.02%

Error

24

.11460

Table B. (Continued)
Analysis of Variance

Source	D.F.	Mean Square	F
Replications	3	2.10556	13.59 **
N	2	.96735	6.24 **
P	2	7.36938	47.25 **
$W \times P$	4	.08436	
Error	24	.15497	

Table C. Fertilizer on Orchard-Alfalfa pasture - 1962. Season's yields in tons per acre at twelve percent moisture.

Treat	ment		Replic	ations			
N	P	I	II	III	IA	Total	Average
50	40	3.69	4.32	4.83	4.36	17.20	4.30 *
100	40	4.02	4-44	4.82	4.91	18.19	4.55 ***
0	40	3.18	4.32	3.93	4.24	15.67	3.92
100	0	3.64	3.48	4.29	2.84	14.25	3.56
0	80	4.23	4.24	4.57	4.36	17.40	4.35 *
100	80	4.46	4.70	5.00	5.55	19.71	4.93 ***
50	80	4.19	5.22	4.40	5.08	18.89	4.72 **
50	0	4.11	4.49	3.73	2.59	14.92	3.73
0	0	2.50	4.27	3.09	3.52	13.38	3.35
Note:	Treat			nis study. Leantly more	than	S.E.X L.S.D.(.05	25592
學學	Treat	ments yield heck (.01).	ling signifi	cartly more	than	L.S.D.(.01 C.V	) 1.01
_		s of Varian					
	<u>e</u> cations	3	.64225	2.45			
N		2	.75810	2.89			
P		2 3	3.85731	14.72 **			
NxP		4	.06839	.26			
rror		24	.26198				

Table D. Early 1962 yields - 3 pasture mixtures - green tons per acre, four replication totals for mixture.

	Treat	ment	Mix 1	Mix 2	Mix 3	12 plot	
lo.	N	P	0 - T	0 - L	0 - A	Total	Average
L	50	40	21.705	14.370	17.772	53.847	4.487
2	100	40	23.067	10.588	24.503	58.158	4.847
3	0	40	11.647	5.445	11.269	28.361	2.363
	100	0	22.537	7.487	16.941	46.965	3.914
5	0	80	14.520	7.412	15.050	36.982	3.082
5	100	80	26.393	19.435	26.544	72.372	6.031
7	50	80	26.998	14.445	20.419	61.862	5.155
3	50	0	17.697	4.236	16.713	38.646	3.221
)	0	0	11.495	2.647	11.722	25.864	2.155

TITLE: Farm Flock

PROJECT NUMBER: 5029

LOCATION: Northwestern Montana Branch Station

#### OBJECTIVES:

1. Select replacements by production record

2. Fatten lambs on self fed rations

Compare crossbred with straight bred lambs

4. Explore possibility of off-season breeding habit.

Work done and results are discussed in this order.

### 1. Selection by production record

Selection of ewe lamb replacements must be by production records of their dams since obviously they have had little time for record making. Their appearance, birth weight, fleece characteristics, and body size and condition are considered, however.

The dam's index shown is a wool equivalent index for the first three years of production. One-fourth of the weaned lambs plus all shorn wool divided by three.

The lamb fleece measurements, wool grade estimates, and condition scores are by Drummond and Bassett, M.S.C.

Characteristics of 1962 ewe replacement selections:

STREET IN CO.	2200200 01	_,				M. M.		
Flock	Dam's	Birth	Wean	Condi-	Twin or	Fleece	Spin	
No.	Index	Wt.	Wt.	tion	Single	Length	Count	Defects
2-1	30.2	9.0	71	3 +	Tw	9.2	56	Sl. c. b.
2-7	29.0	9.5	93	2 -	Tw	6.8	56	
2-10	36.0	9.5	81	3 +	Tw	7.5	60	Sl. w. fc.
2-16	38.0	10.0	67	3	Tw	7.8	56	
2-17	38.0	9.5	64	3 -	Tw	6.0	56	Sl. hairy
2-23	24.7	8.5	66	3 +	Tw	9.3	54	Sl. h. b.
2-24	2 yr. ewe	8.5	58	3 +	Tw	8.1	56	Sl. w. fc.
2-37	33.5	7.5	83	2	Tw	7.0	58	
2-51 c	31.3	11.0	89	2 +	Tw	5.3	58	
2-53 c	34.8	9.5	67	3 +	Tw	6.1	60	
2-56 c	30.4	12.0	64	3	Tw	5.4	58	W. fc.
2-64 c	32.1	7.0	62	3 +	Tw	5.3	60	
2-59 c	28.3	10.0	85	2 -	Tw	6.8	58	
2-63 c	32.1	8.0	68	2 -	Tw	5.1	60	

# c - Crossbred Polled Dorcet ram on Columbia ewes

Flock numbers have reached present pasture capacity which permits selection on production records within the flock and sale of less desirable individuals. Twenty-four ewes were sold in 1962. In addition to production, defects and wool grade are considered. Grade estimates on individual 1962 fleeces, provided by Coleman of the Wool Lab, provided the basis for much of this season's selection.

It was considered advisable to cull those with short and coarse wool, irrespective of index, some of which were producing short wool due to age. A more uniform flock resulted from the sale. Three ewes were culled physical defects.

Within a relatively short time, expectations are that the mature flock will have an average index above thirty and all shear 3/8 staple wool.

Characteristics of mature flock - 1962:

Reg. Number	Year Born	Index	Wool Grade
J-9438	1957	30.2	1/2 staple
J-9443	1957	29.6	1/4 staple
J-9442	1957	23.9	1/4 staple
1-1411	1958	36.4	3/8 staple
1-1410	1958	24.1	1/4 staple
L-1408	1959	28.4	3/8 staple
L-1407	1959	24.7	1/4 staple
I-1405	1959	29.0	3/8 staple
L-1406	1959	36.0	1/4 staple
Flock Number			
238	1958	24.8	3/8 staple
473	1959	34.8	3/8 staple
251	1959	24.2	3/8 staple
287	1959	24.8	3/8 staple
297	1959	25.1	1/4 staple
Average index		28.3	

### 2. Fatten lambs on self feeders

Two lots of lambs carefully selected to be equal in age, weight, sex, and breeding were from among those produced in 1962 that were weaned and placed on self fed rations July 25.

Lot 1 received a pelleted ration of :

Founds		Cost per cwt.
900	ground barley	\$ 1.75 whole
150	ground oats	1.75 whole
150	dry beet pulp	3.00
1.50	wheat mixed feed	2.70
75	molasses	3.75
15	mono cal phos	7.00
75	soybean meal	4.75
	grind, mix, and pellet	
	Total cost of ration	\$ 2.56

Lot 2 received a ground ration of:

Pounds	ground barley	\$ 1.75 whole
500	ground oats	1.75 whole
500	dry beet pulp	3.00
500	grind and mix	.20
	Total cost of ration	\$ 2.37

Both lots received alfalfa hay and salt.

Comparison of costs and gains:

omparison of costs and gains:		
Amines and on Annual Committee	Lot 1	Lot 2
	Pelleted Feed	Ground Feed
Number lambs	22	23
Weight in	1596	1628
Weight out	1761	2056
Gain	165	428
Days on feed	30	30
Ave. daily gain per lamb	.25	.62
Losses	2	0
Feed consumed:	1280	2135
Self fed ration	1.94	3.1
Per lamb per day	658	713
Hay	11.7	6.65
Feed per 1b. of gain	\$ 39.35	\$ 57.73
Total feed cost Feed cost per lb. gain	\$ 23.85	\$ 13.49

This report, while accurate, portrays the use of the two rations in the darkest way possible and may be unfair to the pelleted ration. This work was done July 25 to August 24. Losses were due to an attack by flies and resulting maggots because of scouring and would not have happened during a winter feeding period. However, prompt control measures had to be employed in this lot to prevent additional losses while the other lot just across a woven wire fence was having no problems. The individual gains for lambs in the two lots may be fairer to the two rations.

Thirty day individual lamb gains on self fed rations: (pounds)

mercy da	Pelleted	Ration	Ground	Ration
	Columbia	Crossbred	Columbia	Crossbred
	16	19	20	16
	7	27	20	21
	18	27	15	19
	8	2	10	24
	18	13	15	15
	19	2	20	6
	10		19	15
	14	20 23	21	22
	8	23	14	29
	15	17	17	24
	20)		23	14
				-
moma r	133	173	27 221	205
TOTAL Ave.	13.3	17.3	18.4	18.6

This shows that a majority of the lambs actually did very well and made remarkable gains considering the fact that the trial period was for the first thirty day period after weaning on both rations used.

It was our intention to put all lambs on the ground ration until sold and thus get additional information. However, our supplier was unable to secure dry pulp so lambs were fed on whatever ration was available until sold. Fat lambs sold in September for \$.19 and heavy feeders for \$.1765. For this particular season, self feeding at a feed cost of \$13.49 as with the ground feed ration would net a nice profit on gain alone. But the difference between selling a 100 pound fat lamb at \$19.00 and selling the same lamb weighing 70 pounds at \$.1765 would be \$6.64 less a feed cost of \$4.05 or \$2.59 per lamb over feed cost.

# 3. Compare crossbred with straight bred lambs

Ewes bred to a Polled Dorcet ram for production of crossbred lambs produced no more pounds of weaned lamb in 1962 than did similar ewes bred to a Columbia ram. This differs from 1961 when there was a difference of 26.9 pounds per ewe in favor of crossbreds. This year the pounds of weaned lambs was the same even though the crossbred lambs averaged sixteen days younger when weaned than did the Columbia lambs. The crossbreds averaged some better in condition score.

Referring to the individual gains on feed (section two above) crossbreds gained four pounds more in thirty days on the pelleted ration than Columbia lambs.

Wool characteristics of the yearling crossbreds are interesting. According to grade estimates by Coleman of the Wool Lab six of the seven had 3/8 blood wool, five of the seven had 3/8 blood wool of staple length. This compares to five out of nine Columbias with 3/8 staple. The average yearling fleece weight for those with 3/8 staple wool was the same - 8.1 pounds.

1962 summary of Columbia-Crossbred comparison:

Ewes Lambs born Lambs weaned Average birth weight - singles Average birth weight - twins Average age when weaned Weaning weight - singles Weaning Weight - twins Total pounds weaned lamb Pounds weaned per ewe	(days)	Columbia 15 26 22 11.6 9.05 155 84 66.4 1600 106.7	15 27 22 13.7 8.98 139 83 67.7 1596 106.4
Condition Score:	Score	No. Lambs	No. Lambs
	1 - 2 + 2 - 3 + 3 - 4 +	1 0 2 7 5 2 1 2 2 2	1 3 0 3 9 4 2

### 4. Explore possibility of off-season breeding habit

Once each month, February through July, one crossbred yearling ewe and one Columbia yearling ewe were turned into the pen or pasture with five yearling Columbia rams. No harmones or artificial climate regulation gimics were used since the object was to determine natural tendency for off-season breeding. All were removed on August 7.

Result: No lambs were secured.

However, on November 30 Francis O'Connell reported having two yearling crossbred ewes, out of Columbia ewes bred to a Polled Dorcet ram purchased from White's at Dayton, that had lambed November 26 and November 28. Each had ewe lambs. These ewes had the same sire as the ewes in the Station trial. These ewes and their lambs were secured from Mr. O'Connell December 1.

Possible explanation for the difference in results are:

- 1. White had more numbers of crossbreds
- 2. White had Dorcet-Columbia cross rams.

# PART II

Annual Research Report

Northwestern Montana Branch

of the

Montana Agricultural Experiment Station

Kalispell, Montana

by

Vern R. Stewart

Associate Agronomist

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No
List of Tables	i
Acknowledgements	v
Introduction	v
Glimate	47
Fertilizer Investigations (5020)	49
Weed Investigations (5021)	56
Forage Investigations (5022)	68
Small Grain Investigations (5023)	
Spring Barley	74
Winter Barley	78
Oats	86
Spring Wheat	90
Winter Wheat	93
Preliminary Investigations (5028)	
Gereal Root Rots	112
Oil Grops	117

# LIST OF TABLES

Table Number	Title	Page Number
I	Summary of climatic data by months for the 1961-62 crop year (Sept. to Aug.) and averages for the period 1949-1962 at the Agricultural Experiment Station, Creston, Montana	48
II	Chemical analysis of soil samples secured at three lo- cations in Western Montana in 1962	51.
III	Effect of nitrogen and phosphate fertilizers on Olympia winter barley grown at Greston, Montana in 1961-62	52
IV	Effect of nitrogen and phosphate fertilizers on bundle weights of winter barley grown on Flathead fine sandy loam soil, field no. R-5c, Creston, Montana in 1961-62	53
7	Protein data from fertilizer study conducted on the L. B. McFadgen farm, Stevensville, Montana in 1962	54
VI	Effect of nitrogen and phosphate fertilizers on Westmont winter wheat grown on the Suco farm at Polson, Montana in 1961-1962	54
VII	Protein data from fertilizer study conducted on the Suco farm at Polson, Montana in 1961-1962	55
VIII	Yield and protein data from field fertilizer plots of Westmont winter wheat grown at Greston, Montana in 1961-62	55
IX	Agronomic data from herbicide study grown on the George Hubbard farm at Kalispell, Montana in 1962	61
X	Weed control data from a study on wheat thief, Lithospernum arvenses, in a natural stand in seeded alfalfa. 1962	61
XI	Yield data on the effect of certain herbicides on the control of wild oats (Avena fatua) in spring barley at Creston, Montana in 1962	62
XII	Yield data from a herbicide study conducted on spring bar- ley at Creston, Montana in 1962	63
XIII	Evaluation of seven herbicides in new seedings of eight legumes for control of several weed species	64
XIV	The effect of certain herbicides on a natural weed population and on ten horticultural crops	65

Table Number T	Title	Page Number
ΧV	Effect of herbicides on yellow mustard (commercial) grown for seed at Greston, Montana in 1962	66
XVI	Observation of herbicide plots on field bind weed (Convalvulus arvensis)	67
XVII	Agronomic data from corn silage variety trial grown at Greston, Montana in 1962	70
XVIII	Yield data from corn cultural study grown at Creston, Montana in 1962	71
XIX	Agronomic data from small grain hay study grown under dryland conditions at Creston, Montana in 1962	72
XIXa	Bundle weight data from small grain hay study grown under dryland conditions at Creston, Montana in 1962	73
XX	Agronomic data from the irrigated Intrastate and Station yield nursery at Creston, Montana in 1962	76
XXI	Summary of yield of varieties in the irrigated yield nurseries 1953-1962 inclusive at Creston, Montana	77
XXII	Agronomic data from dryland Intrastate winter barley nursery grown at Creston, Montana in 1961-62	80
XXIII	Bundle weight and grain straw ratio from Intrastate winter barley nursery at Creston, Montana in 1961-62	81
XXXV	Agronomic data from two row dryland winter barley nursery at Creston, Montana in 1961-62	82
XXV	Bundle weight and grain straw ratio from two row dryland winter barley nursery at Creston, Montana in 1961-62	82
XXVI	Stand data obtained from dryland winter barley nursery grown in Missoula County on the County Airport at Missoula, Montana in 1961-62	83
XXVII	Agronomic data from off-station dryland winter barley nursery grown in Lincoln County on the Dick Britton ranch at Eureka, Montana in 1961-62	84
XXVIII	Summary of winter barley varieties grown at Creston, Montana 1951-1962	85
XXIX	Agronomic data from dryland oat nursery grown at Creston, Montana in 1962	87
XXX	Summary of yield in the dryland oat yield nursery 1953-1962 inclusive at Creston, Montana	89

Table Number	Title	Page Number
XXXI	Agronomic data from advanced yield spring wheat nur- sery at Creston, Montana in 1962	91
XXXII	Agronomic data from the Uniform Western Regional white wheat nursery (dryland) at Creston, Montana in 1962	92
XXXIII	Agronomic data from dryland Intrastate winter wheat nursery grown at Creston, Montana in 1961-62	98
XXXIV	Heading dates and dwarf smut percentages from the Western Regional hard red winter wheat nursery grown on the Howard Braaton farm at Kalispell, Montana in 1961-62	99
XXXV	Agronomic data from dryland short straw nursery grown at Creston, Montana in 1961-62	100
XXXVI	Bundle weights and grain straw data from dryland short straw nursery grown at Creston, Montana in 1961-62	101
XXXVII	Agronomic data from Western Regional white winter wheat nursery grown at Creston, Montana in 1961-62	102
XXXVIII	Agronomic data from dryland off-station winter wheat nursery grown in Missoula County at the Missoula County Airport, Missoula, Montana in 1961-62	103
XXXX	Agronomic data from dryland off-station winter wheat nursery grown on the L. B. McFadgen farm at Stevensville, Montana in 1961-62	104
XL	Agronomic data from dryland off-station winter wheat nur- sery grown in Sanders County on the Sid Cross farm at Camas Prairie, Montana in 1961-62	105
XLI	Agronomic data from dryland off-station winter wheat nur- sery grown in Mineral County on the Charles Frey ranch at Tarkio, Montana in 1961-62.	106
	Tarkio, Montana in 1901-02	100
XLII	Agronomic data from dryland winter wheat nursery grown in Lincoln County on the Dick Britten ranch at Eureka, Montana in 1961-62	107
XLIII	Agronomic data on Vogel bulks (Burt x P.I. 178383)	108
XLIV	Bulk row selections made on the Howard Braaton farm at Kalispell, Montana	108
XLV	Plant selections made on the Howard Braaton farm at Kalispell, Montana in 1961-62	110
XIVI	Yield and protein data from irrigated and dryland winter wheat grown at Creston, Montana in 1961-62	111

Table Number	Title	Page Number
XLVII	Summary of disease rating and yield data from cultural practice study on Freja barley at Creston, Montana in 1962	113
XTAIII	Comparison of packed and non-packed soil conditions	114
XLIX	Comparison date of seeding	114
L	Comparison seeding depths	115
II	Yield and disease rating data from fungicide study conducted on Park oats grown at Creston, Montana in 1962	115
LII	Yield and disease rating data from fungicide study conducted on Compana barley grown at Creston, Montana in 1962.	116
LIII	Agronomic data from irrigated Intrastate mustard nursery grown at Creston, Montana in 1962	120
TIV	Agronomic data from dryland Intrastate mustard nursery grown at Creston, Montana in 1962	121
IV	Agronomic data from dryland new crops Intrastate nursery grown at Creston, Montana in 1962	122
TAI	Agronomic data from irrigated new crop Intrastate nursery grown at Creston, Montana in 1962	123

\*\*\*\*\*

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Cooperation with other agronomic staff members has made the research at the Northwestern Montana Branch Station effective and productive this past year. Three members of the Western Region Smut Control Laboratory in Pullman, Washington have helped in the dwarf smut control studies. They are Drs. E. L. Kendrick, J. R. Hoffmann, and L. H. Purdy.

Dr. E. R. Hehn spent several days on the Station working with the winter wheat. breeding program. Dr. E. L. Sharp worked with the author in a root rot control study.

To the above mentioned research people the author would like to express his appreciation for their council and assistance.

A special thank you goes to Mr. C. W. Roath, Superintendent for his help and careful administration of the total Station research program.

To Mr. Paul Boss for his faithful help throughout the research year, the author says thank you.

The author wishes to express his appreciation to Mrs. Audrey Holman for the careful preparation of this report and her cheerful help during the past year.

#### INTRODUCTION

This report will include results of research on soil, cereals, weeds, annual forages, and oil crops.

The variety testing program in cereals was reduced because of fund limitations.

Several herbicides were studied for their effect on weeds and various agronomic and horticultural crops. This program was expanded from the 1961 research year.

Corn silage work was reduced. Annual forages, using cereals, were studied.

Oil seed crops made up about one-third of the research program.

A statement of climatic and growing conditions is made a part of this report.

During the 1962 calendar year the author took part or contributed to the following activites:

Date	Meeting or Activities	Place
January 16 - 18 February 5 February 13 February 22 February 23 March 7-9 March 13	Hard Red Winter Montana Cooperative Council Agricultural Council Western Montana Dist. County Agents Station Advisory Council Planning Conference Agricultural Council	Lincoln, Nebraska Ronan, Montana Kalispell, Montana Missoula, Montana Missoula, Montana Bozeman, Montana Kalispell, Montana

Date	Meeting or Activities	Place
March 20	Conservation Day	Polson, Montana
March 28	Conservation Day	Eureka, Montana
March 29	Conservation Day	Libby, Montana
April 10	Western Mont. Wheat Workers Conference	Missoula, Montana
April 12	4-H Club Talk	Stillwater Grange Hall
April 18	Kawanis Dinner (Dr. Renne)	Kalispell, Montana
May 8	Agricultural Council	Kalispell, Montana
May 30	Western Wheat Workers Conference	Bozeman, Montana
May 31	Western Section CSSA	Bozeman, Montana
August 1 - 2	Western Section CSSA	Bozeman, Montana
August 9	Agricultural Council	Kalispell, Montana
October 16-19	Annual Conference	Kalispell, Montana
November 8	Lions Club	Columbia Falls, Montana
November 9	Lake Co. Potato Growers Assoc.	Ronan, Montana
November 13	Agricultural Council	Kalispell, Montana
December 11	Agricultural Council	Kalispell, Montana

1962 was the fourth consecutive season in which precipitation in June, July, and August was considerably below the long time average. Winter wheat yields were about average this season but dryland spring grains were low in yield. September, November, and December 1961 precipitation was somewhat above the twelve year average. The critical months of the growing season, June, July, and August, were 3.41 inches below the average.

Cool temperatures during the growing season was, no doubt, the main factor in winter wheat production. During the flowering through the filling stage of winter wheat, temperatures were cool. There was never a long period when temperatures were as high as they were in 1961. The highest temperature was 92° F in August 1962.

The growing season was ten days shorter than the 1949-1962 average. The last killing frost in the spring was May 30 and the first in the fall was September 3, making a total frost free period of 96 days.

Table I is a summary of 1962 climatic data and the period 1949-1962.

Summary of climatic data by months for the 1961-1962 crop year (September to August) and averages for the period 1949-1962 at the Agricultural Experiment Station, Creston, Montana. Table I .

	Apr. May June July Aug. Averagistic 1962 1962 1962 Growin	3.40 1.22 1.77 2.09 1.33 1.15 1.59 .96 2.59 1.15 .11 .72	1949 to 1961-62 1.39 1.64 1.58 1.61 1.58 1.31 1.08 1.33 2.22 2.51 1.17 1.45 18.87	mt year (°F) 42.3 28.2 23.6 17.4 25.7 30.9 47.2 51.5 58.6 62.1 62.1 41.6	1949-1961-62 53.9 43.7 32.2 26.6 21.3 27.1 31.7 43.2 51.8 58.5 64.4 63.5 43.2	Last killing frost in spring * 1962 May 30 (32°)	Ave. 1949-1962 May 30	First killing frost in fall *	1949 to 1962	ree period	1949 to 1962	summer temperature 92° on August 16	Minisum winter temperature 32° below 0 on January 21
tido uphopic relati distributiva di sede uphopic upo aprodir odo sedici se campi.		Precipitation (inches)	Ave. 1949 to 1961-62	Mean temperature (°F) Current year	Ave. 1949-1961-62	Last killing frost in 1962	Ave. 1949-1962	First killing frost in	Ave. 1949 to 1962	Frost free period	Ave. 1949 to 1962	Maximum susmer ten	Minister vinter ten

<sup>\*</sup> In this summary 32° is considered a killing frost.

TITLE: Fertilizer Investigations

PROJECT NUMBER: 5020

PERSONNEL: Leader - Vern R. Stewart

Cooperator - C. W. Roath

FUNDS: State - \$853.33

LOCATION: Dryland lease - Rotation R and off-station locations in three north-

western counties.

DURATION: Indefinite

### OBJECTIVES:

1. To determine the effect of nitrogen and phosphorous on yield of winter grains

2. To determine how different soil types influence the effect of these fertilizers

3. To correlate these responses with chemical soil tests

4. To obtain information from which sound fertilizer recommendations can be made.

### EXPERIMENTAL DATA:

#### INTRODUCTION

Fertilizer research on cereals this year was limited to winter grains. Studies were of two types: (1) the small plot carefully controlled research; and (2) large field plots using field machinery for seeding and harvesting.

Plots were located in areas which are quite representative of a winter wheat region in that county where they were grown.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Where a soil survey was available the soils are described. Soil samples were obtained at 0 - 6" from each check plot and analyzed for N, P, K, and ph.

Research plots were four rows eighteen feet long. Seeding rate for wheat was sixty pounds per acre and barley was seventy pounds per acre. Seeding was done with a four row belt seeder. Seed and fertilizer were placed on the belt at the same time. This was found to have an adverse effect on the wheat at two locations. Seeding for large plots was done with a large IHC grain drill with a fertilizer attachment.

In the small plots three nitrogen and two phosphorous levels and in all possible combinations were used. In the large field plots several formulations of commercially mixed fertilizers were used.

Harvesting of small plots was done by hand and threshed with a Vogel thresher. Field plots were harvested with a self propelled combine with samples being taken at random throughout the field.

The variance analysis technique was used to analyze the data from the small research data. The larger plots are presented as simple averages of four or six samples per treatment. Protein data was obtained for all the fertilizer studies and that information is made a part of this report.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Winter Barley

Only one fertilizer study on winter barley was conducted. This was grown on the dryland lease in field number R-5c. A soils test indicated low organic matter, P205 level, and K20 level. Table II. On the basis of the soils test one would expect an increase in yield from the rates of fertilizers used as shown in Table III. It will be noted that there is little difference in yields between treatments and those differences are non-significant as determined by the variance analysis. This is also true of bundle weights shown in Table IV. Moisture was fair and these yields could be expected from this rotation. The question them arises as to what effect does the low K20 level have on these yields? Were the rates of P and N high enough? Further work must be conducted on this area to answer the questions raised by this experiment.

Three off-station fertilizer studies on winter wheat were seeded in Sanders, Lake, and Ravalli Counties. Poor stands were obtained in Ravalli and Sanders Counties. It is felt that the method of applying the fertilizer and seed at the same time is responsible for this yield reduction. This was particularly true where soil conditions were quite dry as they were in Ravalli and Sanders Counties. Stands were very good in the plot in Lake County.

- Ravalli County This nursery was not harvested for yield because of poor and uneven stands. However, enough seed was harvested to provide material for a protein test. Table V presents the protein data for this study. As would be expected, increasing nitrogen rates increased protein percentages, whereas, P treatments decreased protein percentages. The closer the N and P are to a one to one ratio the higher the protein in relationship to P alone and the check plot.
- Lake County Yields from this nursery were below average for this area. The highest yield was obtained using thirty pounds of nitrogen and forty pounds of P205 per acre. The variance analysis indicate that nitrogen applications were of little value in increasing yields. It also shows that forty pounds of P205 per acre increase yields over the check by as much as ten bushels per acre. This seems to point out the importance of a balanced fertility level for maximum production. Nitrogen increased protein percentages in a linear manner, whereas, P205 applications decreased protein in a linear manner. Tables VI and VII show yield and protein data, respectively.
- Sanders County Complete loss of stands occurred in this nursery and no data of any kind was secured from this planting. Soil analysis data is shown in Table II.

The field plot studies were conducted on two soil types. They were Flathead fine sandy loam and Creston silt loam. This difference in soil type can be seen in the individual sample yields. The higher yields came from the Creston soil series found in the north portion of all the fields listed in Table VIII.

The field plot data is to be found in Table VIII. These data support previous data that a balance of fertility conditions is required for high yields and good protein percentages. Indication from these data are: (1) low nitrogen and high phosphate applications decrease protein content but may or may not increase yield; and (2) the minimum amount of nitrogen for adequate production should be thirty pounds and balanced with a one to one ratio with phosphate.

A simple calculation will show that 30N - 40P is the most economical application in this study.

Table II . Chemical analysis of soil samples secured at three locations in western Montana in 1962.

Sample Number	Ph	Conductivity	P205 #/Acre	Organic Matter Percent	K20 #/Acre
	Flathead	fine sandy loam 1	- Creston,	Montana,	field no. R-5c
1.	7.5	0	51.	2,2	240
2	7.2	0	49	2.2	1.80
3	7.5	0	39	2.1	175
2 3 4	7.3	9	51	2.1	195
x	7.4	0	47.5	2.2	197.5
	Heavy cl	ay type soil - 0	amas Prairie,	Montana	(Cross farm)
1.	7.5	24	188	2.6	1.200
2	7.5	70	212	2.2	1200
3	7.8	102	172	2.2	1175
	7.2	126	228	2.4	840
z.	7.5	80.5	200	2.4	1104
	Polson s	ilt loam, gravelly	phase 1 -	Polson, M	ontana (Suco fara
1	7.3	0	122	2.2	800
2	7.2	0	64	2.4	720
3	7.0	0	47	2.6	800
l.	7.0	0	49	2.6	425
- x	7.1	0	70.5	2.5	686

<sup>1</sup> soil survey description

Table III . Effect of nitrogen and phosphate fertilizers on Olympia winter barley grown at Creston, Montana in 1961-62.

Grown on Flathead fine sandy loam soil in field no. R-5c, four row plots, three replications.

Date Seeded: 9/21/61 Date Harvested: 8/3/62 Size of Plot: 16 sq. ft.

NI .	Y	352		
#/Acre	0	40	80	
0 15 30 45	44.5 41.9 46.4 50.7	49.1 56.2 59.7 51.0	44.6 51.8 53.9 48.4	48.1 52.2 55.7 52.2
× <sub>p</sub>	45.8	54.0	49.7	

Source	D.F.	Mean Square	<u>P</u>			
Replications N	2	45870.115	2.38	Soils	Tes	t
Ъ	2	51099.195	2.65	0. M.	-	2.2
N x P Error	6	19309.0804	age-maintening	P205 K20		197.5
Total	35	2,50,0000		pH	***	7.4

Table IV. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorous fertilizers on bundle weights of winter barley grown on Flathead fine sandy loam soil in field no. R-5c at Greston, Montana in 1962. Four row plots, three replications.

Date Seeded: 9/21/61 Date Harvested: 8/3/62 Size of Plot: 32 square feet

freat	ments		ndle Wei		Total	Bundle Weight	Grain Yield in	Grain Straw
1	P	I	II	III	Ounces	#/Acre	#/Acre	Ratio
1.5	0	52	74	60	186	5275	2011	1.62
30	0	56	83	55	1.94	5502	2227	1.47
45	0	60	69	64	193	5573	2433	1.29
15	40	63	71	84	218	6132	2298	1.69
30	40	80	79	73	232	6579	2866	1.30
45	40	78	68	65	211	5984	2448	1.44
15	80	88	52	65	205	5814	2486	1.34
30	80	91	67	58	216	6126	2587	1.38
45	80	70	80	65	215	6097	2323	1.62
0	40	72	58	71	200	5672	2362	1.40
0	80	72	63	71	206	5842	2141	1.73
0	0	55	64	55	174	4935	2136	1.31

x..... 5790 s.E.x. . 533.76 c.v. . 9.22%

Source	D.F.	Mean Square	<u>F</u>
Replications N P	2 3 2	60.1111 73.37037 310.8611	2.63
N x P Error Total	6 22 35	13.00927 118.0808	***********

Table V. Protein data from fertilizer study conducted on the L. B.

McFadgen farm at Stevensville, Montana in 1962. Soil type Burnt Fork - Ravalli loam.

Date Seeded: 9/14/61 Date Harvested: 8/7/62

N			Z,		
#/Acre	0	P205 in #/A 40	80		
0 15 30 45 Rp	14.0 15.5 16.7 17.5	11.1 15.5 15.0 14.7	12.0 12.7 12.4 11.5		12.4 14.6 14.7 14.5
R <sub>p</sub>	15.9	14.1	12.2	₹	14.1

Table VI . Effect of nitrogen and phosphate fertilizers on Westmont winter wheat grown on the Suco farm at Polson, Montana in 1961-62. Grown on Polson silt loam, gravelly phase, four row plots, four replications.

Date Seeded: 9/13/61	Date Harvested:	8/7/62	Size of Plot:	16 square feet
				Programme and Company of the Company

4	Yield	icre	$\bar{z}_n$	
//Acre	0	40	80	-
0 15 30 45	25.0 21.5 22.5 20.5	30.4 31.4 35.4 30.5	29.1 31.2 32.9 31.9	28.1 27.9 30.2 27.5
x <sub>p</sub>	22.3	31.9 *	31.2 *	

쓔	Significantly check (.05).	higher	in	yield	than	the	
---	----------------------------	--------	----	-------	------	-----	--

Xt	 28.5 bu/A
G.V	 7.89%

Source	D.F.	Mean Square	F	Soils Test
Replications N P N x P Error Total	3 3 6 33 47	19469.18667 6777.74333 183758.27 6188.41 8099.26333	22.68 **	O. M 2.5% P205 70.5 #/A K20 686 #/A pH 7.1

Table VII . Protein data from fertilizer study conducted on the Suco farm at Polson, Montana in 1961-62.

N		Pı		$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_n$		
#/Acre	0		P205 #/Acre 40	80		
0 15 30 45		10.9 12.6 13.2	9.4 10.0 11.3	9.7		10.0
45		14.1	12.5	12.5		13.0
Ξp		12.7	10.8	11.1	Zt	11.5

Table VIII . Yield and protein data from field fertilizer plot of Westmont winter wheat grown at Creston, Montana in 1961-62.

Date Seeded: 9/19/61 Date Harvested: 8/11/62 Size of Plot: 879.3 sq. ft.

Treatment		Field	Plot Yield in Bushels/Acre						Bu. Wt.	
N	P	No.	I	II	III	IA	V	VI	X	Pounds
32 22	40 96	R-4c R-4c	43.0 33.9	43.0 27.7	42.5	45.8			43.6	61.5
32 22 0	40 96 0	R-6c R-6c	21.5 16.9 11.1	24.4 17.8 1.6	20.2 13.6 2.9	29.7 16.9 7.4			24.0 16.3 5.8	61.4 61.1 61.3
19	84	R-7c R-7c	29.3 32.6	35.9 38.8	16.1	16.1 23.5	31.0	51.6 50.7	30.0 27.8	61.2
				Pzy	otein Pe	ercenta	ge			
32 22	40 96	R-40 R-40	11.4	11.6	12.1	11.4			10.3	
32 22 0	40 96 0	R-6c R-6c R-6c	10.3 9.3 11.8	8.3 10.3	11.3 9.2 11.0	11.6 8.8 10.5			8.9 10.9	
19	84	R-7c R-7c	9.2	9.7	10.6	11.4	12.3 13.4	14.1	11.2	

TITLE: Weed Investigations

PROJECT NUMBER: 5021

PERSONNEL: Leader - Vern R. Stewart

Consultants - Members of the Weed Research Committee

PUNDS: State - \$1591.66

LOCATION: Station and off-station

PROBABLE DURATION: Indefinite

EXPERIMENTAL DATA:

#### INTRODUCTION

Herbicides for control of weeds in farm crops was the main emphasis in the weed control research at the Northwestern Montana Branch Station this season. Twenty-two herbicides were studied as to their effect on sixteen agronomic and horticultural species. Two to three rates of each herbicide were used. A general discussion on technique and procedure will be found under Materials and Methods. However, specific procedure for a particular experiment will be included in Results and Discussion. A total of eight trials were conducted in 1962.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Generally, all plots were five feet wide and twenty feet long providing one hundred square feet for study. Some plots are in multiples of five because of the nature of the experiment.

All materials were applied in a water solution with a research type spray rig (described on page 123 of the 1961 Annual Report).

Chemicals were incorporated in the soil with an offset double disk.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# Chemical Control of (Lithospernum arvenses) in Winter Wheat and Alfalfa

It has been the objective of this work to find a herbicide that will effectively control wheat thief (<u>Lithospernum arvenses</u>). Two naturally infested areas were selected in 1962. One was located on the George Hubbard farm in a field of Westmont winter wheat. The second was located in field R-5b of the dryland lease. Yield data were obtained from the wheat study along with an estimate of control. Only an estimate of control was obtained from the study located in field R-5b.

Application of herbicides was made when the wheat thief was in early bloom.

Herbicides used and their description are made a part of this record.

Banvel D - 2 methoxy-3, 6-dichlorobenzoic acid
Banvel T - 2 methoxy-3, 5, 6 trichlorobenzoic acid

Dacamine - Olcoyl 1, 3-propylene diamine salt of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic

2,4-D ester 2,4-D amine

The yields of winter wheat were non-significant in the study on Hubbards. See Table IX. Banvel D at one pound per acre gave the best control of wheat thief. Considerable lodging was noted in the Banvel D and Banvel T plots. An observation made several weeks after treatment showed that only Banvel D had effectively controlled wheat thief and prevented the plant from going to seed. The other products retarded the growth of wheat thief but did not prevent it from going to seed.

The above listed products were used in the study in field R-5b with one exception. LV-4D <u>l</u> replacing 2,4-D ester was the exception. In this study LV-4D at one pound per acre was the most effective in controlling wheat thief. Banvel D at one pound per acre also gave a degree of control. See Table X.

1 2-Ethyl hexyl ester formulation of 2,4-D.

# Control of Wild Cats (Avena fatua) With Certain Herbicides in Spring Barley

Two studies were conducted this season, both of which were on spring barley. Three herbicides were used in the replicated study and the field type study.

The replicated plots were sprayed with the small research sprayer. The field studies were conducted using field equipment for all operations from spraying to combining. The field plots were harvested with a self propelled combine with four samples being taken at random from each treated area. The replicated plots were handled in the usual manner for small grain plots. Both plots were located in a natural infestation of wild oats.

Compounds used in these studies were:

Avadex HW - (2,3,3, Trichloroally disopropylthiol-carbamate)
Avadex - 2,3 (Dichloroally disopropylthiol-carbamate)
Carbyne - 2-allylamine-4-chloro-6-isopropylamine-5-triazine.

Avadex BW and Avadex were applied before seeding and incorporated in the soil with an offset double disk. They were also applied following seeding and harrowed twice to incorporate in the soil.

Carbyne was applied when the wild oats were in the two leaf stage.

Moisture was good and the temperature about 60° F when Avadex and Avadex BW were applied on May 14, 1962. Carbyne was applied on June 8, 1962 in warm weather and a light breeze blowing.

#### Research Plots

No significant differences in yields were found between the pre plant and post plant of the Avadex treatments. This was also true in the Avadex EW treatments with the exception found at the .5 pound rate of both Avadex BW and Avadex. These two treatments were lower in yields than post planted treatments of Avadex at 1.0 pound per acre and Avadex BW at 1.5 pounds per acre. Carbyne at the .5 pound and 1.0 pound per scre provide more wild out control than any of the other treatments. Table XI.

#### Field Plots

Carbyne at .75 pound per acre reduced yields significantly below that of the check in the field plots. This treatment tended to retard maturity and the barley was somewhat shorter than in other treatments. The Avadex EM seemed to have less effect on yield than Avadex and Carbyne. No weed counts were made in this study. Table XII presents the data in tabular form.

# Use of Herbicides to Control Weeds in New Legume Seedings

Seeding of legumes without a companion crop is a practice of some operators. Weeds are usually controlled by clipping. It was the purpose of this study to find a herbicide that would give adequate control of weeds in new seedings.

Seven different herbicides were used in the study. The legumes used in the study were alfalfa (Ranger and Ladak), yellow sweet clover, White Dutch clover, Birdsfoot Trefoil, Sanfoin, Alsike, and Kenland red clover.

Herbicide plots were ten feet wide with all eight legumes planted on each herbicide plot in rows one foot apart. These compounds were applied pre plant, post plant, and post emergence of the legumes. The following listing shows the herbicides used and how they were used.

- Avadex (2, 3, Dichloroallyl diisopropylthiol-carbamate (1) Apply pre plant and incorporate in the soil
- Eptam (ethyl-n-n-di-n-propythiol carbamate
- (1) Apply pre plant and incorporate in the soil
  Dacthal (dimethyl 2, 3, 5, 6-tetrachloroterephthalic acid
  (1) Apply on soil surface after seeding
- Trifluralin (2, 6-dinitro-n-n-di-n-propyl-a, a, a-trifluroro-ptoluidine
  - (1) Apply pre plant and incorporate in the soil
- Avadex BN (2, 3, 3-Trichloroallyl disopropylthicl-carbamate)
- (1) Apply pre plant and incorporate in the soil Butowone amine - (4-(2, 4-dichlorophenoxy) butyric acid dimethylamine salt
- (1) Apply post emergance of weeds and legumes g. Butomone ester - (4-(2, 3-dichlorophenoxy) butyric acid iso-oatyl ester
  - (1) Apply post emergence of weeds and legumes.

The rate per acre of the foregoing herbicides is shown in Table XIII.

A weed score was recorded for each herbicide as to their effect on the natural weed population. Ratings of poor to very good were listed for legume vigor later in the season.

Trifluralin gave the best control of any compound used and had the least effect on the new legume seedling. The Butoxones were next for weed control followed by Bacthal. Dacthal had less effect on the legumes than the Butoxone compounds. Avadex, Avadex HW, and Eptam gave no control of broadleafed weeds, however, there were no wild oats present in the study so it is not known what their effect would have been on this weed species. See Table XIII for complete details.

## Use of Herbicides For Weed Control in Ten Horticultural Crops

Horticultural crops are grown in small acreages or in home gardens and take considerable hand labor. This study was designed to determine the effect of certain herbicides on ten horticultural crops.

Herbicide plots were ten feet wide and twenty feet long with the crops being planted at right angles to the herbicides. Ten crops were planted across each herbicide plot with rows being spaced two feet apart.

Crops used were carrots, beets, lettuce, peas, beans, radishes, turnips, kohlrabi, spinach, and parsnips. Herbicides used were Banvel D and Banvel T, applied
post emergence; Trifluralin, incorporated in the soil before seeding and a surface application following seeding; Avadex BW and Eptam, incorporated in the
soil before seeding; and Dacthal, surface application after seeding. Rates of
each of the compounds used are recorded in Table XIV. Description of these compounds are found earlier in this report.

Banvel D and Banvel T controlled all the broadleafed weeds but killed most of the crops treated. Trifluralin was the most effective compound of the group when applied pre plant at four pounds per acre with the exception of beets and spinach. Table XIV shows complete tabular results of this study.

# Effect of Herbitides on Yellow Mustard (Commercial)

Interest in the production of mustard on a commercial basis in Western Montana in 1962 resulted in about 2000 acres being seeded. Therefore, a study to control weeds in this crop was started.

The purpose of this study was to determine what effect different herbicides would have on mustard. The plots were seeded in four row plots twenty feet long with two feet between each plot. The area treated with the herbicide was 100 square feet. The compounds used are described previously in this report. The rates of each and how applied are found in Table XV.

Avadex at .5 pound per acre was the only treatment that did not show some apparent effect from the herbicides used. The Banvel compounds caused severe damage and delayed maturity two weeks beyond the check.

There were no significant differences in yields when analysed statistically. Complete data are found in Table XV.

# Leafy Spurge (Euphorbia esula)

Two compounds were applied to an area infested with leafy spurge. The herbicides used were furnished by Amchem. They were Weedone 638 (2,4-D), three pounds per gallon and Emulsamine E-3 (2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid tertiary dod ecyl, primary amine salts, three pounds per gallon. Applications were made on May 31, 1962 with some cloud cover and the temperature at 62° F.

The plots were observed June 18, 1962 and the following was noted. Emulsamine E-3 had burned the plants and all appeared to be dead. In the Weedone 638 application, the weeds were bent over and appeared to be dying. These plots were checked in the fall and little regrowth was noted. They will be checked in the spring of 1963 for regrowth.

# Field Rind Weed (Convalvulus arvensis)

Field bind weed is a major problem on some farms in Northwestern Montana. Recent work with TBA has indicated that fall applications were more effective than spring and summer treatments. Thus, a study using four herbicides was set up to measure their effectiveness. These compounds were applied in early fall when bind weed was in bloom.

The herbicides used are shown in tabular form in Table XVI. Also in this table are some observations made nine days after the treatment. Complete evaluation of this study will be made in the spring of 1963. Table XVI.

Table IX . Agronomic data from herbicide study grown on the George Hubbard farm at Kalispell, Montana in 1962. Plots 5 x 20 feet, three replications.

Date Seeded: 9/1/61 Date Harvested: 8/10/62 Size of Plot: 16 sq. ft.

Herbicide	Rate Lbs./ Acre	Weed Score 1 - 10	Gran	s per	Plot	Total Grams	Ave. Bu./ Acre	Bu. Wt.
	1	2	429	415	412	1256	41.9	58.2
Banvel D	7		431	414	437	1282	42.7	59.0
Banvel D	28	5	480	370	365	1215	40.5	58.6
Banvel D	Ť	8				1304	43.5	58.2
Banvel T	\$	7	445	549	310			58.3
Banvel T	2	1.	429	402	325	1156	38.5	TO 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Banvel T	1	1.	477	540	385	1402	46.7	58.3
Dacamine	1	1	471	550	460	1481	49.4	59.0
Dacamine	î	2	484	425	450	1359	45.3	59.0
	î	3	43.5	475	365	1255	41.8	59.0
2,4-D ester	2	í	510	424	546	1480	49.3	58.5
2,4-D amine Check	Ö	ì	403	445	410	1258	41.9	58.

3.8.8.3.34595 L.S.D. ... NS C.V. 7.64 %

Source	D.F.	Mean Square	<u>F</u>
Replications Treatments Error Total	2 10 20 33	9018.82 3702.691 3358.618	2.69 1.10

Table X. Weed control data from a study on wheat thief (Lithospernum arvense) in a natural stand in seed alfalfa.

Date applied: 5/10/62 Size of Plot: 10 x 40 = 400 sq. ft.

Treatment	Rate Lbs./ Acre	Weed Score 1 - 10	Observation as to control May 18, 1962
Banvel D	1,	1	fair
Banvel D	å	2	good very good
Banvel D	1	1	poor
Banvel T	7	1	boon
Banvel T	2	i	poor
Banvel T Dacamine	î	3	good
Dacamine	í	3	very good
2,4-D LV 4D	1	9	very good
2,4-D amine	1	3	good
Check	0	1	poor

Mield data on the effect of certain herbicides on the control of wild cats (Avena fatua) in spring barley at Greston, Montana in 1962. Four row plots, three replications, field no. Y-7.

Date Seeded: May 10, 1962 Date Harvested: August 30, 1962 Size of Flot: 32 square feet Table XI.

		Rate	Weed						Yield	
		Lbs./	Score	Grams	Per Pl	ot	Total	Bu.	in Bu.	
Herbicide	Treatment	Acre	40	I	II	III	Grams	Wt.	/Acre	
Avadex	Post Plant	1.0	er	1058	1290	0691	1,01.7	52 7	6	
Avendany 121	Back Chank	4	, u	455	2000	1116	- I describ		7 000	
WANTED THE	LOGO LYCHIO	4.07	^	777	1410	7440	2//2	74.4	0.07	-
Carbyne	Two Leaf Stage	'n	03	1090	1290	1295	3675	52.5	26.6	
Carbyne	Two Leaf Stage	1.0	6	1205	1130	1300	3635	52.3	75.8	
Avadex	Pre Plant	1.0	4	0111	1294	1230	3634	52.8	75.7	
Avadex	Post Plant	1.5	64	1100	1204	1282	3586	52.5	72.7	
Avadex BV	Fre Plant	7.5	m	1200	995	1380	3575	52.6	74.5	
Check		0	8	1210	1100	1262	3572	51.6	724.	
Avadex EW	Pre Plant	1.0	4	1120	1199	1199	3518	52.6	73.3	
Avadex	Post Plant	3.	~	1060	1265	1185	3510	52.6	73.1	
Avadex Bit	Post Plant	'n	4	1019	1130	1360	3509	52.5	73.1	
Avadex E	Fost Plant	7.0	m	0111	1101	1295	3506	523.03	73.1	
Avadex	Pre Flant	1.5	7	1022	1065	1305	3392	52.4	70.7	
Avadex	Pre Plant	10	C1	855	1173	1060	3088	51.9	64.4	-
Avadex BW	Pre Plant	.5	n	1035	855	1124	3014	52.5	62.8	-
Carbyne	Two Leaf Stage	.25	H	840	1006	890	2736	52.4	57.0	
1 Scale 1 - 10	- 10 1-ne centrel	1 10-6000	lete	control.			IN		72.6	Proposition of the Proposition o
							100 N	*****	4.02527	
Analys	Analysis of Variance						L.S.B.	(.05)	11.6	
Source	i-ii		Name and Personal Property and Personal Prop				G.V.	:	5.54 %	
Renliestions	0	12.8	B7 88							

control
10-complete
control
1-no
1 - 10
Scale

	12.87 ** 2.60 *	
lance	Mean Square 160057.90 32358.62	12435.78667
of Var	D.F.	24
Analysis	Source Replications Treatments	Error

Field	
1962.	
i,	
Montana	
Creston,	
at	
barley	
spring	
on	
conducted	
study	
herbicide	
from a	
data	r Y-7
Yield	numbe:

Date Seeded: May 1, 1962

Date Harvested: September 4, 1962

Size of Plot: 439.7 square feet

Chemical	Treatment	Ratel /	Herbicide Application, Tillage & Seeding Technique	Zie I	Yields in Bu./Acre	Bu./A III	ore	Total Bushels	Average Bu/Acre		
	the state of the s	-									
Avadex BW	Pre Plant	ı	Applied, disked in, and then seeded	8,99	63.8	6.64	66.8 63.8 79.9 72.8	283,3	70.8		
Avadex BW	Post Plant	H	Applied after seeding and harrowed in	71.8	71.8 54.7 65.8 69.8	65.8	8.69	262,1	65.5		
Check		0		55.1	55.1 47.6 59.7 65.7	2.65	65.7	228.1	67.0		
Avadex	Fost Plant	Н	Applied after seeding and harrowed in	4.6.5	46,5 46,5 70,8 52,6	70.	52.6	216.4	54.1	-	
Carbyne		•75	Apply when oats are in the two leaf stage	38.5	38.5 33.4 37.4 56.7	37.4	56.7	166.0	41.5		-
Avadex	Fre Plant	Н	Applied, disked in, and then seeded	28.0	28.0 31.0 31.5 26.0	31.5	26.0	116.5	29.1		

Acid equivalent

Analysis of Variance

드	3.51 *
Mean Square	177.69 955.42468 50.564286
D.F.	22 422
Source	Replications Treatments Error Total

Table XIII. Evaluation of seven herbicides in new seedings of eight legumes for control of several weed species. Plots ten feet wide and twenty feet long, legumes spaced one foot.

		1	eed Sc			Legune	Observat:	lons
Herbicide	#/Acre	I	II	III	2	Ī	II	III
Check	0	0	0	. 0	0	poor 1	good	poor
Avadex	1.0	0	0	2	0	poor 1	pool:	poor
Eptam	3.0	0	0	3	1	fair L	poor	good
Dacthal	6.0	7	6	4	6	v. good	good	fair
Trifluralin	2.0	8	9	9	9	v. good	v. good	V. good
Avadex Bil	1.0	0	0	0	0	poor =	fair	poor
Butozone ester	1.0	9	9	7	8	good 2	good	poor
Butomone amine	1.0	8	6	8	7	good ≥	fair	good

<sup>1</sup> Trefoil and Ladino could not be seen because of Pigweed.

<sup>2</sup> Population of Pigweed was less but legumes not as vigorous in these plots.

<sup>\* 1 -</sup> no control 10 - complete control

The effect of certain herbicides on a natural weed population and on ten horticultural crops. Flots ten feet wide with crops planted at right angle to the herbicide plots. Table XIV .

, 1962 Shemicals 2 applied: May 16, 1962		Let- eets tuce Peas Beans ish ips rabi ach snip Application	0 10 10 10 10 10 9 10 9 Post emergence	10 9 2 9 9 6 9 7 Post	1 1 1 1 1 1 10 2 Prep	7 7 1 7 2 6 10 2	1 1 2 2 1 5 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 5 1 1	4 1 1 1 1 4 2 4	
Chemic		urn-	0	6	r	e	7	H	1	
	10 2		0	6	mi	2	03	-	rt	
	1 - 1 -	15 2.	a	7						
	In fur	Bear	10	es	ri	rH	es	H	Н	
	Grop 3	Peas	10	6	H	-3	Н	rt	H	
8			10	20	H	2	н	p=4	4	*
16, 1962		Beets	10	10	10	9	10	ın	9	,
May		Car-	60	m	H	N	N	3	М	,
Date Seeded: May	Weed	1 <u>1</u> 10	70	6	6	6	9	61	9	
Date		#/A	~600	-401	4	40	n	H	12	¢
		Herbicide	Banvel D	Banvel T	Trifluralin	Trifluralin	Eptam	Avadex	Dacthal	Manage

1 1 - no control

2 1 - no injury 10 - killed 2 All except Banvel D and T which were applied May 30, 1962.

Table XV. Effect of herbicides on Yellow Mustard (Commercial) grown for seed at Creston, Montana in 1962. Four row plots, three replications.

Date Seeded: 5/15/62 Date Harvested: 8/27/62 Size of Plot: 32 sq. ft.

Herbicide	Lbs. per Acre	Weed Score 1-10		Yield grams		Total Grams	Ave. Lbs. per Acre	Nethod of Application
Avadex	.5	1	300	451	440	1191	1191	Pre plant
Avadex	1.0	3	367	465	324	1156	1156	Pre plant
Avadex	1.5	2	425	345	421	1191	1191	Pre plant
Trifluralin	.5	2	448	505	445	1398	1399	Pre plant
Trifluralin	1.0	2	400	410	515	1325	1325	Pre plant
Prifluralin	2.0	L	484	485	435	1404	1405	Pre plant
Sptam	1.0	3 7	415	405	395	1215	1215	Pre plant
Eptam	3.0	7	410	341	302	1053	1053	Pre plant
Eptam	4.0	7	405	445	449	1299	1299	Pre plant
Dacthal	8.0	2	424	405	320	1149	1149	Post plant
Dacthal	12.0	2	468	410	355	1233	1233	Post plant
Dacthal.	14.0	2	460	405	335	1200	1200	Post plant
Banvel D 2	à.	2 2 2 5 8	520	547	365	1432	1433	Post emergenc
Banvel D 2	- 1	8	500	410	400	1310	1310	Post emergenc
Banvel D 2	1.0	10	275	365	326	966	966	Post emergend
Banvel T 2	à	6	522	384	370	1276	1276	Post emergenc
Banvel D 2 Banvel D 2 Banvel D 2 Banvel T 2 Banvel T 2 Banvel T 2	- 1	8	390	360	520	1270	1270	Post emergenc
Sanvel T 2	1.0	9	365	377	472	1214	1214	Post emergenc
Check	0.0	1	449	365	465	1279	1279	
directi:	0.0	-	4447	202	407	40013	True ( )	

NOTE: All preplant applications were incorporated in the soil.

x ..... 1239 S.E.X. . 106.22 C.V. . . 8.56 %

- 1 no injury 10 - complete kill
- 2 Harvested September 9, 1962

# Analysis of Variance

Source	D.F.	Mean Square	<u>F</u>
Replications Treatments Error Total	2 18 36 56	1858.02 4466.31389 3761.03611	1.19

Table XVI . Observation of herbicide plots on field bind weed (Gonvalvulus arvensis).

Date Applied: 8/30/62

Date Observed: 9/8/62

Herbicide	Rate #/A Acid	Remarks
Tryben 200 (Dimethylamine salt of trichlorobenzoic acid)	15	Evidence of good control
2,4-D amine (2,4-dichlorophenoxy- acetic acid)	4	Little evidence of control
2,4-LVD (2-Ethyl hexyl ester 2,4- dichlorophenoxyacetic acid	4	Evidence of some control, some plants still green
Weedone 638	4	Browning of plants, some green plants still evident
Emulsamine E-3 (2,4-dichlorophenoxy acetic acid, tertiary dod ecyl primary amine salt)	4	Where stand of bind weed is heavy plants are brown, some green plants are evident also

TITLE: Forage Investigations (Annual)

PROJECT NO.: 5022

PERSONNEL: Leader - Vern R. Stewart

Cooperators - R. F. Eslick and E. R. Hehn

FUNDS: State - \$803.33

LOCATION: Northwestern Montana Branch Station in field numbers Y-1 and Y-8

DURATION: Three years

## OBJECTIVES:

 To study seeding techniques that will give maximum production of corn silage

2. To determine the adaptability of certain commercial and corn varieties

3. To study several annual crops for use as forage crops

4. To determine the optimum time for harvesting annual forages.

## EXPERIMENTAL DATA:

#### INTRODUCTION

Work in annual forages this past season was done on corn silage and cereal crops for annual hay. Five corn varieties were tested and a cultural study conducted with silage corn. The annual hay study was conducted in cooperation with other workers in the Experiment Station system.

#### WATERIALS AND METHODS

### Corn Silage

Corn silage varieties, five in number, were grown in four row plots with rows being spaced forty inches. Seeding rate used was 30,000 plants per acre in four replications with one replication seeded at the 80,000 plants per acre.

The cultural study on corn consisted of solid versus row planting. Seeding was done in seven, fourteen, and forty inch rows. Populations were to be the same in each planting, however, this was not accomplished with the equipment used.

P.A.G. 55 was the variety used in the cultural study. Entries in the variety nursery are found in Table XVII.

The area for both silage studies was sprayed with Avadex and Avadex EW to control wild oats.

Two irrigations were made during the growing season. Three hundred pounds of 27-14-00 were applied per acre before seeding.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Corn Silage

Temperatures were below normal during the 1962 growing season and an early frost contributed to the low wields of corn silage this season. Only one of the varieties tasseled before the frost.

There were not any significant differences in yields in the variety study. The mean for population was 91.6 percent of the seed planted for the 30,000 plant rate and 76.7 percent for the 89,000 plant rate. Data from this study is found in Table XVII.

Flant populations in the cultural study were to have been 80,000 plants per acre. This was to have been obtained by planting double with an IHC corn planter, however, it did not drop as many kernels as was calculated. The fourteen inch rows were seeded light because the grain drill used was set for a much lower rate of seeding. Only the seven inch row spacing was near the desired seeding rate.

These data are recorded in Table XVIII. This table gives plant populations of each planting technique and tons per acre of forage.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Annual Hay

The small grain hay trial was grown in four row plots ten feet long and replicated three times. It was grown under dryland conditions. Samples for nitrate composition were taken as follows during the growing season:

a. <u>lst harvest</u> (constant date). When the first spikeletemerges from the flagleaf of Gopher Oats harvest sample from all crops.

b. 2nd harvest (by maturity). Harvest a sample from each crop as the 1st spikelet emerges.

c. 3rd harvest (constant date). When the 1st spikelet of Gopher Oats turns color harvest sample from all crops.

d. 4th harvest (by maturity). Harvest a sample from each crop as the 1st Spikelet turns color.

These samples were sent to Montana State College for chemical analysis.

Sixteen square feet were harvested from the two center rows and bundle weights were taken after allowing bundles to air dry in the field for nineteen days. Plots were threshed and grain yields and grain-straw ratios calculated.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Annual Hay

Horsford barley produced the highest grain yield, and has the lowest straw-grain ratio. Although the yields of total bundle weights were non-significant statistically the oat entries were highest in total forage yield. See Table XIX.

Chemical data are not available at this writing.

plots,	
row	
Four	
1962.	
fi.	
Montana	
Greston,	
함	
grown	
trial	
variety	
silage	
COLT	
from	one.
data	licati
Agronomic	three rep
ن	
Table XVII	

Plant Ht. Flot hot in Plants per in I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		Marco Secretary May 23 - 200	AND	Management of the last of the	Contract of the Contract of th	13	Owen Dwy Wedshits	Owen Dwy Watchta	tohts	
14. 50.65 44. 62.	5-4	Total	Plant Porulation	Percent	Plant	Percent	30,000 Flants per Acre	per Acre		o s/A
2 50 65 72 58 64 65 86 59 65 86 50 65 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60		m i	Per Acre 30,000 Seeded	Flanting 30,000 Seeded	Per Acre 80,000 Seeded		Pounds Per Plot I II III	Total Founds	Tons Pounds Tons Per Per Per Acre Plot Acre	Fer Acre
50 65 72 58 66 59 67 62 80 67 62 80	161		28,314		65,748	82.2	10.43 11.04 13	13.66 35.13	2.39 18.37	3.75
67 62 80	137	205	27,906	93.0	55,947	6.69	15.29	.53 42.41		7**90
67 62 80	134		26,817		54,722	7*89	15.00	61 39.09		3.63
57 62. 73	146		26,215		59,623	74.5	16.60	.90 42.81		3.7
1	17%		28,178		72,057	88.88	12.67	.50 46.67		3.5
							814		2,80	
Analysis of Variance							S 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12		39304	
Source D.F. Mean	Mean Square	[Re								
Replications 2 4.49120 Varieties 4 6.27264 Error 8 11.11636 Total 14	7264									

Table XVIII. Yield data from corn cultural study grown at Greston, Montana in 1962. Field number I-8.

Spacing	Plant	Porulati	Plant Porulation Per Acre	82		Average		Tons	Tons Per Acre	Φ		Average
Inches	П	II	Ш	IV	Total	Per Aore I	ы	п	III	II	Total	Per Acre
0	20,010	22,052	28,178	32,262	102,502	25,626 2.05 2.44 3.49	2,05	2.44	3.49	2,88	10.86	2.71
14	17,112	25,669	20,224	24,891		87,896 21,974 3.97 3.65 2.76 4.50 14.88	3.97	3.65	2.76	7.50	14.88	3.72
7	72,600	87,120	82,280	77,440	77,440 319,440 79,860 7.62 8.17 6.00 7.09 28.88 7.22	79,860	7.62	8.17	00.9	4.09	23.88	7.22
Ana	Analysis of Variance	Variance							15 62 F	S.E.Z.		4.55
Source	D	D.F. Mear	Mean Square	D.					C.V.	G.V.		9.31 %
Replications Varieties Error	s s	14.5	.50075 14.91335 .71823	20.76 ##								

Table XIX . Agronomic data from small grain hay study grown under dryland conditions at Creston, Montana in 1962. Field no. Y-1, four row plots, three replications.

Date Seeded: 5/3/62 Date Harvested: 8/3/62 Size of Plot: 16 square feet

	Plot	Yiel	.d		Lbs.	Bu. Wt.		Straw-
	in	Grams		Total	per	in	Total Weight	Grain
Variety	I	II	III	Grams	Acre	Pounds	Grain & Straw	Ratio
Side Oats (Havre)	318	351	420	1089	2179	31.0	8905	3.09
Rodney	516	470	409	1395	2791	35.8	8451	2.03
Basin .	345	440	340	1125	2251	35.9	6920	2.07
Improved Garry	410	515	480	1405	2811	34.5	8848	2.15
Park	441	402	454	1297	2595	34.9	7714	1.97
Gopher	395	359	245	999	1999	34.4	6976	2.49
Mission	445	450	405	1300	2601	35.2	7657	1.94
Bridger	430	525	330	1285	2571	36.0	7941	2.09
Horsford	536	505	590	1631	3263	49.5	7147	1.19
Belford	535	490	460	1485	2971	47.5	6636	1.23
Ceres	290	294	325	909	1819	56.5	7373	3.05
Spring Rye Prolific	320	317	190	827	1654	51.5	7147	3.32
Piper Sudan	15	25	5	45	90	-	-	-

×	2277
S.E.X	181.71
L.S.D. (.05)	529
C.V	7.98 %

## Analysis of Variance

Source	D.F.	Mean Square	<u>F</u>
Replications Varieties Error Total	2 12 24 38	4863.565 53878.65833 2749.11958	19.60

Table XIXa. Bundle weight data from small grain hay study grown under dryland conditions. Creston, Montana 1962. Field no. Y-1, four row plots, three replications.

Date Seeded: 5/3/62 Date Harvested: 8/3/62 Size of Plot: 16 square feet

Variety		Plot	Yield in	III	Total Ounces	Average Ounces	Grain & Straw Ave. Lbs./Acre
and the same of th	-				AND THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN		1100 21000
Side Oats (Ha	vre)	48	47	62	157	52.3	8905
Rodney		53	49	47	149	49.7	8451
Basin		36	46	40	122	40.7	6920
Improved Garr	y	40	54	62	156	52.0	8848
Park	-	45	44	47	136	45.3	7714
Gopher		47	46	30	123	41.0	6976
Mission		45	49	41	135	45.0	7657
Bridger		46	59	35	140	46.7	7941
Horsford		42	38	46	126	42.0	7147
Belford		44	39	34	117	39.0	6636
Ceres		42	42	46	130	43.3	7373
Spring rye Pr	olific	46	49	31	126	42.0	7147
Analysis	of Var	iance				x s.e.x	7642 716.6777
Source	D.F.	Mean Sq	uare F	,		L.S.D	NS 9.38 %
Replications	2	36,5833	4 -	-			
Varieties	11	59.7045	5 -	-			
Error	22	53.21.97	0				

35

Total

PROJECT NUMBER: 5023 (Spring Barley)

PERSONNEL: Leader - Vern R. Stewart

Cooperators - R. F. Eslick and E. A. Hockett

FUNDS: State - \$ 723.33

LOCATION: Northwestern Montana Branch Station - field numbers X-4, Y-1, Y-7, Y-8

DURATION: Indefinite

### OBJECTIVES:

 To determine the adaptation of new and introduced barley varieties and selections

 To maintain a pure genetic varietal seed source of recommended spring barley.

### EXPERIMENTAL DATA:

#### INTRODUCTION

The spring barley project was reduced again this year because of fund limitations and it was felt other areas should be emphasized at this time.

The testing of barley varieties was the only item in the barley project.

Line row and approved seed production are included in the report but are not research projects.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A complete description of procedure and design for variety testing and seed production are found on page seventy of the 1961 Annual Report of the North-western Montana Branch Station.

Only the irrigated intrastate and Station nursery was grown in 1962. It contained twenty-four entries and was grown in field number Y-7.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The irrigated intrastate and Station yield nursery was used this season because of wanting the entries in this nursery. In most seasons, rainfall at the Station will produce yields equal to irrigated yields in other locations. This season the rainfall was several inches below normal. In spite of the reduced precipitation, the mean yield was 73.0 bushels per acre and the range 63.2 to 86.5. It is interesting to note that there was no significant differences in varieties this year. Complete agronomic data for this study are found in Table XX.

Table XXI is a summarisation of annual and yearly data of barley yield nurseries for the years 1953 to 1962 inclusive.

Foundation Ingrid was grown in field number Y-7. Foundation Freja was grown in Y-1. Approved Ingrid was grown in field X-4.

Association Number	Variety	Generation	Field Inspection	Seed Produ	iced
621-1313	Ingrid	Foundation	Passed	1120	Lbe.
No number	Ingrid	Approved	Passed	1.60	Bu.
No number	Freja	Foundation	Passed	350	Lbs.

in 1962.	
Montana 1	,
Greston,	
nursery,	
yleld	
Station	
and a	T-7
intrastate	field number
-11	
on the irrigated	five replications
P.	-
data	43
Agronomic	Four row pl
Table XX	

Bate See	Date Seeded: April 30,	30, 1962		Date Ha	rvest	ad: At	igust.	16, 1	1962	Siz	e of F	1001	enbs o	re feet	on the supplemental party and the supplemental p
		Head-	13.6	-Spor							Lbs.	Bu.			
		ing	å,	ing		Brans	Per 1	Plot		Total	Per	Fer	Bu.	Thins 2	Plumps 2
Variety	C. I. No.	Date	In.	80	ы	11	111	AI	A	Grana	Acre	Acre	Mr.	Average	Average
Jubilee	Can. 268	6-59	34	0.0	620		575	590	552	2858	34,30	72.5	19.5	29.0	77.0
Palliser	10860	6-59	32	11.2	651		535	561	009	2873	3446	71.8	148.7	8.6	91.4
Piroline	9558	6-28	28	0.0	650		290	097	530	2880	3456	72.0	53.9	5.8	94.2
Glacier x Hanchuria	58-5614	6-22	33	3.0	650		634	550	520	2904	34,85	72.6	6.94	30.01	89.4
Glacier x Manchuria	58-5630	6-26	38	1.0	515		560	619	675	2907	34.88	72.7	47.6	6.2	93.8
Glacier x Manchuria	58-5724	6-25	37	2.0	603		566	549	555	2864	3437	77.6	47.5	7.2	92.8
Glacier x Manchuria	58-5725	6-26	37	1.0	910		618	625	535	3033	3640	78.3	47.4	0.9	0.46
Glacier x Manchurla	58-5897	6-24	38	4.0	769		885	626	099	34,60	4152	86.5	50.0	11.0	89.0
Lico x Ogalitsu	56-7570-19	6-24	34	3.0	665	530	624	635	809	3062	3674	9.92	46.5	4.9	93.6
Freja	7130	6-59	27	4.9	559		565	260	540	2844	3413	71.1	51.4	8.6	91.4
Gen	724,3	6-23	3	1.0	609		485	655	635	3039	364.7	76.5	1,6.2	2.4	97.6
Larker	37901	6-25	35	1.0	549		625	579	550	2874	3449	72.9	52.2	11.8	38.2
Glacier x Compana	Moc. 75	6-25	33	.02	635		576	977	9	2891	31,69	72.3	6.67	100	98.2
Unitan	10421	6-26	37	2.4	602		64.5	280	555	3057	3668	76.4	47.4	17.0	83.0
Trophy	10647	6-27	33	1.0	61.5		453	475	409	2691	3229	67.3	51.3	14.2	85.0
Ingrid	10083	7 2	29	0.0	670		565	977	585	2830	3396	20.8	57.5	10.2	89.8
Foma	11333	6-26	28	0.0	595		559	553	9	2943	3532	73.6	52.6	4.9	93.6
Svalof 50-109	10524	7-1	58	0.0	635		765	585	584	2919	3503	73.0	52.5	12.8	87.2
Compana	54,38	6-26	28	0.947	550		750	099	569	2848	3418	72.2	50.3	3.2	8.96
Betzes	9689	6-28	29	0.0	629		370	610	610	2919	3503	73.0	51.6	5.4	94.6
Dekap	3351	6-27	38	38.4	9009		591	424	619	2884	3461	72.1	52.3	11.2	88,8
Betzes Erectoides	10871	6-59	53	0.0	574		340	161	209	2529	3035	63.2	51.5	9.2	8.06
Traill	9538	6-28	34	2.0	572		631	481	535	2862	34,34	77.6	1.67	30.0	70.0
Vantage	7324	6-27	36	0.0	581		670	735	266	3126	3751	78.2	51.2	6.0	0.76
1 This was designed	as an	irrigated stud	cady	but no i	rriga		Were								
made during the	growing season	son.							816	:	3505	73.0			
- 0	- 74	6/61 v 2/	L. esta	944					0	20	20 AOF	20 00	36		

2 Plumps = kernels on top a 6/64 x 3/4 sieve. Thins = kernels through a 6/64 x 3/4 sieve. Analysis of Variance | D.F. | Mean S | 14632. | 14632. | 1596. | 199 | 1996. | 119 | 119

3.04 \*

Mean Square 14632.0975 5596.7913

Source Replications Varieties

4806,1088

Error

5.E.Z. .. 186.03 3.875 L.S.D. .. NS NS C.V. ... 5.31% 5.31%

Summary of yields of varieties in the irrigated barley yield nurseries 1953-1962 inclusive at Creston, Montana. Yields reported as a percentage of Vantage. Table XXI

	C. I. or		ωi	Tield f	for Year	(Fig.)	ercent o	*****	92			Station	Jo
ariety or Selection	Sel. No.	1.953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958		1960	- 4	1962	Tears	Vantage
antage in Bu/Acre	7324	6.96	97.0	74.1	74.47	4.07	81.9	7.06	55.8	72.5	78.2	10	79.1 Bu/A
Setzes	6398	59		37	8	68	88		117		93		16
letzes Erectoides	10871								111		18		100
Josephana	5438	947	63	69	47/	77	2		117		92		777
Jelcap	3351			77	36	66	50	100	106		22		92
oma	11333										76		76
reja.	7130	Z	112	113	110	3176	107	101	100		턳		101
Olin	7243	3	98	72				95	101		86		8
Lacier x Compans	Moc. 75										25		92
Lacier x Manchurla	58-5614										8		93
Lacier x Manchuria	58-5630										33		96
Aacier x Manchuria	58-5724										35		95
lacier x Manchuria	58-5725										100		100
Hacier x Manchuria	58-5897										111		111
Ingrid	10083				132	134	115	113	123		16		119
fubilee	Can. 268										72		95
arker	10648										35		96
Geo x Ogalitsu	56-7570-19								117		98		111
alliser	10860										8		25
iroline	9558				103	977	96	104	130		35		108
3valof 50-109	10524						112	108	141		83		110
ra111	9538				2	95	118	105			85		66
Trophy	10647										98		95
Initan	10421		88	93	103	26	96	777	131		86		104
/antaze	732%	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100		100

PROJECT NUMBER: 5023 (Winter Barley)

PERSONNEL: Leader - Vern R. Stewart

Cooperator - R. F. Eslick

FUNDS: State - \$ 516.66

LOCATION: Northwestern Montana Branch Station in field number R-5c and several

off-station locations

DURATION: Indefinite

# OBJECTIVES:

1. To obtain the information necessary for making varietal recommendations and for evaluating new varieties and selections.

### EXPERIMENTAL DATA:

#### INTRODUCTION

Olympia and Alpine were recommended for western Montana in 1962. These varieties have been the most consistant of any varieties tested in western Montana. Considerable work still needs to be done to find winter hardiness equal that of winter wheat.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A complete description of technique and procedures in small grain research can be found on page seventy of the 1961 Annual Report of the Northwestern Montana Branch Station.

Winter barley work in 1961-62 consisted of the intrastate nursery, two row nursery, six off-station nurseries, and field plots. The intrastate nursery had fourteen entries and was grown in field number R-5c. The two row nursery had six entries, two of which were six row checks and one Winter Emmer entry. The off-station nurseries contained thirteen entries of barley and one of Winter Spelts. Locations and names of off-station cooperators are found in the tabulated data. Field plots were made up of three varieties which had shown promise in earlier varietal testings.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean yield for the intrastate nursery was 53.1 bushels per acre which would be considered good for the area in which it was grown. Ellis and Alpine were significantly higher in yield than the check Olympia. Test weights were fair to good. See Table XXII for details of this study. Grain straw ratio are found in Table XXIII.

The yield data was non-significant from the two row nursery. Stands were good except in Pioneer which was not harvested because there was no survival of any plants. Complete data of this study are found in Tables XXIV and XXV.

### Off-station

The six off-station nurseries will be discussed by counties.

- Missoula This nursery was located on the County Airport. It was a poor location for winter grain. The area was very dry when seeded and there was little or no tillering. Stand estimates were the only data obtained. This information is recorded in Table XXVI.
- Ravalli This nursery completely winter killed except for Winter Spelts.

  Samples of Spelts were harvested and a yield calculated. The mean for the plots was 2816 pounds per acre.
- Lake Ice covered this nursery and a total loss of the nursery resulted.
- Sanders All entries in the winter barley nursery in this location were lost during the winter.
- Mineral Partial stands in two replications lived through the winter in this nursery. Most entries of winter barley were lost in part because of winter kill. Only data secured from this nursery was the average yield of Spelts which came through the winter in good stands. No winter barley data was obtained because of the unevenness of stand. The average of Spelts was 2797 pounds per acre.
- Lincoln Yields were the highest in Lincoln County that have ever been recorded in winter barley research work in that location. Spelts produced the most material per acre and Chase was the only entry significantly higher in yield than Olympia. It is difficult to account for the high C. V. in this study. See Table XXVII for details of this study.

Field plots were grown in three drill width strips about 600 feet long. Samples were taken with a self propelled combine harvesting an area of 439.7 square feet for each sample. Four such samples were taken from each of the three entries.

Tields of each variety are as follows:

Svalof 42-7 - 1214 pounds per acre
Alpine - 1932 pounds per acre
Mty. 56-74 - 1350 pounds per acre.

Table XXVIII is a summary of winter barley yield at Creston, Montana for the years listed. They are given in percent of Winter Glub.

Agronomic data from dryland intrastate winter barley nursery grown at Gresten, Montana in 1961-62. Size of Plot: 32 sq. ft. Date Harvested: August 3, 1962 Four row plots, three replications, field number R-5c. Date Seeded: September 21, 1961 Date Harvested: Au Table XXII .

	G. I.	Head-	Height	Lodg-	Gra	ns per	lot	Total	Ave.	Pu.	Bu.
Variety	No.	Date	Inches	26	I	ппппппппппппппппппппппппппппппппппппппп	Ш	Grams	Acre	Acre	10 to
Svalof 42-7	7187	6-13	34	13.3	798	890	880	2568	2569	53.5	48.0
Kearney	7580	9-9	30	13.7	509	721	643	1873	1874	39.1	49.2
Chase (Neb. 524,34)	9581	6-3	33	11.7	870	240	879	2289	2290	4.7.4	52.5
E111s	9529	6-13	33	15.0	1032	1205	805	3042	3043	63.4#	6.64
Alpine	9578	6-24	32	-	066	1130	1215	3335	3336	69.5%	67.0
Winter Club	592	6-20	32	1.7	624	260	635	2019	2020	42.1	46.7
Olympia	6107	6-11	31	12.3	7774	2016	539	2329	2330	48.5	68.3
GCX - 242	9176	6-13	29	9.3	1143	1025	1005	3171	31.72	1.99	4.84
Va. 59-37-3	10658	9	33	15.0	57.00	775	930	2248	2249	6.94	47.0
CCX - 54 - 3	distance of the last	6-9	37	22.5	1000	955	955	2910	2911	9.09	50.1
CCX - 55 - 8	-	6-12	32	63	996	750	850	2566	2567	53.5	44.44
GCX - Bulk	6625	6-17	30	-	840	77.7	501	2058	2059	\$2.9	47.0
Kty. 56-74	10542	6-8	30	13.3	744	817	781	2342	2343	8,84	48.5
Mty. 50-5400	10294	6-13	32	6.7	1108	870	955	2933	2934	61.1	1.64
Mate. Mumuta to mand on		shade to th	this mines			15			2550	63.1	
ch da	8 m	mificant	Ly more tha	an the		M 60	. (-05)		245.8	5.120	_
Source D.F.	Irlance Mean Sc	ruare F				G.V.	(TO+) *0		9.6	\$ 9.64%	
AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	and designation of	Special state and	Management Association								

3.51 44 Mean Square 6492.705 70629.81 20125.586 28282 Source Replications Varieties Error Total

Table XXIII . Bundle weight and grain straw ratio from the intrastate winter barley nursery at Creston, Montana in 1961-62.

Date Seeded: 9/21/61 Date Harvested: 8/3/62 Size of Plot: 32 square feet

Variety	C. I.	Ounc	es per	plot	Total Ounces	Ave. Lbs. /Acre	Grain Yield #/Acre	Grain Stran Ratio
2-2-0-10-7	97.09	777	67	72	210	5955	2569	1.31
Svalof 42-7	71.87	71		60	183	5190	1874	1.769
Kearney	7580	48	75		181	5133	2290	1.24
Chase (Neb. 52434)	9561	72	46	63		6466	3043	1.12
Ellis	9529	82	81	65	228			
Alpine	9578	91	101	105	297	8423*	3336	1.52
Winter Club	592	72	64	70	206	5842	2020	1.89
11.ympia	6107	72	81	58	211	5984	2330	1.56
30X - 242	9176	83	76	88	247	7005	3172	1.20
7a. 59-37-3	10658	79	60	82	221	6268	2249	1.78
CCX - 54-3	-	89	68	60	217	61.54	2911	1.11
CCX - 55-8	*****	80	64	62	206	5824	2567	1.26
COX - Bulk	6625	91	78	72	241	6835	2059	2.31
	10542	72	64	67	203	5757	2343	1.45
Kty. 56-74 Kty. 50-5400	10294	86	63	72	221	6267	2934	1.14

쓔	Varieties	yielding significantly	
	more than	Olympia (.05).	

Analysis of Variance

Z	6223
S.E.K	470.079
L.S.D.(.05).	1370
L.S.D.(.01).	1848
C.V	7.56%

Source	D.F.	Mean Square	F
Replications Varieties Error Total	2 13 26 41	220.57145 280.03663 91.05861	2.42 3.08

Agronomic data from two row dryland winter barley nursery at Table XXIV . Creston, Montana in 1961-62. Four row plots, three replications, field number R-5c.
Date Seeded: 9/21/61 Date Harvested: 8/3/62 Size of Plot: 16 sq. ft.

Variety	C.I.	Head- ing Date	Ht. in In.	Grams I	per ;	lot	Total Grams	Lbs. per Acre	Bu. per Acre	Bu. Wt.
Alpine Carstens Tschermaks Winter Emmer	9578	6-25 6-11 6- 6 6-21	33 31 30 43	1186 740 605 1004	715 830 574 1185	940 975 670 1060	2841 2545 1849 3249	2842 2546 1850 3250	59.2 53.0 38.5 108.7	47.5 52.0 51.8 29.9
Ellis	9529	6-13	32	1025	934	665	2624	2625	54.7	48.0
Analysis	of Va	riance				X S.E.Z.		2623	54.6 15 5.98	23
Source Replications	D.F.	Mean 5712		e <u>F</u>	:	L.S.D.		NS 10.9	NS 75 10.95	

Varieties 3.16 87045.94 4 27467.6825 Error 8 14 Total

Table XXV . Bundle weights and grain straw ratio from two row dryland winter

barley nursery at Creston, Montana in 1961-62. Date Seeded: 9/21/61 Date Harvested: 8/3/62 Size of Plot: 32 sq. ft.

	C.I.		ounces er Pla	7)	Total	Lbs.	Grain Yield	Grain Straw
Variety	No.	I	II	III	Ounces	Acre	#/Acre	Ratio
Alpine Carstens Tschermaks Winter Emmer Ellis	9578 9529	95 69 60 82 73	65 88 65 97 67	82 87 70 86 46	242 244 195 265 186	6862 6920 5530 751.5 5275	2842 2546 1850 3250 2625	1.415 1.718 1.989 1.312 1.010

X......6421 S.E.R... 611.8011 L.S.D... NS C.V. ... 9.52%

Analysis of Variance Mean Square Source D.F. 6.46667 Replications 2 2.50 Varioties 388.43333 4 155.13333 Error 8 Total. 14

Table XXVI. Stand data obtained from dryland winter barley nursery grown in Missoula County on the County Airport, Missoula, Montana in 1962.

Date Seeded: September 14, 1961

Variety		C. I. No.		Percent Stand
Svalof		7187		30.0
Kearney		7530		27.8
Boz ee x 242		9176		21.3
Boz cc x 349-9		**********		27.5
Ellis		9529		23.8
Boz ec x 54-3		***********		25.5
Alpine		9578		21.3
Ohio Winter		7072		20.0
Olympia		6107		45.0
Kty. 56-74		10542	÷.	4.0
Dicktoo		*****		15.0
Va. 59-37-3		10658		36.5
Chase		9581		44.5
Spelts				78.8

Agronomic data from off-station dryland winter barley grown in Lineoln County on the Dick Britton ranch at Eureka, Montana in 1961-62. Single row plots, four replications. Date Seeded: September 22, 1961 Date Harvested: July 26, 1962 Size of Plot: 16 sq. ft. Table XXVII.

		Helght						Los.	Bu.	
Variety	G. I.	in	H	Grams Fer Flot	Plot	II	Total	Per	Per	Bu.
Manual Service Service Service Service College Service	on the contract of the second									
Stalof	7187	31	370	319	345	707	1438	2158	45.0	0.97
Kearney	7530	31	335	7750	200	315	1570	2356	1.9.1	1.9.2
Bez ce x 242	97.76	32	730	286	260	720	1746	2620	54.6	48.5
Boz ee x 349-9		33	556	417	215	310	1498	2248	2,6.8	7.67
Ells	9529	35	399	375	485	017	1669	2504	52.2	1,8.8
Boz ce x 54-3		32	967	459	435	375	1765	2648	55.2	50.0
Alpine	9578	33	505	259	345	235	1344	2017	42.0	48.0
Ohio Winter	7072	33	067	1,62	586	316	1854	2782	58.0	49.4
Olympia	4019	32	580	017	226	105	1321	1982	41.3	48.2
Kty. 56-74	10542	88	017	3717	308	359	1448	21.73	45.3	48.0
Dicktoo		30	700	176	206	164	876	1422	29.6	-
Va. 59-37-3	10658	35	236	315	320	354	1225	1838	38.3	48.8
Chase	1856	K	365	545	505	534	1949	2925	* 6.09	51.0
Spelts		175	575	892	567	478	2526	3790	129.4 ##	29.3
Note: Olympia i    Calculate	s used as d missing yielding yielding Nariance 3 3599 3 359944	l as a check in thing plot.  Ing significanting sig	a check in this nursery plot. significantly more than significantly more than 3 guare F 1.81 17.666 1.81 15.05761 2.98 **	than the	check(.05) check(.01)	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	(.05)	2390 329.425 941 1258 13.78%	49.8 6.86295 19.6 26.2 13.78%	

Summary of winter bariey varieties grown at Creston, Montana in 1951 to 1962. Tields reported in percent of Winter Club. Table XXVIII .

Bardato or	C.T. or		Average 71	Yield for	T VORP A	s nercent	of Wint	er Club			Station	% of
Gross	Sel. No.	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	1957	1960	1961	1962	Years	Club
Alndne	9578						121	111	66	165	-4	124
Chase	9581									113	r	113
CCX-21.2	97.76	125	ß	92	99	154	98	88	121	157	6	106
GCX-54-3								108	112	149	r7	123
GCX-55-8										127	rl	127
CCX-Bulk	6625							66		102	61	101
Kilis	9529		101	2	80	193	86	121	104	151	¢0	116
Kearnev	7530						3	8	18	93	4	34
Ktv. 56-74	10542		20					109	8	977	50	105
Kty. 50-5400	10294									145	7	145
Olympia	2019						112	125	118	115	4	118
Va. 59-37-3	10658									11	٦	111
Svalof 42-7	71.87							108	126	127	m	120
Winter Club	592 #	444.8	41.3	74.0	80.0	52.0	61.6	26.0	63.6	42.1	6	100

\* Mields in bushels per acre or 100%.

PROJECT NUMBER: 5023 (Oats)

PERSONNEL: Leader - Vern R. Stewart Cooperator - R. F. Eslick

FUNDS: State - \$ 391.66

LOCATION: Northwestern Montana Branch Station in field number Y-7

DURATION: Indefinite

OBJECTIVES: To determine the adaptation of new and introduced oat varieties

and selection by comparison with recommended varieties.

## EXPERIMENTAL DATA:

#### INTRODUCTION

There has been a reduction in the number of acres of oats grown in western Montana the past few years. There is a need for more production to meet the demand.

Root rots in oats have presented a problem when oats are grown on corn stubble.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A complete description of techniques and precedures in small grain research may be found on page seventy of the 1961 Annual Report of the Northwestern Montana Branch Station.

Thirty entries made up the dryland oat nursery, the only one grown in 1962. Twentyfour were the same as those in the Uniform Northwest oat nursery. The other six were Montana entries.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean yield of the dryland nursery was 81.7 bushels per acre. Park was used as a check and although some varieties were higher in yield than the check, none were significantly higher. Several entries were significantly lower in yield than Park.

Lodging was quite severe for cats in this area. This was due in main to the root rot that develops when cats are grown on corn stubble, here on the Station. Table XXIX shows the agronomic data from this nursery.

Table XXX is a ten year summary of several out varieties grown at Creston, Montana.

本本

23.3

2588 2027

R.L. 2123, 9

R.L. 2123.

Mission

Bridger Gopher

67.2 # 82.7 77.2 61.6 #

32.9 Four row plots, three Bu. Size of Plot: 16 square feet \*\* Yield Yield 33.2 87.0 74.7 91.3 90.2 in Grams Total 1391 Agronomic data from dryland oat nursery grown at Creston, Montana in 1962. 420 III Plot Grams Per 473 560 540 440 485 487 484 484 486 475 437 495 449 304 330 418 418 455 455 997 Date Harvested: August 16, 1962 505 H Lodg-58844 5884 ing % Inches Height 350403333333335036 in. Head-6-29 7-8 7-12 7-4 7-7 7-6 Date 7- 4 7-12 7-11 3 ing replications, field no. Y-7. Date Seeded: May 30, 1962 No. C.I. 7557 5958 1145 7572 2592 7594 7573 7575 7575 7591 7578 7577 7578 7593 4181 2053 5662 5611 -М (Roxton x R.L. 1276) (Ajax x R.L. 1276) Garry, Improved R.L. 2123.50 R.L. 2123.10 Table XXIX. Victory 58 ab 2781 Bannock 56 ab 6538 58 ab 2782 58 ab 2777 58 ab 2777 58 ab 2777 58 ab 2787 58 ab 2787 Clinton 59 47 ab 2685 Overland Lanster Variety Markton Russell Park

Table XXIX . (Continued)

Variety	C.I. No.	Head- ing Date	Height in Inches	Lodg-	Gra	Grams Per Plot II III	Plot	Total	Yield in Bu./A.	Bu. Wt.
Basin Rodney Andrew x Mission	5346 6661 50 <b>-</b> 12 <b>-</b> 18	7-10	37 39 40	35.0 61.7 31.7	475 430 226	785 785 364 364	471 460 205	1431	8°5° 8°78 7°67	33.9
Note: Park is used as a * Varieties yielding ** Varieties yielding Analysis of Variance	Park is used as a check in this nursery. Varieties yielding significantly less th Varieties yielding significantly less th Analysis of Variance	s nursery oly less t	an the	check (.05).			C L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	S.E.S.D. (.05) L.S.D. (.01)	81.7 5.6501 16.0 21.3 6.92%	
Source D.F.	Mean Square	(E)								
Replications 29 Varieties 29 Error 58	313.45 17227.04827 2722.57068	6.33 **								

% OF Park 48858485854848484848684888888 No. of Station Years Summary of yields in the dryland oat yield nurseries, 1953-1962 inclusive at Greston, Montana. 89.5 1962 3828 64.3 1961 35 100 103 덩향 17.5 for years as a percent of Fark 952897 123 8623 103 4.66 483 102 22522 63.5 121 25432 1,6.3 56396 20175 170 112.1 Average yield 87 87 87 110 200 825 Mields reported as a percentage of Park. 149.8 1955 8832 154.3 1954 97 333 157.4 2002 7572 7573 7575 7577 7578 90-12-18 0 2592 5346 261 4259 2027 6662 7476 2053 2053 1814 6661 7557 7557 C.I. Sel. Roxton x R.L. 1276)x 1276) Indrew x Mission Garry (Improved) Variety or Cross (Ajax x R.L. 2123.10 2123. 6 2123. 9 Park Bu/Acre Table XXX 2685 Clinton 59 Overland Bridger Anster Victory Markton Mission Russell Bannock Copher Rodney I. ap Basin Park

PROJECT NUMBER: 5023 (Spring Wheat)

PERSONNEL: Leader - Vern R. Stewart
Cooperator - F. H. McNeal

FUNDS: State - \$ 441.66

LOCATION: Northwestern Montana Branch Station in field number Y-7

DURATION: Indefinite

## OBJECTIVES:

1. To determine the adaptation of new and introduced spring wheat varieties and selection by comparison with recommended varieties

 To evaluate material from spring wheat breeding program in Montana and other stations.

## EXPERIMENTAL DATA:

#### INTRODUCTION

Spring wheat yields have been quite low in western Montana under dryland conditions the past three seasons. The yield variations between winter and spring wheat have been much greater the past three years than in previous years.

Spring wheat work this past season was limited to two nurseries grown under non-irrigated conditions.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The two nurseries grown this season are described below. The advanced yield nursery had four durum wheat entries and twenty hard red entries. It was grown in four row plots replicated four times. The Uniform Western Regional white wheat nursery had twenty-four entries and Thatcher is included as a hard red variety. This nursery was grown in three replications.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Yields were very good in this nursery in spite of the low rainfall. B 59-1 was the highest yielding entry with 71.2 bushels per acre. All entries with Norin 10 parentage were significantly higher in yield than Thatcher. Lakota durum also was very good in yield being significantly higher in yield than Thatcher. Stripe rust was noted on several entries. The entries with Norin 10 parentage had the highest rust reading. See Table XXXI for complete agronomic data.

The mean of the white wheat nursery was somewhat lower than the hard red nursery. Onas 53 was the only entry that was significantly better in yield than Lemhi. Stripe rust infection rates are quite variable between entries with some of them showing considerable resistance. Those entries with Idaed parentage all show good to moderate resistance to stripe rust. See Table XXXII for complete agronomic data.

four replications.		30, 1962	Da	Date Harvested.	- 1	Santambar	2 40	1962		Stan of P	Plat. 16 am	awa Past	-
POR VIEW POR		Head-	Ht.	Lodg-		a displayed and a second				5	ald a	Eu. Wt.	
Variety	C. I. Number	ing	ar.	ang s	Stripe	H G	Grams 1	per Plot	ot	Total	in Bu/Acre	in Pounds	
Nrn-10-Bvr 14 x Cnt. B59-3	13587	7 5	100	0	3.7	777	655	7.50	754	2633	65.8 **	60.5	
Mindum (durum)		2-6	20	12.5	0.0	586	573	1,76	317	1946	48.7	0.79	
	13220	7-2	1.7	10.0	3	750	450	44.5	360	1675	41.9	4.09	
Langdon (durum)	13165	7-2	168	0	2.0	521	486	450	465	1922	48.1	62.5	
	12974	7-5	45	0	1.0	554	665	625	521	2365	59.1	61.0	
K 338 x Lee	B61-88	6-59	43	0	.7	569	588	770	726	2593	44 S.79	60.5	
Sawtana	13304	7-7	947	0	1.0	478	465	450	017	1803	45.1	61.1	
Justin (ND 102)	13462	7-4	42	0	0	260	535	293	415	1803	45.1	7.09	
Hartman Sel. 15		2-6	97	1.3	3.0	584	422	481	524	2011	50.3	0.09	
Wrn-10-Byr 14 x Cnt.	B59-1	7- 4	33	0	3.3	737	715	565	831	2848	77.2 44	60.2	
Selltirk	13100	7-2	175	0	0	54.74	465	501	57%	2087	52.2	58.5	
Minn. II-53-404	13465	6-59	63	0	.7	5134	539	405	767	1952	65.87	60.1	
II-50-17 x Pilot B61-95	13586	7 3	188	0	0	6191	665	545	575	2374	7.65	6.09	
Rescue	12435	7-4	63	12.5	4	4364	382	365	097	1643	41.1	0.09	
Hartman Sel. 19		7- 5	13	0	2.7	524	570	500	644	223%	56.0	6.65	
Lee x K.F., R.L. 2938	13463	6-28	175	0	1.3	719	695	482	530	2341	58.5	9.09	
Pembina	13332	7-1	7	0	ů	697	535	4,82	486	1972	49.3	0.09	
Wells (durum)	13333	7-1	2	0	1.7	197	611	525	495	2002	52.6	62.0	
Thatcher	10003	7-1	7	0	£.	550	555	395	489	1989	7.64	59.9	
B49-102 x K338-B61-18	13762	73	45	0	e,	645	405	519	770	2279	57.0	60.5	
Lathrop	13457	7-2	43	0	1.7	544	582	570	570	2266	56.7	60.1	
Ceres	0069	7-4	45	2.0	2.7	067	535	325	379	1729	43.2	60.5	
	B59-10	2 2	34	0	3.3	099	535	629	189	2535	63.4 *	59.0	
Lakota (durus)	13335	7-2	43	0	2.0	089	760	523	634	2597	64.9 WW	61.6	
1 Calculated missing plot	plot									114		53.8	
Note: Thatcher is used as a check in this	s a check	in this	nursery	ery						134 50 50	12 ES	3.9439	
Varieties	signific	untly mo		than the		(.05).				L.S.D.	(.05)	11.2	
** Varieties yielding	ylelding significantly more	intly no		than the	check (	.01).				L.S.D.	~	00	
D.F.	Mean Square	B4										7.33 %	
ons 3	27408.65	4.41 #	幸										
CA!	6221.67734	4.45 #	ık										
8													

	enya F. Sel. Sel. Burt 42-5 Burt 19-1 Lemhi 6 LMH x Idd x Idaed 2		Head- ing			ľ				A COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER, WHEN	
C. 1.   Jug Stripe in Greens For Flot   Total in includer   Total   Includer   Total   Includer   Total   Includer   Total   Includer   Total   Includer	x Kenya F.  x Kenya F.  53  an Sel.  53  x Kenya F.  x Kenya F.  x Kenya F.  x Kenya F.  x Lemhi 6  a -LMH x Iddd  52 x Idaed 2		ing		Et.				and the second linear laboration	Yield	Bu. Wt.
F. 1956 7-1 3-3 38 474 1 506 442 1422 47.4 58.0 1170 6 6-27 1.7 40 599 578 601 1778 59.2 59.9 578 1401 1778 59.2 59.9 578 1401 1778 59.2 59.9 578 1401 1778 59.2 59.9 578 1401 1778 59.2 59.9 578 1401 1778 59.2 59.9 578 1401 1505 7-1 1.7 40 599 578 1401 1505 7-1 1.7 40 599 578 1401 1505 7-1 1.7 56 580 520 1400 11878 52.2 59.9 570 1178 59.2 59.9 570 1178 59.2 59.9 570 1178 59.2 59.0 59.0 11878 52.2 59.0 570 11878 52.2 60.5 59.0 570 11878 59.2 59.0 59.0 59.0 59.0 59.0 59.0 59.0 59.0	x Kenya F.  53 an Sel.  53 an Sel.  1 x Burt 42-5 x Kenya F.  x Kenya F.  x Kenya F.  x Lemhi 6 a -LMH x Idd 52 x Idaed 2			Stripe	Tu		Fer	Plot.	Total	133	
F. 13268 7-1 3.3 38 474 1 506 442 1422 47.4 58.0  11706 6-27 1.7 40 599 578 601 1778 59.2 59.0  11806 6-27 1.7 1.3 36 588 520 471 1451 1451 1451 151. 61.0  11827 7-1 3.0 34 565 613 600 1878 62.6 \$50.0  11828 7-1 1.3 3.0 34 485 535 540 1560 52.0 60.5  11828 7-1 1.7 36 486 535 540 1560 52.0 60.5  118-1 13639 7-1 1.7 36 486 535 1491 149.0 58.9  1.1 13640 7-1 1.7 36 520 1491 149.0 58.9  1.1 13650 7-1 1.7 38 560 561 1253 1491 149.0 58.9  1.1 13650 7-1 1.7 38 560 561 1637 54.6  1.1 13650 7-1 1.7 38 540 599 595 1497 149.0 58.9  1.1 13650 7-1 1.0 38 441 577 510 1497 149.0 59.5  1.1 13650 7-1 1.0 38 441 577 520 1500 50.3 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 441 530 550 1501 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 441 530 550 1500 50.3 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 441 530 550 1500 50.3 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 441 530 550 1500 50.3 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 446 510 1509 50.3 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 446 510 1509 50.3 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 446 510 1500 50.3 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 446 510 1500 50.3 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 446 510 1500 50.3 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 446 510 1500 50.3 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 446 510 1500 1692 56.4 59.2  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 446 510 1500 1692 56.4 59.2  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 446 510 1500 1692 56.4 59.2  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 446 510 1500 1692 56.4 59.2  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 466 510 1609 50.3 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 466 510 1609 50.3 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 466 510 1609 50.3 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 466 510 1609 50.3 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 466 510 1609 50.3 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 38 560 510 1500 50.3 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 58 477 521 510 1500 50.3 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 58 445 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 58 445 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 58 477 521 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 58 477 521 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 58 477 521 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 58 56.4 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 58 56.4 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 58 56.4 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 58 56.4 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 58 56.4 59.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 58 56.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 58 56.0  1.1 13721 7-1 1.0 58 56.0  1.1 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	x Kenya F.  x Kenya F.  53  an Sel.  1 x Burt 42-5  x Kenya F.  x Kenya F.  x Kenya F.  x Lemhi 6  a -LMh x Idd  52 x Idaed 2		Date	Rust	In.	I	- 1	III	Grams	Bu/A	Pounds
F. 11706 6-27 1.7 40 599 578 601 1778 47.4 59.5 13641 1738 59.2 13652 7-6 4.0 34 665 613 600 1878 47.7 59.5 13652 7-6 4.0 34 665 613 600 1878 62.6 \$90.0 1878	x Kenya F.  53 an Sel.  53 an Sel.  1 x Burt 42-5  53 x Kenya F.  x Kenya F.  x Kenya F.  x Lemhi 6 a -LMH x Idd 52 x Idaed 2		7-1	3.3	3%		404	1.13	21.00		0 0
F. 13641 7-12 1.3 36 588 520 443 157 59.5 59.9 136.1 136.2 7-4 2.3 39 540 443 157 146.1 48.7 60.4 136.2 136.3 7-4 6.2 6.4 6.5 61.3 660 1878 62.6 * 59.0 136.2 7-1 1.7 3.0 34 485 520 540 1560 52.0 60.5 136.3 7-4 6.3 11.7 56 52.0 540 1560 52.0 60.5 136.3 7-4 6.3 13.2 58 7-4 6.3 13.2 58 7-4 6.3 13.2 58 7-4 6.3 13.2 58 7-4 6.3 13.2 58 7-4 6.3 13.2 58 7-4 6.3 13.2 58 7-4 6.3 13.2 58 7-4 6.3 13.2 58 7-4 6.3 13.2 58 7-4 6.3 1.3 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.	x Kenya F.  53 an Sel.  53 x Kenya F.  53 x Kenya F.  1 x Burt 42-5 x Kenya F.  1 x Burt 19-1 x Lemhi 6 a -LMH x Idd 52 x Idaed 2		46-9	11	2		2	200	Tokk	47.44	58.0
13638 7-6 4.0 38 520 443 1551 51.7 61.0 13638 7-6 4.0 34 665 613 600 1878 62.6 8.0 13639 7-1 1.7 36 520 540 1560 52.0 60.5 13639 7-1 1.7 36 520 541 505 1256 52.2 60.0 13630 7-2 4.0 46 476 447 300 1878 62.6 8.0 13238 7-2 4.0 46 476 447 300 1259 42.0 60.5 13238 7-2 4.0 36 426 555 430 1471 49.0 58.0 19-1 Pend. 6-30 1.7 33 560 561 1497 49.0 58.0 13630 7-2 4.0 33 515 440 535 1497 49.0 50.0 13630 6-28 2.0 4.0 39 421 520 1497 49.0 59.5 13631 6-28 2.0 4.0 39 421 520 1532 44.1 58.0 13631 6-28 2.0 4.0 39 421 520 1532 44.1 58.0 13631 7-7 2.3 39 445 499 466 1140 47.0 59.4 13632 6-27 1.0 38 440 530 530 1500 50.0 59.0 13631 7-1 4.0 37 614 430 529 1573 52.4 59.5 13631 6-27 1.0 38 446 530 530 1500 50.0 59.0 13639 6-27 1.0 38 446 530 530 1500 50.0 59.0 13630 7-7 4.0 38 466 510 480 1555 52.4 59.5 13631 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13639 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13639 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13639 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13639 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13639 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13639 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13639 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13639 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13639 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13639 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13720 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13720 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13720 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13720 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13720 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13720 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13720 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13720 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13720 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 59.0 13720 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 50.0 59.0 13720 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1590 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 5	x Kenya F.  x Kenya F.  x Lemhi 6		100	7	37	244	578	109	1778	59.5	59.9
13638   7-6   4.0   34   665   613   1461   42.7   60.4     13639   7-1   1.7   36   520   541   560   1878   62.6   59.0     13639   7-1   1.7   36   520   541   505   1566   52.2   60.5     13639   7-1   1.7   36   520   541   505   1566   52.2   60.5     13722   7-4   4.0   46   476   447   390   1253   41.8   61.0     13640   7-4   2.0   33   515   440   535   1490   49.0     13630   7-4   2.0   33   515   510   1497   49.0     13630   7-3   4.0   39   515   510   1497   49.0     13630   6-28   1.3   38   515   510   470   1497     13631   6-28   2.0   43   469   535   530   1564   59.5     13632   6-29   2.0   44.1   59.0   1564   59.1     13632   7-1   4.0   37   444   495   1322   44.1   58.0     13632   6-27   1.0   38   445   495   466   1410   47.0   59.4     13433   6-27   1.0   38   445   495   510   1509   50.3   59.0     13435   6-27   1.0   38   446   530   1509   50.3   59.0     13435   6-27   1.0   38   466   511   1509   50.3   59.0     13435   6-27   1.0   38   466   511   1509   50.3   59.0     13435   6-27   1.0   38   466   511   1509   50.3   59.0     13435   6-27   1.0   38   466   510   1692   56.4   59.2     13631   13721   7-1   4.0   37   521   511   1509   50.3   59.0     13435   6-27   1.0   38   466   510   1692   56.4   59.2     13631   13721   7-1   4.0   34   466   510   1692   56.4   59.2     13631   13721   7-1   1.0   34   466   510   1692   56.4   59.2     13631   13721   1-3   1-3   1-3   1-3   1-3   1-3     13631   13721   1-3   1-3   1-3   1-3   1-3   1-3   1-3     13631   13721   1-3   1-3   1-3   1-3   1-3   1-3   1-3   1-3     13631   13721   1-1   1-1   1-1   1-1   1-3   1-3   1-3   1-3     13631   13721   1-1   1-1   1-1   1-1   1-1   1-3	53 1 x Burt 42-5 1 x Kenya F. 1 x Burt 19-1 1 x Lemhi 6 2 - LMH x Iddd 52 x Idaed 2		1-12	1.3	36	5888	520		1551	51.7	61.0
13257 7 - 6 4.0 34 665 613 600 1878 62.6 59.0 1878 62.0 59.0 59.0 59.0 59.0 59.0 59.0 59.0 59	53 1 x Burt 42-5 1 53 x Kenya F. 1 x Burt 19-1 1 x Lemhi 6 2 - LMH x Idd 52 x Idaed 2		7-1	2.3	39	540	450		17,61	128.7	409
## 13639 7-1 3.0 37 485 535 540 1560 52.0 60.5 1697 1697 7-1 1.7 36 520 541 505 1566 52.2 60.0 1697 7-1 1.7 36 520 541 505 1566 52.2 60.0 1697 7-1 1.7 36 520 541 505 1566 52.2 60.0 1697 7-4 2.0 33 515 447 330 1471 49.0 62.9 61.0 169-1 15640 7-4 2.0 33 515 447 330 1471 49.0 61.0 169-1 15630 6-28 1.3 38 515 510 470 1497 49.9 59.5 140 13631 6-28 2.0 43 442 520 550 1634 54.6 60.4 4734 7-7 2.3 35 540 635 530 1634 54.0 59.5 13631 6-28 2.0 35 447 577 516 1534 54.5 60.5 13631 6-28 2.0 35 447 577 516 1534 54.5 60.5 50.0 13631 6-28 2.0 35 447 577 516 1534 54.5 60.5 50.0 13630 7-7 2.3 35 445 495 1322 44.1 59.6 52.0 59.0 1573 52.0 59.0 59.0 13435 6-27 1.0 38 440 530 530 1573 52.4 58.5 59.0 15003 6-29 0.0 40 555 541 412 1509 50.3 59.0 59.0 59.0 59.0 59.0 59.0 59.0 59.0	x Burt 42-5 53 c Kenya F. x Burt 19-1 x Lemhi 6 1 -1M x Idd 52 x Idaed 2 50		2-6	0.4	34	665	613	900	1878	* 7 67	4.00
F. 13722 7-1 1.7 36 520 541 542 52.0 60.0  19258 7-2 4.0 46 476 447 330 1256 52.2 60.0  1926. 13564 7-4 4.0 35 426 555 430 1471 49.0 56.1  19-1 Fend. 6-30 1.7 33 560 561 1497 49.7 54.6  19-1 13630 7-3 4.0 39 421 520 1497 49.7 54.6  13631 6-28 1.3 39 515 510 1497 49.9 59.5  13631 6-28 2.0 4.0 39 421 520 1497 49.9 59.5  13631 6-28 2.0 35 44.7 577 516 1534 52.1 59.5  13631 6-28 2.0 35 44.7 577 516 1534 52.1 59.5  13631 7-7 4.0 35 44.7 577 516 1534 52.1 59.5  13631 7-7 4.0 35 44.7 577 516 1534 52.1 59.5  13632 7-6 4.0 38 44.7 520 1500 50.0 59.0  13435 7-6 4.0 38 44.7 521 1509 50.3 59.5  13637 6-29 0.0 40 555 541 412 1509 50.3 58.5  3 13637 6-27 1.0 38 466 110 1509 50.3 59.5  13638 13637 7-7 4.0 34 466 110 1509 50.3 58.5  3 13637 6-27 1.0 38 466 110 1509 50.3 58.5  3 13637 6-27 1.0 38 466 110 1509 50.3 59.5  23 13637 1-2 4.0 3.0 555 541 412 1508 50.3 58.5  3 13637 6-27 1.0 38 466 110 1509 50.3 58.5  3 13637 6-27 1.0 38 466 110 1509 50.3 58.5  3 13637 6-27 1.0 38 466 110 1509 50.3 58.5  3 13637 6-27 1.0 38 466 110 1509 50.3 58.5  2 13637 1-2 4.0 3.0 5.0 5.0 1692 50.1 1509 50.3 58.5  2 13637 1-2 1.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 1692 50.1 1509 50.3 58.5  2 5999.44 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 1	x Burt 42-5 53 c Kenya F. x Burt 19-1 x Lemhi 6 1 -LMH x Idd 52 x Idaed 2 50		7-1	3.0	37	1.05	434	270	1860	0.00	24.0
F. 13658 7-2 4.0 46 476 544 500 522 600  19-1 Fend 2 13588 7-4 4.0 36 486 555 430 1475 41.8 61.0  19-1 Fend 2 13640 7-4 2.0 33 515 440 535 1490 49.7 61.5  10-1 13650 7-3 4.0 35 486 551 1697 54.6 60.4  10-1 13650 7-3 4.0 35 500 550 1497 54.6 60.4  13631 6-28 1.3 38 515 510 1497 54.6 60.4  13632 6-28 1.3 38 515 510 1497 52.1 59.6  13631 7-7 4.0 35 445 499 466 1410 47.0 59.4  1364 2 13720 6-27 1.0 37 614 430 529 1573 52.4 58.5  13637 6-29 0.0 40 555 541 412 1509 50.3 59.0  13637 6-27 1.0 38 446 510 520 1500 50.3 58.5  13637 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1456 48.5 59.6  3 13637 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1456 48.5 59.0  3 13637 6-27 1.0 3.0 38 466 510 480 1456 48.5 59.0  3 13637 6-27 1.0 3.0 38 466 510 480 1456 48.5 59.0  3 13637 6-27 1.0 3.0 38 466 510 480 1456 48.5 59.5  3 13637 6-27 1.0 3.0 38 466 510 480 1456 48.5 59.0  3 13637 6-27 1.0 3.0 38 466 510 480 1456 48.5 59.0  3 13637 6-27 1.0 3.0 38 50.2 590 1692 56.4 59.2  3 13637 6-27 1.0 3.0 3.0 16.5 590 1692 56.4 59.2  3 13637 6-27 1.0 3.0 3.0 16.5 590 16.0 16.3 59.0  3 12637 6-27 1.0 3.0 3.0 16.5 59	K Kenya F.  x Burt 19-1  x Lemhi 6  1 -LMH x Iddd 2  52 x Idaed 2		7-1	1.7	36	200	1111	240	7700	24.0	200
F. 13258 7-6 4.0 36 447 330 1253 41.8 61.0 16.1 13528 7-6 4.0 33 515 440 535 140 49.0 581.0 16.1 16.1 16.2 13640 7-4 2.0 33 515 440 535 140 49.0 581.0 16.2 13636 6-28 1.3 39 421 520 556 1497 49.9 59.5 13636 6-28 1.3 38 515 510 470 1499 49.7 54.6 60.4 13631 6-28 2.0 35 471 577 516 1564 52.1 59.5 1363 6-29 2.0 35 471 577 516 1564 52.1 59.5 1363 7-7 1 4.0 35 445 499 466 140 470 570 59.0 140 58.0 13435 7-6 4.0 38 440 530 520 1500 50.0 59.0 13435 7-6 4.0 38 440 530 520 1500 50.0 59.0 13435 7-6 4.0 38 440 530 520 1500 50.0 59.0 13435 7-6 4.0 38 440 530 520 1500 50.0 59.0 13435 7-7 4.0 38 440 530 520 1500 50.0 59.0 13435 7-7 4.0 38 440 550 520 1500 50.0 59.0 1500 50.0 50.0 59.0 1600 50.0 50.0 50.0 59.0 1600 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 5	K Kenya F.  x Burt 19-1  x Lemhi 6  1 -LMH x Iddd  52 x Idaed 2  50		0 -6		27	250	14.	202	1200	22.5	000
F. 13640 7-4 4.0 39 480 555 430 1471 49.0 56.9 19-1 13640 7-4 2.0 39 515 440 1 535 1490 49.7 61.5 16.5 13630 7-3 4.0 39 515 510 1490 49.7 61.5 16.5 13630 7-3 4.0 39 515 510 470 1497 54.6 60.4 13635 6-28 1.3 38 515 510 470 1495 440.9 59.5 13631 6-28 2.0 35 471 577 516 1564 52.1 59.6 13631 6-28 2.0 35 471 577 516 1564 52.1 59.6 140.1 13630 7-7 2.3 35 445 499 466 1410 47.0 59.6 11415 7-1 4.0 37 614 430 529 1573 52.1 59.6 11415 7-1 4.0 37 614 430 529 1573 52.1 59.6 11415 7-6 4.0 38 4440 530 530 1500 50.0 59.0 59.0 13435 7-6 4.0 38 4477 521 511 1508 50.3 59.0 59.0 13435 7-6 4.0 38 4466 510 480 1456 48.5 59.3 59.0 1692 56.4 59.2 13.0 48.5 59.0 1692 56.4 59.2 15.0 18.5 59.0 1692 56.4 59.2 15.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16		20000	1 -	0.0	040	6/4	1447	330	1253	8.14	61.0
19-1   Pend.   7-4   2.0   33   515   449   535   1490   49.7   61.5     19-1   Pend.   7-4   2.0   33   560   561   236   1497   54.6   60.4     19-1   13636   6-28   1.3   38   515   510   470   1495   54.6   60.4     13636   6-28   2.0   43   469   635   530   1634   54.5     13637   6-28   2.0   35   44.7   577   516   1534   54.5     14734   7-7   4.0   35   44.8   495   1322   44.1   59.6     1474   7-1   4.0   37   614   430   529   1473   52.4     1475   7-1   4.0   38   440   530   530   1500   59.0     13435   6-27   1.0   38   440   530   530   1500   50.0   59.0     13435   6-27   1.0   38   466   510   480   1509   50.3   59.0     13435   6-27   1.0   38   466   510   480   1509   50.3   59.0     13435   6-27   1.0   38   466   510   480   1509   50.3   59.0     13435   6-27   1.0   38   466   510   480   1509   50.3   59.0     13435   6-27   1.0   38   466   510   480   1509   50.3   59.0     148-1   13721   7-1   4.0   34.6   570   1400   1456   48.5   58.5     149			4	0.4	30	486	522	430	1471	0.64	58.9
1,			4-4	2.0	33	515		535	1490	7.67	61.5
r Idd 2 13630 7-3 4.0 39 421 520 556 1497 49.9 59.5 tot d 2 13636 6-28 1.3 38 515 510 470 1495 49.9 59.5 tot d 2 13636 6-28 2.0 35 471 577 516 1534 54.5 60.5 13631 6-28 2.0 35 447 577 516 1564 52.1 59.6 60.5 13630 7-7 2.3 35 445 499 466 1410 47.0 59.4 4411 58.0 11445 7-1 4.0 37 614 430 529 1573 52.4 58.5 1144.2 13720 6-27 1.0 38 440 530 530 1500 50.0 59.0 59.0 10003 6-29 0.0 40 555 541 412 1509 50.3 59.0 10003 6-29 0.0 40 555 541 412 1509 50.3 59.0 10003 6-29 0.0 40 555 541 412 1509 50.3 59.0 10003 6-29 0.0 40 555 541 412 1509 50.3 59.0 10003 6-29 0.0 40 555 541 412 1509 50.3 59.0 1500 1692 56.4 59.2 150 1500 1692 56.4 59.2 150 1692 160.0 1692 56.4 59.2 150 1600 1600 1600 1600 1600 1600 1600			0-30	1.7	33	260		516	1637	9.75	60
ridd 13636 6-28 1.3 38 515 510 470 1495 49.6 69.5 60.5 13635 6-28 2.0 43 469 635 530 1634 54.5 60.5 60.5 13631 6-28 2.0 35 471 577 516 1564 52.1 59.6 4734 7-7 2.3 35 444 499 466 1410 47.0 59.4 445 499 466 1410 47.0 59.4 445 499 466 1410 47.0 59.4 58.5 13720 6-27 1.0 38 446 521 521 1509 50.0 59.0 10003 6-29 0.0 40 555 541 412 1509 50.3 59.0 10003 6-29 0.0 40 555 541 412 1509 50.3 59.0 59.0 13721 7-7 4.0 34 466 510 449 1692 50.3 59.5 58.5 541 412 1509 50.3 59.5 58.5 541 412 1509 50.3 59.0 10003 6-29 0.0 40 555 541 412 1509 50.3 59.5 58.5 541 412 1509 50.3 59.0 59.0 10003 6-29 1.98 #			7-3	0.4	39	421	520	556	1607	700	KO . 4
lead 2 13635 6-29 2.0 43 469 635 530 1534 54.5 60.5 13631 6-28 2.0 35 471 577 516 1564 52.1 59.6 143 484 495 1322 44.1 59.6 144.0 35 445 499 466 1410 47.0 59.4 144.1 58.0 1144.5 7.1 1.0 38 440 530 530 1500 50.0 59.4 134.35 7-6 4.0 38 440 530 530 1500 50.0 59.0 134.35 7-6 4.0 38 440 530 530 1500 50.0 59.0 59.0 134.35 7-6 4.0 38 440 555 541 412 1509 50.0 59.0 59.0 134.35 7-6 4.0 38 446 510 480 1456 48.5 59.0 1456 59.0 1456 48.5 59.0 1456 4	has 52 x Idaed 2		6-28	1.3	38	51.5	510	7.00	11.06	100	100
13631 6-28 2.0 35 471 577 516 1564 52.1 59.6     4734 7-7 4.0 35 34.3 484 495 1322 44.1 58.0     4734 7-7 2.3 35 445 499 466 1410 47.0 59.4     11415 7-1 4.0 37 614 430 529 1573 52.4 58.5     11415 7-1 4.0 37 614 430 529 1573 52.4 58.5     13435 7-6 4.0 38 477 521 1509 50.0 59.0     13435 7-6 4.0 38 477 521 1509 50.0 59.0     13435 7-6 4.0 38 466 510 480 1456 50.0 59.0     13521 7-1 4.0 38 466 510 480 1456 50.3 59.0     1452 13637 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 1490 1692 50.3 59.0     15 used as a check.	tased 50		6-59	2.0	67	697	635	430	1621	E1 E	24.0
leration 13650 7-7 4.0 35 34.3 484 495 1322 44.1 58.0 11415 7-1 4.0 37 614 439 466 1410 47.0 59.4 48.0 13720 6-27 1.0 38 440 530 530 1500 50.0 59.4 58.5 13435 7-6 4.0 38 477 521 511 1509 50.3 59.0 13435 7-6 4.0 38 477 521 511 1509 50.3 58.5 64 13721 7-10 3.0 38 466 510 480 1456 50.3 58.5 64.5 59.2 13637 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1456 50.3 58.5 64.5 59.2 13637 6-27 1.0 3.0 3.0 559 541 412 1508 50.3 58.5 64.5 59.2 13637 6-27 1.0 3.0 559 540 1450 1692 56.4 59.2 18 used as a check.  As used as used as a check.  As used as used as used as a check.  As used	// manua		6-28	2.0	150	12.7	500	25	1020	24.0	30
Second   13650   7-7   2.3   35   445   499   466   1410   47.0   59.4     x   1445   7-1   4.0   37   445   499   466   1410   47.0   59.4     x   1446   2   13720   6-27   1.0   38   440   530   530   1500   50.0   59.0     x   13435   7-6   4.0   38   446   521   1509   50.3   59.0     x   13435   7-6   4.0   38   466   511   1509   50.3   59.0     x   13637   6-27   1.0   3.0   33   466   510   480   1456   49.5   59.5     x   13637   7-10   3.0   33   612   590   490   1692   56.4   59.2     x   x   x   x   x   x   x   x     x   x	ederation		7-7	0.4	n c	2/2	100	250	7300	74.7	29.0
x Idd. 2 13720 6-27 1.0 37 614 430 529 1470 47.0 59.4 13435 7-6 4.0 37 614 430 529 1573 52.4 58.5 13720 6-27 1.0 38 440 530 530 1500 50.0 59.0 59.0 13435 7-6 4.0 38 4477 521 511 1509 50.3 59.0 59.0 15003 6-29 0.0 40 555 541 412 1508 50.3 58.5 13637 6-27 1.0 3.0 33 612 590 490 1692 56.4 59.2 15 used as a check.  ated missing plot.  ated missing plot.  b.F. Mean Square F 559 599 570 510 1639 54.6 58.2 3.179 of Variance  D.F. Mean Square F 570 510 1639 54.6 58.2 3.179 599.04 1.96 4.2 1.9	renier x Federation		2- 4	200	200	747	404	270	1322	44.	28.0
X   Idd. 2   13720   6-27   1.0   38   440   530   529   1573   52.4   58.5     13435   7-6   4.0   38   440   530   530   1500   50.0   59.0     13435   7-6   4.0   38   440   530   530   1509   50.3   59.0     3   13637   6-27   1.0   38   466   510   480   1456   48.5   59.5     4   13721   7-10   3.0   34   559   570   1692   56.4   59.2     5   13637   6-27   1.0   34   559   570   1692   56.4   59.2     5   13637   5-27   1.0   34   559   570   1692   56.4   59.2     5   13637   5-27   1.0   34   559   570   1692   56.4   59.2     5   5   5   5   5   5   5     5   5	anhi			000	200	447	444	9947	1410	47.0	59.4
13435 7-6 4.0 38 477 521 511 1509 50.0 59.0 134.35 7-6 4.0 38 477 521 511 1509 50.3 59.0 134.35 7-6 4.0 38 466 510 42 1508 50.3 59.0 134.37 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1456 48.5 59.5 59.5 137.21 7-10 3.0 33 612 590 490 1692 56.4 59.2 137.21 7-10 3.0 34 559 570 510 1692 56.4 59.2 13.0 1639 19.0 1639 54.6 58.3 11.9 10.5 11.9 10.5 11.9 10.5 11.9 10.5 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11			400	0.0	100	710	430	529	1.573	52.4	58.5
3 13637 6-29 0.0 40 555 541 412 1508 50.3 59.0 15003 6-29 0.0 40 555 541 412 1508 50.3 58.5 13637 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1456 48.5 59.5 140 13721 7-10 3.0 33 612 590 490 1692 56.4 59.2 150.2 150.2 1639 54.6 58.5 150.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 58.5 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 17.2 1639 54.6 17.2 17.2 17.2 17.2 17.2 17.2 17.2 17.2			2010	T.0	200	440	230	530	1,500	50.0	59.0
3 13637 6-29 0.0 40 555 541 412 1508 50.3 58.5 set did not be did	No. of the state o		0 1	0.4	333	477	521	113	1509	50.3	59.0
13637 6-27 1.0 38 466 510 480 1456 48.5 59.5 59.5 6221 7-7 4.0 3.4 559 570 490 1692 56.4 59.2 59.2 18 used as a check.  1s used as a check.  ated missing plot  1c Variance  2 5939.04  1.96  2 5989.3622  1.98 **  2 3032.69744	istolier.			0.0	9	555	54.7	412	1,508	40.3	u au
18 used as a check.  18 used as a check.  18 used as a check.  19 used as a check.  19 used as a check.  10 3.0 34 559 570 1692 56.4 59.2  10 used as a check.  10 3.0 34 559 570 1692 56.4 59.2  10 used as a check.  10 u				1.0	38	7997	510	480	11.56	La r	KO E
1s used as a check.  ated missing plot  ated missing plot  of Variance  2 5939.04  2 5989.3622 1.98 *  23 3032.89744				3.0	33	612	290	7.90	1602	46.1	2000
1s used as a check.  ated missing plot  ated missing plot  ies yielding significantly more than the check (.05).  of Variance  D.F. Mean Square F  2 5939.04  2 5989.3622 1.98 *  23 3032.89744	-	6221	7	0.4	34	559	2,50	510	1630	57. A	27.00
ated missing plot  les yielding significantly more than the check (.05).  of Variance  D.F. Mean Square F  2 5939.04  23 5989.3622 1.98 *  523 3032.89744		a check.			ALL STREET, ST	and the second		-	1	2000	2000
les yielding significantly more than the check (.05).  Of Variance  D.F. Mean Square F  2 5939.04 1.96 *  23 5989.3622 1.98 *  53 3032.89744		ng plot							in the		24.6
of Variance D.F. Mean Square F 2 5939.04 1.96 23 5989.3622 1.98 *		ng significa	antly mor		e check	(.05)		5	~	04)	2-17
2 5939.04 1.96 *										********	
3032.89744	22.5	E .	1.96								
	43	32.89744	L.y6 #								

PROJECT NUMBER: 5023 (Winter Wheat)

PERSONNEL: Leader - Vern E. Stewart

Cooperators - E. R. Hehn, J. A. Hoffmann\*, E. L. Kendrick\*,

and H. Purdy# (# USDA)

FUNDS: State - \$ 2956.67

LOCATION: Northwestern Montana Branch Station in field number E R-3, and off-

station locations in several Northwestern Montana counties.

PROBABLE DURATION: Indefinite

## OBJECTIVES:

1. To obtain the information necessary for making varietal recommendations

and for evaluating new varieties and selections.

 To conduct a breeding program in Northwestern Montana designed to produce high yielding varieties with particular emphasis on acceptable quality and resistance to dwarf bunt and stripe rust. Other agronomic characteristics such as straw strength, winter hardiness, etc. will be evaluated in this program.

3. To determine cultural practices that will return the highest yields per

acre and the highest quality wheat.

4. To maintain a pure genetic varietal seed source of recommended winter wheat.

### EXPERIMENTAL DATA:

#### INTRODUCTION

Dwarf bunt and stripe rust are the two major disease problems in winter wheat production in western Montana, and no doubt, the major factor affecting wheat production in this area. Quality, the lack of it, can also be added as one of the major problems.

Variety testing, a breeding program, cultural studies, and a cooperative program with the Regional Smut Control Laboratory in Pullman, Washington combine to make the winter wheat project at the Northwestern Montana Branch Station.

Growing of foundation and certified seed is included in the project report, however, it is not a research problem.

Irrigation versus dryland wheat production study was conducted on the Station this year in a field type study.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A complete description of procedure and design are found on page seventy of the 1961 Annual Report of the Northwestern Montana Branch Station of technique used in variety testing and seed production.

Four nurseries were seeded in the fall of 1962 on the Station and in the dwarf smut area northwest of Kalispell, Montana. Six offstation nurseries were seeded in the fall of 1962, one each in Missoula, Ravalli, Lake, Sanders, Mineral, and Lincoln Counties. The Intrastate hard red winter wheat nursery contained twenty-five entries and was grown on the Station in field number E-1. The Western Regional Mursery containing thirty entries was seeded on the Howard Braaten farm northwest of Kalispell nine miles in a dwarf smut area. Sixteen entries were placed in the short straw nursery and grown on the Station in field number E-1. The Western Regional white wheat nursery contained nineteen entries and was grown in field number E-1 on the Station. The off-station nurseries grown in single row plots and replicated four times consisted of sixteen entries. The location, grower, and address are found in the tabulated data included later in this report.

The breeding plots were located on the Howard Braaten farm nine miles northwest of Kalispell. 544 rows of bulk, head rows, and plant rows were seeded and observed during the growing season for dwarf bunt, stripe rust, and other agronomic characteristics. Mr. Bruce McCallum grew several selections for winter hardiness at the North Montana Branch Station at Havre.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Each nursery as presented above will be discussed separately in this report.

# Intrastate Hard Red Winter

Material in this nursery is grown throughout the state of Montana in cooperation with other Agronomists of the Experiment Station system. This nursery was located in field number E-1.

Table XXXIII presents the data from this nursery. Rodco was the lowest yielding entry and had the highest level of dwarf bunt (Tillitia contraversa) infection. Stripe rust (Puccinia striiformis) was not a factor in the nursery this season. The taller variety, generally, lodged considerably more than the shorter strawed varieties. Westmont was used as a check in this nursery and in no case was there any variety significantly higher in yield. Yields were about average for this rotation.

# Western Regional Hard Red Winter

This nursery is grown throughout the western states. In 1961 this nursery was seeded on the Howard Braaten farm in an area known to be infected with dwarf bunt (Race D-3). The nursery was located in an area in the field so that there was low areas in the center of the nursery. This caused a poor stand and uneven growth. Because of this no yield data was secured from the study. However, good smut data was obtained from the nursery and are shown in Table XXXIV. Only six entries of the thirty entries had smut readings under fifty percent. California 6097 showed only a traces of smut. These entries with Wasatch parentage tended to have lower readings, that is, below fifty percent as did Wasatch. Two Utah lines, C.I. 13673 and 13676, were also below fifty percent. Delmar, a variety showing resistance in other areas, had an infection rating of sixty percent.

## Short Straw

The short straw nursery consisting of sixteen entries was grown in field E-1 on the Station. Agronomic data includes grain straw ratio this year as was done in 1961. Two hard red and two soft wheat varieties were included as checks in the nursery. The rest of the entries were semi-dwarf lines.

(Y-8 x N/B-17-8)-16-2 was the only line that was significantly better in yield than Westmont, but it was equal to the yield of Burt. Considerable dwarf smut was observed in the nursery and is recorded in Table XXXV. Little difference was found between the checks and the semi-dwarf in grain-straw ratios. Tables XXXV and XXXVI present the data for this nursery.

# Western Regional White Wheat

This nursery grown throughout the western states by many workers was grown on the Station in field E-1. Mineteen entries were grown including Kharkof, which is a hard red winter, used as a check.

Brever was the highest yielding line in the nursery but not significantly higher than C.I. 13438. These data would also indicate that C.I. 13438 is superior to the yield of Gaines, C.I. 13448. Dwarf smut was found in all entries with C.I. 13649 having the least amount. Table XXXVII gives data from this nursery.

### Offstation

Growing condition results and other information about each nursery will be discussed under the individual county heading.

- Missoula County Stands were quite uniform throughout the nursery. Precipitation was lower than normal during the growing season and, no doubt, accounts for the low yields. Only three replications were harvested because of dry conditions in the first replication. Protein levels were very low for all the hard red entries. Complete data is shown in Table XXXVIII.
- Ravalli County Soil moisture was low at seeding time near Stevensville in the winter wheat region of Ravalli County. Good stands were obtained, however, and were uniform throughout the nursery. The mean of 25.9 bushels per acre is low for this area. Tendoy was the highest yielding variety but the results were not statistically significant and a high C.V. was obtained, therefore, little reliability can be placed on these data. Protein levels were fair except for Rego which was quite low 10.5%. See Table XXXIX for complete details.
- Lake County The nursery seeded in this county was not harvested because of winter kill. Seventy-five percent of the nursery completely killed.
- Sanders County Moisture was a limiting factor in this nursery. Stands were very uneven within a variety from one replication to another. Burt and Omar were very poor in stand. During the growing season, Tendoy and Cheyenne appeared to be outstanding in the nursery. Cheyenne, Tendoy, and

(Yogo x Wasatch-3) Cheyenne 56-6-5 were significantly better in yield than Westmont. Table XL presents complete data from this nursery. Protein levels are very good in this study with (Itana #6 x K-17-7-3)-1-26-1 being the highest.

Mineral County - A poor location and stubbling in this nursery help account for the high C.V. of 18.00% in this nursery. When analyzed statistically the results were found to be non-significant. Stands were variable with the white wheat entries having the poorer stands. Dwarf bunt in many varieties was recorded which is believed to be Race B-3. Table KLI shows complete data for this study.

Lincoln County - This study was located on soil plowed out of hay the previous summer. Yields were considerably above the average for this area. Cheyenne was the only variety that was significantly less in yield than the check. The white wheats in this nursery were equal to the hard reds in most cases. Protein levels were fair. See Table XLII for complete tabulations of data.

## Breeding Material

The breeding material this past season was grown in an area known to be infected from Race D-3 of dwarf bunt. The material used was from selections made in 1961.

A total of 544 rows were planted in the fall of 1961 from the above selections. During the growing season these plantings were observed for dwarf smut and stripe rust. There was no infection of stripe rust in this area in 1962 so no selection was made on this basis in 1962. All rows containing any dwarf smut were discarded at harvest time. Other selections were made on the basis of acceptable agronomic characteristics. The bulk rows selected, of which there were twenty-six, were checked for winter hardiness by Bruce McCallum at Havre. The bulk rows were also checked for yield on the Station at Creston. In addition to the above mentioned material, twenty-four rows of F2 population material wase planted on October 6 to observe for dwarf smut. Because of the late planting, poor stands were obtained and this material was not studied further.

The bulks sent to Mr. McCallum all winter killed at the Havre Station. Of the twenty-six bulks planted, seven were harvested and checked for yield, straw strength, and smut resistance. See Table XLIII for yield and other data.

Rows selected and bulked in 1962 totaled seventy-seven. Plant selections made totaled 208. These were selected from sixteen individual rows and Ithirteen rows Ithat were grown by crosses. Tables XLIV and XLV are made as a record of selections made in 1962 of bulk rows and plant selection.

### Plant Pathology

Dr. James Hoffmann continued his basic studies on dwarf bunt. The staff aided him during the winter months in removing plant material from the plots each month during the winter months. Dr. Purdy continued the chemical studies and Dr. Kendrick the race studies of dwarf smut. Complete report of their work may be found in the Regional Smut Control Laboratory Annual Report of 1962.

## Cultural Studies

Irrigation versus dryland winter wheat production was the purpose of this study. Winter wheat (Westmont) was seeded on a land from which a red clover seed crop was harvested. This area contained 1.75 acres. One-half was irrigated May 31, 1962. Two inches of water was applied. Table XLVI shows yield and protein data.

## Seed Production

Foundation Westmont was grown from line row seed. This was produced in field number E-4. A total of 536 pounds of clean seed was produced.

Certified Westmont production amounted to approximately thirty bushels. This is First Generation certified seed and was grown in field number R-3c.

Mean Square 146085,1667 35312,94167 20351,3819

Source Replications Varieties

Total

Size of Plot: 32 sq. ft. Agronomic data from dryland intrastate winter wheat nursery groum at Creston, Montana in 1961-62. Four row plots, four replications, field no. E-1. Date Seeded: September 20, 1967 Pate Harvested: August 13, 1962 Size of Plot: 32 : Table XXXIII

Variety	C.I.No.	Head- ing Pate	Samut	Height in Inches	Lodg.	N H	II D	Trams per plot	AI	Total Grams	Ave. Bu/ Acre	Bu.
Chevonne	8888	6-15	200	17	23,3	1228	006	1005	1303	9677	55.5	0.09
Karmont	6700	6.17	23,8	777	48.3	1005	925	1011	1089	0507	50.4	0000
Reno	13181	6-13	3.0	777	5000	1246	1231	1220	1150	7.87	9.09	58.5
lieutine le	6935	6-14	31.3	77	56.7	757	786	1070	1210	3790	47.4	59,2
Ttana	12933	6-15	3.87	77	SERVING STATES	789	6701	1095	1069	2002	50.3	60,5
Medment	12930	01-9	35.0	37	7.7	860	1075	1165	17.79	6257	57.2	61.3
Tendoy	13426	6-14	27.5	63	13,3	920	366	1175	1245	4335	54.2	59.8
Triblet	24,08	6-12	31.3	77	0	915	1179	7117	1260	3977	55.9	7.65
Rodeo	-	6 3	56.3	07	36.7	702	730	605	1045	3062	38.54	6.65
Wasateh	11925	6-14	7,00	57	25.0	1065	1073	786	925	7,047	50.6	20.65
Yogo x (Turkey/Oro-221)-117	13542	6-16	20,0	63	50,0	27.8	935	626	1150	3898	787	60,4
Yoro/Mesatch-3 x Cheyenne 56-10-1	13633	6-13	10	45	77.11	076	096	1180	970	0707	50.5	0.09
Togo/Magateh-3 x Cheyenne 56- 6-5	40 contracted	6-12	9.6	77	1.7	1085	1085	1000	776	7607	51.2	61.4
	approximation of the last	6-14	7.5	777	25.0	870	815	1225	1000	3910	6.87	90.66
(Itana #6 x K-17-7-3)-1-26-1	-	6-13	17.5	57	1.7	880	626	1069	1459	4367	54.6	60,2
(Items #6 x K-17-7-3)-1-26-2	ACCUPATION AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE	572	38	177	7.0	870	865	926	1309	3990	6.67	0,09
(Ttana %6 x K-17-7-3)-1-26-4	-	6-15	33,3	07	onesee.	826	710	1070	1045	3651	45.6#	59.6
(Itana //6 x K-17-7-3)-1-26-5	-	6-14	41.3	75	Le	803	076	1070	1410	77.92	52.4	61.0
(Kall/a/ak x Wagsas) alaloa5	SERVICE SERVIC	6-16	4.0	127	30.0	788	1181	905	1095	4065	8000	60,1
(Mggs 2 x K-17-7-2) -1-8-5	Agents on equality	6m16	100	63	0.07	666	965	975	615	3574	47.078	600
N	STREET, STREET	6-17	21,3	87	5.0	1126	1158	996	1106	4356	54.5	61,0
Fe .	134/2	6-16	16.3	70	distingents	1171	1050	1145	1060	7756	55.3	50.65
Wagateh x (Yogo x Resemb-21)-11	-	6-12	11.3	07	61.7	1070	1089	1115	7311	8777	55.4	59.5
(Yogo x Resous-21) x Marmin-1065	dipolentario della	6-16	21,3	97	50,0	016	1150	1105	1179	4334	63.9	600
Manatch x (Yoro x Recene-21)-12	Speciments and	6-15	13.8	67	30.0	1155	1250	1100	1060	5957	57.1	6000
Note: Westmont is used as a cheek in this	t in this	nursery						8				
VATTOTION	serring res	e unan	tine on	check (5%)				0	:		27.07	
MALYSIE OF VETTE	9							Nemen a			2000	7.7
Remit actions 3 1/6084 1647	77.7588							D. C.	(60.)		10°01	
100											1	

TableXXXIV . Heading dates and dwarf sout percentage from the Western Regional hard red winter wheat nursery grown on the Howard Braaton form at Halispell, Montena in 1961-62. Date Seeded: September 18, 1961

Varioty	C. I. No.	Heading Date	Percent Smit
Columbia Westwent Itana Kharkof Ric Burt Wasatch Cheyenne Tendey Delmar Yogo x Turkey/Oro-117 Yogo/Wasatch=3 x Cheyenne-56-10-1 Winalta California 6097 231=6-13 Utah 226-173-11 Utah Pope Alicel-Ren-FCO x Cheyenne <sup>2</sup> Fope Res-Ric x Cheyenne <sup>5</sup> Fope (Res-Ric x Cheyenne <sup>5</sup> ) x Turkey <sup>2</sup> (Itana % x Kal7-7-3)-1-26-2 (Itana % x Kal7-7-3)-1-26-2 (Itana % x Kal7-7-3)-1-26-5 (Kal7-7-6 x Wasa-6)-1-10-5 (Wasa-2 x Kal7-7-2)-1-8-5 (Wasa-3 x Itana-6)-2-10-5 (Yogo x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3 (Yogo x Rescue-21) x Marnin-1065 Wasatch x (Yogo x Rescue-21)-11	12928 12930 12933 1422 10061 12696 11925 8885 13426 13422 13633 13670 13673 13673 13676 13675 13674	6-20 6-22 6-23 6-23 6-23 6-23 6-23 6-23 6-23	65.0 69.3 77.5 77.5 73.6 88.5 48.6 76.3 72.5 60.0 90.0

Head- ing Jarg C.J. Ng. Late	C. I. 119.	Inade ing Date	Smut	Reight in Inches	Lodg-	T G3	TI ber	plot	77	Total Crame	Per.	20
Itana	12933	6m3.6	33.8	27	6.7	1000	1025	1055	985		50,0	50,0
(/Bal7a6 % Tal3)al8al		6.214	27.5	23	-	1125	1140	10.65	1020	4330	52.1	38.8
(Tac 2 11/Ballace) w/ac.		6.8	16.3	3%	granists	1240	1165	1264	1205	71.37	6000	600
(Yet z 1/2017at) 15a2		6-10	26.3	133	appropriate and a second	1225	0771	1385	1325	5325	8,99	609
Nastmont	12930	6-30	27.5	36	dissense	1170	975	1055	1220	0677	55.3	1.9
(Not 3: 11/301/201) wilming		01-9	33.8	22	dissentation	1215	930	1150	880	7365	622.3	65
(Buntley 5 B x 1304)-97-74		6 00	13.8	N	5.0	1060	1124	1145	1210	06,57	96.7	22.5
Durch	12696	6-13	22,0	37	***************************************	1205	1172	1386	1556	5327	66.5	69
(Huntley 5 B z 103A)-57-224		6-20	23.8	37	18,3	1235	1075	1225	1050	2588	577.3	65
Nobe Sel. No. 59407		6. 7	26.3	200	apments	000	936	1266	200	4907	80%	629
for the	13442	6-15	80	37	STATISTICS.	1259	1001	1160	1216	6773	0.65	500
Ho.		07-9	67.5	37	850,000	882	755	1025	92.5	3550	20.00	66
Sell. No.		00	45.0	30	000000	272	619	900	2770	3030	37.9	58
. No.		6 3	51.3	28	stempts	576	006	1245	929	3910	6.87	62
no.		6.10	32,8	39	3,3	1115	1085	2370	1205	4575	57.2	60
no.		6. 7	009	31	-	685	069	986	1033	3300	42.4	66

53.8	2.71054	7.7	10,3	5,025
***************************************	***********	********	*******	************
		S.D. (*05)	L.S.D. (*01)*******	SaVe ceeses
9 20 1	ıš.	ď.	No.	ů

5.52 as

Replications Varieties Error Total

Analysis of Variance

Source

Bundle weights and grain straw data from the dryland short straw nursery grown at Creston, Montana in 1961-62. Four row plots, four replications, field number E-1. Date Seeded: September 21, 1961 Date Harvested: August 14, 1962 Size of Plot: 32 square feet Table XXXVI

							Yields	Grain	Grain
	C. I.	Plot	Flot Mields in Ounces	In Ounc	68		in Lbs.	Tields	Straw
Variety	Manber	I	Ħ	III	AI	Total	per Acre	Lbs./Acre	Ratio
Itana	12933	36	89	68	8	337	377	3050	1.350
(/B-17-6 x I-18)-18-1		96	111	96	92	395	87.02	327.0	7 506
(Y=2 x N/B-17-2)-7-4		10	0.0	70	96	267	2004	2660	100
0 71 10 61 11/11 - 0 11		2 6		1100	000		2000	0000	40770
[ I = S X N D=1 (= S)-TO-Z		476	11.5	115	117	4443	9380	07070	1.339
Westmont	12930	16	85	60	106	367	7806	3316	1.354
(Y-4 x N/B-17-4)-1-4-2		90	75	102	8	347	7381	3125	1.362
(Huntley 5 B x 130A)-57-74		79	48	76	64	357	7529	3702	1.21
Durt	12696	999	80	113	127	770	8818	3000	1.276
(Huntley 5 B x 103A)-57-224		100	600	96	87	370	7870	3770	1.287
Neb. Sel. No. 59407		88	88	107	24	353	7508	3051	1.467
Delmar	13442	66	68	92	66	379	3061	3540	7.277
Neb. Sel. No. 391-56-D4		75	9	101	32	320	9089	2663	1.556
Neb. Sel. No. 391-56-DB		79	55	8	62	261	5551	2273	1.442
Neb. Sel. No. 59590		83	775	107	2	334	7107	2933	1.1.22
Neb. Sel. No. 533211		96	8	101	109	398	8465	34.33	1.466
Neb. Sel. No. 551556		65	26	85	95	298	6338	2482	1.554
	and settled to the settled set	Chamber of Contract of Contrac		- Contraction of the last of t	AND OF STREET,			processor of the contract of t	ethicula establishment
					10		46.20		
Analysis of Variance					(A)		129-697		
					-		I do a double		

Replications Varieties

Error

Source

Agronomic data from dryland Western Regional white winter wheat nursery grown at Creston, Montana in 1961-62. Four row plots, four replications, field no. E-1. Date Seeded: September 21, 1961. Date Harvested: August 14, 1962. Size of Plot: 32 square feet Table XXXVII.

		1		P 0 11	10001						T.T.e.L.a.	
Variety	C.I.No.	ing Date	Smut %	i. Li	ing %	T G	Grams per II	Plot	M	Total	in Bu/A.	Bu. Wt.
Kharkof	1442	6-14	23.7	07	61.7	1015	1015	1085	790	3905	48.8	60,3
Golden	10063	6-15	28,8	75	6.7	1060	1115	1020	850	5707	50.6	59.5
Omar	13072	91-9	14.8	39	3.3	1268	1156	1365	1025	77877	60.2	60.5
Brevor	12385	6-15	4.5	35		1351	1421	1310	1395	2777	68.5	61.5
Triplet	5408	6-12	13.8	39	18,3	1085	970	1210	752	4017	50.2	61.5
Elgin	11755	91-9	26.3	36	1	1361	1045	1325	1010	4741	59.3	60.5
Burt	12696	6-12	21.3	36		1255	1235	1220	1086	9647	0.09	61.5
(Rio-Rex x Athena) x Orfed	13446	6 -9	28,8	37		855	755	576	068	3445	43.1	61.0
(14 x 50-3) x Burt, Sel. 9	13448	6-15	13.5	30	1	1040	1125	1235	1105	4505	56.37	59.8
(Elgin-19 x Elmar)-114 x 1889	13644	6-14	26.3	36	I	953	700	1060	805	3702	6.97	59.1
(Elgin-19 x Elmar)-111 x 1813	13645	97-9	17.5	32	7.47	1292	1115	1200	985	4.592	61.9	57.0
Omar x 1834	13646	6-17	L.3	200		975	880	086	885	3720	5.97	56.7
Rex-Rio x Golden 4, Sel. P-11	13647	6-1.5	23.8	39	7.7	1005	1015	1120	066	4130	51.6	59.0
(Fed. 41M x Golden 4) x (Rio												
x Golden 4, Sel. B 59	13648	6-14	18,3	39	5.0	1210	1170	17,30	1070	4820	60,3	59.0
Hussar-Hohenheimer x Triplet5	13649	97-9	3	39	5.0	1105	1015	1250	982	4.352	54.4	59.3
Awned Elgin6	13450	97-9	36.3	33	1	1110	1020	966	1105	4230	52.9	59.5
Rex-Rio x Golden 4 B-64	-	6-15	35.0	7.0	2.3	1095	957	1040	1095	4187	52.3	58.0
Orfed-Elgin x Elmar, 422	13440	6-15	26.3	35	-	1335	1075	1120	666	4529	56.6	59.8
14 x 53, Sel. 101	13438	6-12	10.0	27		1484	1310	1240	1145	5179	4.79	60,2

	13,98 **	8.19 **	
ance	Mean Square	69055,7388	84,33 .10925
of Varia	3. E	100	54
Analysis	Source Replications	Varieties	Error Total

54.7 2.2963 6.5 8.7 4.19%

S.E.X. L.S.D.(.05) L.S.D.(.01) C.V

e Missoula		feet
y at th		square
a Count	ations.	Plot: 16
Missoul	replica	e of
grown in	three,	Siz
ery gro	plots,	6, 1962
at nurs	gle row	lugust
cer whea	2. Sin	sated:
on wint	1961-6	se Harve
f-stati	ana in	1 Dat
land of	a, Mont	14, 196
from dry	Missoul,	eptember
mic data	Airport	eeded: Se
Agrono	County	Date S
TableXXXVIII		

I III Grams Bu/Acre  120 104 46 270 9.0  130 110 65 305 10.2  145 110 65 320 10.7  145 125 65 320 10.7  146 125 65 325 11.8  140 140 65 345 11.5  130 120 391 13.0  130 120 355 11.7  130 127 80 337 11.7  130 127 80 337 11.7  120 135 90 345 11.5  120 135 90 345 11.5  120 130 65 315 10.5  120 130 65 315 10.5  120 130 65 315 10.5  120 130 65 315 10.5  120 130 65 340 11.3  120 120 90 340 11.3  120 120 90 340 11.3  120 120 90 340 11.3			Height	Grams		42	Total	Average	Protein
me   12930   20   120   104   46   270   9.0    seg   13448   16   130   110   65   305   10.2    seg   126   126   126   126   11.8    seg   1270   12842   12842   12842   12842   12842    seg   13426   129   126   126   136   13.2    seg   13426   129   126   126   13.2    seg   13426   129   120   13.2    seg   13426   129   130    seg   13426   134   134    seg   13426    seg   1342	Variety	C.I.No.	Inches	Н	H	III	Grams	Bu/Acre	Percent
me 8885 20 110 65 305 10.2  st furtey/Orc-117  t furtey/Orc-117  x Wasatch-3) x Cheyemne-56-10-1 13633 22 1165 125 65 355 11.8  x Wasatch-3) x Cheyemne-56-10-1 13633 22 1165 105 180 400 13.3  13072 19 136 120 55 305 10.2  13181 21 136 120 55 305 10.2  13182 21 136 120 55 305 11.7  12666 21 136 120 55 305 10.2  x Wasatch-3) x Cheyemne-56-6-5  13462 11.2  11.4  11.4  x Wasatch-3) x Cheyemne-56-6-5  x Wasatch-3 x Cheyemne-56-6-5  x Wasatch-3 x Cheyemne-56-6-5  1266 22 126 140 75 341 11.2  11.4  x Wasatch-3) x Cheyemne-56-6-5  x Wasatch-3 x Cheyemne-56-10-1  x Wasatch-3 x Cheyemne-56-10-1  x Wasatch-3 x Cheyemne-56-10-1  x Wasatch-4 x Wasatch-4 x Cheyemne-56-10-1  x	Westmont	12930	20	120	707	977	270	0.6	6.6
nme state of the s	Caines	13778	16	130	110	65	305	10.2	
me	Itana	12933	23	120		70	301	10.0	9.6
st (Turkey/Oro-117)  x (Masatch-3) x Cheyeme-56-10-1 13542 25 14,0 14,0 65 345 11,5 34 11,5 13,3 13,4 13,5 13,4 13,5 13,4 13,5 13,4 13,5 13,5 13,5 13,5 13,5 13,5 13,5 13,5	Chevenne	500000	20	14.5	110	65	320	10.7	7.6
r Turkey/Oro-117  x Masatch-3) x Cheyeme-56-10-1 13633	Triplet	2708	22	165	125	65	355	11.8	
x Wasatch-3) x Cheyemne-56-10-1 13633 22 115 105 180 400 13.3  13072 19 136 120 130 130  13181 21 130 120 55 391 10.2  13426 19 170 112 70 352 11.7  12696 21 130 127 80 337 11.2  13442 21 130 127 80 337 11.2  13442 21 135 126 160 421 14.0  x Wasatch-3) x Cheyemne-56-6-5 22 126 140 75 341 11.4  x Wasatch-3) x Cheyemne-56-5-3 22 120 135 90 345 11.5  two and the standard missing plot  toulated missing plot  thalysis of Variance  2 10862.77 16.54  2 10862.77 16.54  2 2 10862.77 16.55  ties 15 513.032  2 130 120 90 340 11.3  2 10862.77 16.55  ties 29 656.70552  46	Yogo x Turkey/Oro-117	13542	25	17,0	140	65	345	11.5	t0 t0
13072   195   155   101± 391   13.0     13181   21   130   120   55   305   10.2     13426   19   170   112   70   352   11.7     12696   21   139   120   377   11.2     13426   21   130   120   377   11.2     13426   21   130   120   377   11.2     13426   21   130   120   377   11.2     13426   21   130   120   421   14.0     13425   22   126   140   75   341   11.4     13425   22   120   135   90   345   11.5     13426   135   130   120   340   11.3     13426   135   130   120   340   11.3     13426   135   130   120   340   11.3     13426   135   13626.77   16.54     13426   135   13626.77   16.54     13426   135   13626.77   16.54     13426   135   13626.77   136.54     13426   135   13626.77   136.54     13426   135   13626.77   136.54     13426   135   13626.77   136.54     13426   135   13626.77   136.54     13426   135   13626.77   136.54     13426   135   13626.77   136.54     13426   135   13626.77   136.54     13426   135   13626.77   136.54     13426   135   13626.77   136.54     13426   135   13626.77   136.54     13426   13	(Yogo x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-10-1	13633	22	115	105	180	700	13.3	10.0
13181   21   130   120   55   305   10.2     13426	Omar	13072	19	135	155	101	391	13.0	1
13426   19   170   112   70   352   11.7   12.696   21   130   127   80   337   11.2   13442   21   135   126   160   421   14.0   11.2   13442   135   126   140   75   341   11.4   11.4   11.5   120   135   90   345   11.4   11.5   120   135   90   345   11.5   10.5   11.3   10.5   11.3   10.5   10.5   11.3   10.5   11.3   10.5   10.5   11.3   10.5   10.5   11.3   10.5   10.	Rego	13181	21	130	120	55	305	10,2	9.6
12696   21   130   127   80   337   11.2     13442   21   135   126   160   421   14.0     11925   22   126   140   75   341   11.4     x Wasatch-3	Tendoy	13426	19	170	112	202	352	11.7	0.6
13442   21   135   126   160   421   14.0     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-6-5   22   126   140   75   341   11.4     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   22   120   135   90   345   11.5     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   22   120   136   65   315   10.5     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   22   120   136   65   315   10.5     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   22   120   130   65   315   10.5     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   22   120   130   65   315   10.5     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   22   120   130   12.5     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   22   120   130   12.5     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   22   120   13.0     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   11.4     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   11.4     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   120   130     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   11.4     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   120   130     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   11.4     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   11.4     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   120   130     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   11.4     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   120   130     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   120   130     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   120     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   120     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   11.4     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   120     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   11.4     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   120     x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3   120     x Wasatch-3 x Wasatch-3 x Wasatch-3 x Wasatch-3     x Wasatch-3 x Wasatch-3 x Wasatch-3 x Wasatch-3 x Wasatch-3     x Wasatch-3 x Wasatch-3 x Wasatch-3 x Wasatch-3 x Wasatch-3 x Wasatch-3 x Wasatch-3 x Wasatch-3 x W	Burt	12696	21	130	127	08	337	11,2	1
x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-6-5	Delmar	13442	21	135	126	160	421	14.0	9.3
x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-6-5 x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3 120 130 11.5 10.5 11.5 11.5 11.5 11.5 11.5 11.	Wasatch	11925	22	126	140	75	341	11.4	
x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3 22 120 130 65 315 10.5  ##6 x K-17-7-3)-1-26-1 23 130 120 90 340 11.3  culated missing plot  transpis of Variance  attions 2 10862.77 16.54  bites 15 513.032  ties 29 656.70552  46	(Yogo x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-6-5		21	120	135	06	345	11.5	10.5
Loulated missing plot  Loulated missing plot  Analysis of Variance  Loulated missing plot  Loulated missing plot  Loulated missing plot  Loulated missing plot  S.E.X.  10.4795  Lis.D.  13.05%  Liss 29 656,70552  Liss 29 656,70552	(Yogo x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3		22	120	130	65	315	10.5	10.5
Coulated missing plot   X   X   X   X   X   X   X   X   X	(Itana #6 x K-17-7-3)-1-26-1	1 1 1	23	130	120	06	34.0	11.3	9.6
thalysis of Variance  attions 2 10862.77 16.54  ties 15 513.032  29 656.70552						1			
Analysis of Variance  L.S.D.  L.S.D.  Lagran Square  2 10862.77 16.54  ties 15 513.032  46	- Calculated missing plot					X W		11.4	
D.F. Mean Square F						L.S.D.		NS	
	D.F. Mean Square 2 10862.77 ties 15 513.032 46 656.70552	-54						13.05%	

		Head-	Ht.	ī	5			-	-	Tields.		(
Variety	C.I.No.	Date	H H	Stand	I I	drams p	per prot	TI	Grams	Bu/A.	Fercent	Mt.
Westmont	12930	60	33	8,86	150	265	276	381	1072	26.8	13.8	55.4
Caines	13448	6-14	27	75.0	110	215	250	227	664	20.0		57.
Itana	12933	6-13	39	98.8	125	240	251	365	186	24.5	11.7	56.2
Cheyenne	8885	6-13	37	97.5	150	260	320	24.0	1270	31.8	12.5	57.0
Triplet	5408	6-13	300	77.5	66	687	205	165	958	24.0	1	56.6
Yogo x Turkey/Oro-117	13542	6-13	33	T00°0	236	300	526	265	1327	33.2	14.2	53.9
(Yogo x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-10-1	13633	6-11	47	95.0	200	281	296	340	1117	27.9	13.0	55.0
Omar	13072	6-19	34	65.0	100	185	260	215	760	19.0		
Rego	13181	6-13	39	91.3	237	240	34.5	190	1012	25.3	10.5	54.5
Tendoy	13426	6-14	34	86.3	188	320	304	504	1316	32.9	13.4	55.1
Burt	12696	6-13	35	96.3	205	260	290	250	1005	25.1		6,79
Delmar	13442	6-15	37	95.5	132	281	315	270	866	25.0	13.9	55.0
Wasatch	11925		39	0.06	180	167	175	319	84.1	21.0	1	53.0
(Yogo x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-6-5	-	6-11	35	96.3	185	259	304	166	716	22.9	12.8	55.9
(Yogo x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3	-	1	39	0.56	158	240	22.5	286	606	22.7	13,3	56.1
(Itana #6 x K-17-7-3)-1-26-1	-	6-12	37	98,86	077	279	230	379	1328	33.2	14.5	55.0

	p	1	6.62 **	0	275	

Mean Square 47352.8933 8739.516 7153.92377

Source Replications Varieties Error

Analysis of Variance

25.9 4.229 NS 16.29%

C V D

ross	
Sid	e feet
ounty on the Sid Gre	quare f
ounty o	16 8
in Sanders Co	of Plot
in Sa	r replica Size of
grown	1962
nursery	Single row plots sted: August 6,
r wheat	ingle r
on winter whea	2. arve
17	n 1961 Date
d off-stat	tana i 1961
drylan	e, Mon er 14,
from	Prairi Septemb
ic date	Camas eded: S
gronom	arm at
Α.	SI PI
able XL	

Variety	C.I.No.	Inches	Stand	E H	Grams De	per proc	IV	Grams	Eu/A.	Fercent	Wt.
Westmont	12930	61	50.0	185	87	54	65	383	7.6	14.8	1
Gaines	13448	101	51.3	140	52	113	165	473	11.8	1	İ
Itana	12933	23	71.3	220	139	50	103	275	13.7	14.3	İ
Cheyenne	3000	21	80.0	259	248	777	117	768	19,2**	14.1	İ
Triblet	5708	22	68,89	205	115	96	115	531	13,3		İ
Yogo x Turkey/Oro-117	13542	27	67.5	134	220	155	145	654	16.4*	14.0	-
(Yogo x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-10-1	13633	24	57.5	185	110	96	65	455	11.4	15.6	
Omar	13072	17	13.8	32	110	30	42	217	5.4		i
Rego	13181	22	43.8	17.5	109	06	151	7.95	12.4	13.5	i
Tendoy	13426	23	71.3	395	206	151	235	786	24.7**	14.1	61
Burt	12696	100 LJ	10.0	35	30	10	52	130	6	1	i
Delmar	13442	21	33.8	130	159	35	0.7	727	10.5	14.5	İ
Wasatch	11925	23	57.5	151	154	111	26	508	12.7	-	İ
(Yogo x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-6-5	1	23	0.09	105	205	280	190	780	19,5**	13.7	-
(Yogo x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3	1	22	61.3	160	110	177	95	739	11.0	15.1	İ
(Itana #6 x K-17-7-3)-1-26-1	-	22	0.04	93	560	45	56	279	7.0	16.0	i

S.E.X. 2,3633 L.S.D. (.05). 6.7 L.S.D. (.01). 9.0. Varieties yielding significantly more than the check (.05). Varieties yielding significantly more than the check (.01). 冰 非非

Analysis of Variance

Source

Replications

7 11748.75

Varieties

15 11752.23333

Error

45 2234.07222

Total

Agronomic data from dryland winter wheat nursery grown in Wineral County on the Charles Frey ranch at Tarkio, Montana in 1961-62. Single row plots, three replications.

Date Seeded: September 14, 1961 Date Harvested: August 6, 1962 Size of Plot: 16 sq. ft. Table XLI

Variety	C.I.No.	Dwarf Bunt %	Stand	inches	Grams	Der	plot	Grams	Elds Bu/Acre
Westmont	12930	37.3	76.3	502	112	215	200	527	17.6
Gaines	13448	۲,	65.0	21	120	295	109	524	17.5
Itana	12933	28.0	72.5	30	211	2002	104	009	20.0
Cheyenne	8885	17.5	80.0	30	125	335	128	5000	19.6
Triplet	2708	37.5	0000	30	125	226	205	556	13.5
Yogo x Turkey/Oro-117	13542	15.0	78.8	29	164	174	125	7,63	15.4
(Yogo x Wasatch-3) x Chbyenne-56-10-1	13633	J.0	71.3	32	150	326	110	586	19.5
Omar	13072	00	67.5	27	190	330	100	620	20.7
Rego	13181	14.5	t0 t0 t0	29	284	241	296	821	27.4
Tendoy	13426	12.5	81,3	24	160	140	135	435	14.5
Burt	12696	2.0	72.5	29	190	355	155	700	23.3
Delmar	13442	200	33.00	29	165	230	1.60	555	18.5
Wasatch	11925	5.3	82.5	29	220	175	671	544	13.1
(Yego x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-6-5		0,0	77.5	25	165	209	133	507	16.9
(Yogo x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3		0.3	81.3	32	112	375	110	597	19.9
(Itana #6 x K-17-7-3)-1-26-1		11.0	67.5	27	139	203	11.5	757	15.2

	G.V. 18,00%	
	14	16,36
lance	Mean Square	56807.585 3021.8667 3473.05
of Var	F. C.	15 30 47
Analysis of Variance	Source	Replications Varieties Error Total

Agronomic data from dryland winter wheat grown in Lincoln County on the Dick Britten Ranch at Eureka, Montana in 1961-62. Single row plots, four replications.

Date Seeded: September 22, 1961 Date Harvested: August 8, 1962 Size of Flot: 16 sq. ft. Size of Plot: 16 sq. ft. Table MIII .

Variety	Munber	Ht.	н	II	III	AI	Grams	Bu/Acre	Wt.	Percent
Westmont	12930	36	914	455	635	695	2201	55.0	6.19	14.1
Gaines	13448	57	751	805	520	017	24,86	62.1	61.8	-
Itana	12933	38	535	555	5947	087	2035	50.9	62,1	12.6
Cheyenne	8885	37	097	385	285	9	1730	43.3 #	-	12.9
Triplet	5408	39	167	535	551	560	2137	53.4	62.1	-
Togo x Turkey/Oro-117	13542	77	553	436	619	079	2248	56.2	61.3	12.8
(Yogo x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-10-1	13633	177	575	6947	575	606	2128	53.2	61.9	14.0
Onar	13072	33	629	641	639	079	2549	63.7	61.9	-
Rego	13181	7	435	439	544	358	1776	44.44	60.5	13.3
Tendoy	13426	07	765	079	505	550	2460	61.5	62.2	13.0
Burt	12696	36	25	540	579	565	2254	56.4	6.19	
Delmar	13442	39	540	593	667	917	2078	51.2	62.4	12.7
Wasatch	11925	67	595	200	280	395	2080	52.0	62.0	-
(Yogo x Masatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-6-5	-	97	067	530	527	695	2116	52.9	62.1	13.9
(Yogo x Wasatch-3) x Cheyenne-56-5-3	and in contrast of the last	39	625	620	77	525	2481	62.0	61.8	13.7
(Itana #6 x K-17-7-3)-1-26-1	-	38	412	485	501	425	1823	45.6	61.1	14.2

Z 54.0	S.E.K. 4.0341	L.S.D.(.05). 11.5	C.V 7.47 %
this nursery.	* Varieties yielding significantly less than the check (.05).		Analysis of Variance

On the second of the second	-	00000		
Source	D.F.	Mean Square	Ch.	
Replications Varieties Error Total	152 63 63	29951.12333 15881.3 6509.55844	4,60 mm 2,44 m	

Table XLIII . Agronomic data on Vogel bulk (Burt x 178383) selected in 1962.

1962 Row	Cross	Dwarf Creston	Smut Braaton	Straw Creston	Height Creston	Yields Bu/Acre Creston	Percent Survival Havre	Creston Number
2	Burt x 178383	0	0	Good	38	43.5	0	C61-2
3	Burt x 178383	0	0	Good	39	67.7	0	C61-3
9	Burt x 178383	0	0	Fair	38	68.5	0	C61- 9
11	Burt x 178383	0	0	Fair	35	86.0	0	061-11
22	Burt x 178383	0	0	Fair	40	60.0	0	061-22
24	Burt x 178383	0	0	Fair	38	65.0	0	061-24
26	Burt x 178383	0	0	Fair	-	58.0	0	C61-26

Table XLIV . Bulk row selection made on the Howard Braaton farm at Kalispell, Montana in 1962.

1962 Row No.	Montana Number	Cross	
27	G62- 1	Burt x 178363	
	G62- 2	18	
33	C62- 3	19	
37	G62- 4	17	
55	G62- 5	11	
60	C62- 6	19	
63	G62- 7	n	
31. 33 37 55 60 63 69 70	C62- 8	п	
70	C62- 9	15	
78	662-10	ra .	
85	G62-11	"	
90	662-12	19	
93	662-13	er .	
91 92 93 96 98	062-14	19	
76	C62-15	H .	
95	C62-16	п	
90	C62-17	11	
	C62-18	18	
100	C62-19	"	
109	662-20	17	
110			
111	662-21	9	
113	062-22	77	

Continued ---

Table XLIV . (Continued)

1962 Row No.	Montana Number	Gross	
115	062-23	Burt x 178383	
117	C62-24	19	
121	C62-25		
126	C62-26	#	
127	062-27	18	
128	C62-28	18	
137	062-29	11	
138	062-30	19	
140	C62-31	9	
142	062-32	19	
153	062-33		
156	062-34	11	
161	062-35	**	
170	G62 <b>-</b> 36	"	
179	062-37	er er	
181	C62-38	19	
183	062-39		
187	062-40		
188	C62-41 C62-42	11	
189 192	062-43	n	
194	G62-44	11	
196	C62-45	18	
197	C62-46	79	
198	062-47	**	
200	C62-48	**	
201	C62-49	11	
205	062-50	M :	
211	062-51	**	
227	062-52	11	
243	C62-53	**	
246	C62-54	19	
252	C62-55	11	
254	C62-56	**	
256	G62-57	H	
267	062-58		
272	G62-59	**	
274	C62-60	"	
286	G62-61	8	
287	G62-62	7	
296	062-63		
299	C62-64		
300	C62-65		
307	C62-66	7	
308	C62-67 C62-68		
309	¢62–69	(IN462-N#10 x Itana	684 (16) )
332	002-07	(P.I. 178383 #5 x OA	W 25)
349	C62-70	11 12 1 (0) (0) 11 J Z O	
247			

Continued ----

Table XLIV .	(Continued)	
1962 Row No.	Montana Number	Cross
359	G62-71	(IM 462-N#10 x Itana 684 (17) ) (P.I. 178383 #9 x OAV 25)
414	062-72	(IM 462-N#10 x P.I. 178383 (36D) ) (R1018M-N#10 #4 x C.I. 13273)
417 418 434	G62-73 G62-74 G62-75	(C.I. 13273 x Blk 70038 #1 (74) ) (70060A #12 x P.I. 178383)
437 454	062 <b>–</b> 76 062 <b>–</b> 77	(P.I. 178383 x OAV 25 (82) ) (Dewey 225A x Itana x 2423 #1)

Table XLV . Plant Selections made on the Howard Braaton farm at Kalispell, Montana in 1962.

1962 Row Number	Crosses		Number Plants Selected
321	(TML62-N#10 x Itana 684 (1 (P.I. 178383 # 9 x OAV 25)		3
333	" (1	6)	6
334 344	n		4
345	19		4 8 6
347 354	17		
356 358	1 (1	7)	12 5
367	(INL62-N#10 x P.I. 178383	(31.)	4
389	**		6
393 395	п		4 5 9
416	(INA62-N#10 x P.I. 178383 (R1018M-N#10 #4 x C.I. 132	(36D)) 73)	9
419	11	(370)	10
459-467 468-475	5722 x Wilhelmina 5686 x 5722		10
476-481	Garsten IV x P.I. 178383		12
482 <b>-</b> 488 489 <b>-</b> 492	Erotica x P.I. 178383 White Winter x 5722		3

Continued ---

# Table XLV . (Continued)

1962 Row Number	Crosses	Number Plants Selected
493-494 495-499 499-501 502-509 510-517 518-520 521-526 527-531 532 535	5770 x 5722 5781 x 5772 5780 x 5772 Carston V x 5722 5775 x 5783 5775 x 5772 (14) 563BC <sub>L</sub> x 5789 and (15) 55108BC <sub>L</sub> x 5772 5772 x 5778 (Pope) P.I. 178383 x Cheyenne	6 8 3 7 11 5 15 6 5 4

Code Number	Pedigree
5686 5722 5770 5772 5781 5780 5775 5783 5778 563BC <sub>4</sub> 55108BC <sub>4</sub>	(Itana x Utah 175A-53) x Itana P.I. 178383 x (Norin 10 x Brevor) P.I. 178383 x Lemhi 5686 x 5722 Wilhelmina x 5686 White Winter x 5686 Gabo x Rio Carsten V x 5686 (Rio-Rex x Cheyenne <sup>2</sup> / x Turkey <sup>3</sup> ) x 5722 (Rio-Rex x Cheyenne <sup>2</sup> ) x Comanche <sup>4</sup> (Rio-Rex x Cheyenne <sup>2</sup> ) x Turkey <sup>4</sup>

Table XLVI . Yield and protein data from irrigated and dryland winter wheat grown at Greston, Montana in 1962. Field number X-1.

Date Seeded: 9/22/61 Date Harvested: 8/27/62 Size of Flot: 439.7 sq.f

Treatment	Plot	Yields II	Bu/Acre	IA	Protein Percent	Average Yield Bu/Acre	Fu. Wt. in Pounds
Irrigated (2")	67.7	66.1	64.4	76.6	9.8	68.7	61.8
Dryland	73.4	69.3	67.7	63.6	11.7	68.5	62.1

TITLE: Preliminary Investigations

PROJECT NUMBER: 5028 (Root Rot on Cereals)

PERSONNEL: Leader - Vern R. Stewart Gooperator - E. L. Sharp

FUNDS: State - \$ 366.66

LOCATION: Northwestern Montana Branch Station in field number R-2c

DURATION: Three to five years

## OBJECTIVES:

1. To study the effects of cultural methods on fungi causing cereal root rots

2. To determine the effectiveness of seed treatment in the control of cereal root rots

## EXPERIMENTAL DATA:

#### INTRODUCTION

Work on cereal root rots at the Northwestern Montana Branch Station began in 1959. This was a direct result of a serious condition in oats on the Station in 1958. Root rots in barley in other areas of the Valley have been noticed particularly in late seeded fields (after May 15).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

In cooperation with Dr. E. L. Sharp chemical and cultural studies have been conducted to determine ways to control this disease.

The cultural study consisted of tillage method, soil compaction, date and depth of seeding. These are discussed in the tabulated data. This part of the study was done using Freja barley. The entire plot was sprayed with Carbyne in an attempt to control the wild oats.

The chemical studies were conducted on Park oats and Compana barley. Treatment, chemicals, and rates are listed in the tabulated data.

All seeding was done with the belt seeder. Plots in general were handled much in the same manner as cereal research plots.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Moisture was much below normal this season and temperatures cooler than normal. Table MINTI shows a summary of data from the cultural study on spring barley (Freja). These data are very similar to the 1960 data. Flowing, early seeding, and non-packed soil gave the highest yield with disease having little effect on yield. In 1960 the three inch seeding gave the highest yields. In 1962 the one inch gave the highest yield.

Tables XLVII to L show complete data of this study. A statistical analysis was not available at the time this report was written.

The fungicide studies on barley and oats revealed no yield differences, because of treatment, that was statistically significant. In the oat study Ceresan 100 at one-half ounce did reduce the disease reading considerably below the check. In the barley study there were not any compounds that reduced the disease reading below the check that would be considered significant. See Tables LI and LII for details of these studies.

Table XLVII . Summary of disease rating and yield data from cultural practices study on Freja barley at Creaton, Montana in 1962. Field no. R-2c.

Dates of Planting: April 27 (E) and May 11, (L) 1962 Date of Harvest: August 21, 196 Size of Plot: 16 square feet

Comparison	Disease Rating	Yield in Bushels per acre
Tillage Method		
Stubble Mulch	20	13.2
Deep Plowing	19	20.7
Planting Date		
Early	21.	18.7
Late	19	15.2
Soil Compaction		
Packed	20	16.7
Non-Packed	20	17.2
Seeding Depth		
1" Shallow	21.	18.5
3" Deep	18	15.4

Table XLVIII . Comparison of packed and non-packed soil conditions.

		Packed !	Soil	Non-Pa	cked Soil	
Treatment		Disease Rating	Yield in Bu/Acre	Disease Sating	Yield in Bu/Acre	
Planted April 27 Stubble Mulch	3"	21 21	21.6	25 20	16.1 13.6	
Plowing	3"	19 20	19.4 20.6	27 16	22.5	
Planted May 11 Stubble Mulch	1° 3"	18	7.8 6.7	24 18	15.1	
Plowing	3"	22 22	24.0 19.0	14 15	21.5	
×		20	16.7	20	17.2	

Table XLIX . Comparison date of seeding.

	-	April	27	Nay	11	
Treatment		Disease Rating	Tield in Bu/Acre	Disease Rating	Yield in Bu/Acre	
Facked Soil Stubble Mulch	3"	21 21	21.6	18 15	7.8 6.7	
Plowing	3"	19 20	19.4 20.6	22 22	24.0 19.1	
Non_Facked Soil Stubble Mulch	1" 3"	25 20	16.1 13.6	24 18	15.1 10.2	
Plowing	1" 3"	27 16	22.5 21.6	14, 15	21.5	
x		37	18.7	19	15.2	

Table L . Comparison of seeding depths.

		17	311			
Treatment	Disease Rating	Yield in Bu/Acre	Disease Rating	Yield in Bu/Acre		
Packed Soil						
April 27						
Stubble Mulch	21	21.6	21	14.4		
Flowing	19	19.4	20	20.6		
May 11				/ =		
Stubble Mulch	18	7.8	15	6.7		
Plowing	22	24.0	22	19.1		
W Dealers Gad?						
Non-Packed Soil						
April 27 Stubble Mulch	25	16.1	20	13.6		
Plowing	27	22.5	16	21.6		
raduang	~,					
May 11						
Stubble Mulch	24	15.1	1.8	10.2		
Plowing	14	21.5	15	17.2		
_				351		
×	21	18.5	18	15.4		

Table LI . Yield and disease rating data from fungicide study conducted on Park oats grown at Creston, Montana in 1962. Four row plots, three replications.

Date Seeded: 4/27/62	Date Harvested: 8/21/	/62	Size	of Plo	t: 16	sq. ft.
				Yield		Ave.
		ease		licati		Yield
Treatment	Rate	Rate	I	II	III	Bu/A
25	1/2 fl. os./bu.	9	22.8	30.4	37.0	30.0
Panogen 15	3/4 fl. oz./bu.	9	48.1	37.8	28.9	38.2
Panogen 15		í.	33.4	42.7		35.4
Ceresan 100	1/2 fl. oz./bu.	7	41.8	31.7	44.5	
Ceresan 100	3/4 fl. oz./bu. 2 oz./100 lbs.	6 9	36.1	42.8		36.3
OM 1563		12	28.3	33.0	30.0	30.5
Pandrinox	2 1/8 11. 02./04.	10	35.0	47.0	36.2	39.3
Panogen 15 + EP 165	3/4 + 2 fl. oz./bu.	11	33.2	32.0	33.0	32.8
Panogen 15 + Drinox H-34	3/4 + 25 11. 02./04.	9	38.0	32.7	29.4	33.4
Bayer 22555-Aldrin	1 os./bu.		-			34.6
Check	Not treated	12	30.3	26.6	47.0	24.0
Analysis of Variano		S.E.	L		4.1212	
Replications 2	an Square F		C.V.			11.78 %
	.488					

50.95188

18

29

Error

Total

Table LII . Yield and disease rating data from fungicide study conducted on Compana barley grown at Greston, Montana in 1962. Four row plots, three replications.

Total

38

Date Seeded: 4/27/62 Date Harvested: 8/21/62 Size of Plot: 16 sq. ft.

and beated by all of	and man roo down by may	-	0220	01 120		ad. To.
•	=	Dis- ease		Yield icatio		Ave. Yield
Treatment R	late	Rate	I	II	III	Bu/Acre
Panogen 15	/2 fl. oz./bu.	33	21.2	23.8	28.4	24.5
	/4 fl. oz./bu.	46	30.8			
	/2 11. oz./bu.	43.	19.9			
	/4 fl. oz./bu.	41	25.4			
ON 1563 2	oz./100 lbs.	41	29.6	25.5	20.6	25.2
	/4 oz./bu.	33	22.2	24.5	19.7	22.1
	/3 oz./bu.	40	22.0	15.4	19.3	18.9
	oz./bu.	33	19.0			24.1
	1/8 fl. oz./bu.	42	25.0	22.6	21.2	23.0
	/4 + 2 fl. oz./bu.	30	25.8		16.0	
Panogen 15 + Drinox H-34 3		35	21.8		19.5	
	oz/bu.	31	22.4	20.4		21.0
Check	ot Treated	35	25.6	24.5	20.4	23.5
Analysis of Variance						22.7
			L.S.D			MS
Source D. F. Mean Squar	re F		C.V.	• • • • • •	•••••	9.54 %
Replication 2 26.68949	*****					
Treatments 12 9.314915 Error 24 14.11754	MINISTERNA					

TITLE: Freliminary Investigations

PROJECT NUMBER: 5028

PERSONNEL: Leader - Vern R. Stewart

Cooperator - State Miscellaneous Crops Committee

FUNDS: State - \$ 1292.00

LOCATIONS: Northwestern Montana Branch Station in field numbers A-lc, Y-l, and Z-l

DURATION: Indefinite

### OBJECTIVES:

1. To determine the agronomic adaptability and oil potential of several plant

2. To determine the best date of seeding for yellow mustard in Morthwestern

3. By natural selection find a strain of safflower that will mature and prouce seed in the Flathead Valley.

## EXPERIMENTAL DATA:

### INTRODUCTION

Work with "new crops" for western Montana was continued again this season. This area of work was expanded to study more plant species and increase some of the more promising species in field blocks.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two mustard nurseries were grown, both of which were identical in entries. One was grown under irrigated conditions and the other under dryland conditions. These were grown in four row plots and replicated four times. These were sprayed with DDT for control of insects once during the growing season. Ten entries were included in these nurseries.

The new crops nurseries consisting of thirteen entries were grown under both dryland and irrigated conditions. These were grown in four row plots and four replications.

Two field plots of new crops were grown, namely, Camelina sativa and Crambe abyssinic The Camelina sativa was grown in a dryland grain hay rotation with the crop being grown in place of spring wheat. Crambe abyssinica was grown under both dryland and irrigated conditions. Included in this study were seeding rates and spacing of rows, namely, seven and fourteen inches.

A block of safflower was seeded from last year's planting which originated from a bulk of Plant Introductions. This block was harvested in bulk again this year.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Irrigated mustard yields were higher than the dryland yields this season. In past seasons the dryland yields have been higher than irrigated. There were no significant differences in the irrigated varieties when these data were analyzed statistically. Table LIII. Using the analysis of variance significant differences were found in the dryland mustard nursery. The Oriental Yellow selections were all higher in yield than the Yellow selection, Yellow, Gisilba, and Commercial. Table LIV shows yield data from this nursery.

The high C.V. in the dryland new crop Intrastate nursery would raise a question on the reliability of these data. Using Duncan's multiple range test there were no significant differences in yields of all species except the barley entry. Stands of Lepidium campestre, L. densiflorum, and Alyssum allysoides were obtained, but no seed was produced by these three entries. See Table LV for complete details.

Four species seeded in the irrigated nursery did not emerge. They were Lepidium campestre, L. densiflorum, Alyssum allysoides, and pig weed. There is little difference in yields between the irrigated nursery and the dryland nursery. Barley was significantly better in yield than the other entries. There were no significant differences in yields between Camelina sativa, Cow Cockle, flax, and Crambe abyssinica. These differences are measured using the Duncan's multiple range test. See Table LVI for other comparisons and complete agronomic data.

The field plot of <u>Grambe abyssinica</u> was grown in cooperation with USDA, ARS. Yields were quite low which, no doubt, can be accounted for by the high weed population in the entire field. The report submitted to the USDA, ARS is made a part of this report. This report presents all data obtained from this study.

#### CRAMBE PLANTINGS

Data desired for comparing results from all locations

- 1. Location Morthwestern Montana Branch Station, Route Four, Kalispell, Mont
- 2. Size of Planting one acre
- Soil Type Creston silt loam
- 4. Drainage good
- 5. Frost Date Last freeze in spring May 30, 1962 First freeze in fall - September 3, 1962
- 6. Rainfall and temperature records May 30 to September 3, 1962

Month	Ave. Temperature	Precipitation				
May June July August	51.5° F 58.6° F 62.1° F 62.1° F	2.59 inches 1.15 inches .11 inch .72 inch				
TOTAL		4.57 inches				

High temperature for season - 92° F on August 16, 1962

- 7. Irrigation 2 inches on June 20, 1962
- Planting Date May 1, 1962
- Fertilizers none 9.
- Seeding Rate six pounds per acre in seven inch rows and three pounds per 10. acre in fourteen inch rows
- Distance between rows seven and fourteen inches 11.
- Spacing in row not measured
- Depth of Planting one-half inche 13.
- Type of Seeding Equipment International No. 10 grain drill 14.
- Time to Emergence not recorded approximately seven days 15.
- Average Height at Maturity fifty inches approximately not measured
- 17. Date of Blooming July 12, 1962
- 18. Weeds, Insects, or Disease noted and extent of damage -
  - 1. Weeds were a great problem, namely -

    - (a) Wild oats Avena fatua (b) Cow Cockle Saponaria vaccaria (c) Fan Weed Thlaspi arvense

Weeds gave the crop considerable competition and, no doubt, account for the poor yields.

- 2. Insects none
- 3. Disease none evident
- Control Measures Used none
- Date of Harvest September 4, 1962 20.
- Golor of Seed at Harvest Time light brown 21.
- Type of Harvest Equipment self propelled combine 22.
- Yield 7" spacing dryland 571.2 pounds per acre 7" spacing irrigated - 606.8 pounds per acre 14" spacing dryland - 408.4 pounds per acre 14" spacing irrigated - 528.0 pounds per acre

**经验证证券收益**的

Yields of Camelina sativa in the field planting was about two-thirds of the yield obtained in the nursery planting or a yield of 765 pounds per acre. There was a little shattering of the top bolls before the lower ones were ready for harvest.

The bulk breeding plot of safflower was harvested in bulk. The probability of a line suitable for the Flathead Valley coming out of the material was much greater than last season. The reason being that summer temperatures were lower than normal and the growing season several days shorter than last season.

Table LIII . Agronomic data from irrigated Intrastate mustard nursery grown at Creston, Montana in 1962. Four replications, four row plots, field number D-6 (old number).

Seeding Date: May 3, 1962 Date Harvested: August 27, 1962 Size of Plot: 16 sq. ft

Management and the control of the co	-	-	Selection	Flower-		Repli	catio	ns	Total	Pound	is
Type		Number	ing Date	I	II	III	IV	Grams	per	lore	
Oriental Yello	ow Sele	ation	49-5934-2	6-26	280	340	274	240	1134	1701	
Oriental Yello			60-9233	6-24	215	270	189	350	1024	1536	
Priental Yello			60-8786	6-26	155	305	160	180	800	1200	
riental Yello			60-9265	6-26	200	221	180	245	846	1269	
riental Yello			60-8093	6-26	315	330	220	215	1080	1621	
riental Yello			60-8807	6-24	180	276	270	260	986	1480	
Cellow Select		A CONTRACTOR	48-6687	6-14	220	290	140	175	825	1238	
Cellow	E-C-AL		48-6729	6-16	190	365	280	255	1090	1636	
lisilba			Sumburst	6-21	295	346	270	205	1116	1675	
Commercial			60-8104	6-13	310	211	169	280	970	1455	
Analysis	of Var	lance				S.E.	X	****		NS	.636
Source	D.F.	Mean	Square F	P		G.V.		****	*****	1.0	•449
Replications	3	11722		4.41							
Varieties	9	3896	6.13611 1	L.47							
Error	27	2656	6.93611								

39

Total

Table LIV . Agronomic data from dryland Intrastate mustard nursery grown at Creston, Montana in 1962. Four row plots, four replications, field number A 1-c.

Date Seeded: May 3, 1962 Date Harvested: August 23, 1962 Size of Plot: 16 sq. ft.

	Selection	Flower-	In.	Re	plica	tions		Total	Lbs./
Type	Number	ing Date	Ht.	I	II	III	IV	Grams	Acre
Oriental Yellow Sel. Oriental Yellow Sel. Oriental Yellow Sel. Oriental Yellow Sel. Oriental Yellow Commercial Oriental Yellow Sel. Yellow Selection Yellow Gisilba Commercial	49-5934-2 60-9233 60-8786 60-9265 60-8093 60-8807 48-6687 48-6729 Sunburst 60-8104	6-23 6-23 6-23 6-23 6-23 6-11 6-9 6-15 6-11	35 38 35 38 36 37 32 31 35 29	155 215 165 135 220 215 165 145 140 131	200 230 185 195 217 200 120 130 170 120	164 165 155 160 160 200 126 150 150	150 185 186 140 160 140 115 115	630 757 755 526 540 570	1003** 1192* 1037* 945* 1136* 1133* 789 810 855 738

Note: Commercial is used as a check in this nursery.

\* Varieties yielding significantly more than the check (.05).

ž	963
S.E.X	60.
L.S.D	174
C.V.S	6.

## Analysis of Variance

Source	D.F.	Mean Square	<u>F</u>
Replications Varieties Error Total	3 9 27 39	2364.025 2894.95833 407.65463	5.80 ** 7.10 **

	Flower-	Har	Height	-	-	-	-	And in case of the last of the	Yield	-
Species	ing Date	vest	Inches	Plot	Plot yield in I II	III	IV	Total	Lbs.	
Barley - Unitan	6-26	8-24	29	730	735	572	457	7677	374.2	-
Cow Cockle	6-29	8-24	16	380	345	250	235	1210	1816	-
Oriental Yellow Mustard		5 -6	42	240	007	265	150	1055	1583	
Safflower N-10	8 7	10-18	28	315	243	225	220	1003	1505	
granbe Abyssinica	7-10	9- 5	325	275	21.5	155	870	1305	1305	
E. Pervidis	6-24	5 -6	38	175	265	254	100	767	1191	
damelina sativa	6-59	8-24	27	208	264	185	115	7772	1158	
E. Juncea P.I. 173847	6-24	9-5	38	190	270	135	155	750	1125	
Flax - Redwood	6-30	8-24	22	155	195	160	135	64.5	896	
Pigneed	-	9-5	18	120	130	115	8	385	578	
Analysis of Variance	nce						1 10 10		1 100	
Source D.F.	Mean Square	Et.					;		64.63 %	
		Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which is the O								
cations 3	3444.9 82144.2111 22449.3704	3.66 #								
Total 39										

		Flower-	Har- vest	Height in Tacher	-	Replic	Replications	P	Total	Yield in lbs.	
oped.es	and other Designation of the least of the le	Date	Marke	Allelies	4	44	444	4.4	OT GENE	Or der	-
Barley - Unitan		6-21	8-24	36	705	925	638	350	2618	3928	-
Cow Cockle		7-3	9- 5	22	375	335	340	335	1385	2028	
Camelina sativa		6-59	8-24	39	290	299	270	280	1139	1709	
Flax - Redwood		7- 4	9- 5	288	200	190	300	370	1000	1500	
Crambe abyssini	63	7-11	8- 5	76	326	1,60	224	285	995	1492	
E. juncea P.I. 17	173847	6-25	6-5	647	225	225	176	180	806	1209	
E. pervidis		6-25	9- 5	7/9	180	195	110	182	299	1001	
Oriental Mustard	ಶ	6-24	5 -6	94	165	145	110	190	019	915	
Safflower N-10		8-21	10-18	07	55	69	25	145	340	270	
Analysis of Variance	f Vari	ance						1 1 10 5		282.588	
Source	D.F.	Mean Square	(A)	-						200	
Replications Species Error	5000	2934.074	12.28	**							