TITLE:

Spring Wheat

PROJECT:

Small Grains Investigation MS 756

YEAR:

1980

PERSONNEL:

Leader - Vern R. Stewart Technician - Todd K. Keener

Cooperators - Montana Agricultural Experiment Station, MSU

USDA-SEA-AR

Montana Wheat Research and Marketing Committee

OBJECTIVES:

- To determine the adaptability of new and introduced spring wheat varieties and selections.
- 2. To aid in the basic genetic research programs in spring wheat.

1980 EXPERIMENTS:

1. Private Variety Nursery

2. Western Regional Spring Wheat Nursery

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

1980 private variety spring wheat yields this year were less than last year's. The two highest yielding varieties in this trial were both triticales. Test weights were not as high as expected which reflects the high moisture conditions at harvest time. Heading dates were earlier than last year, because of the early seeding date. Pondera and Marberg were the only varieties which showed total resistance to all three diseases observed (Table 1). Those two varieties also had less lodging as did the varieties of WS 108 and NK 5514.

Western Regional Spring Wheat Nursery -

The Utah and Idaho entries were the highest yielding lines in the Western Regional Spring Wheat Nursery (Table 2). Yields were about 29% less than last season because of hail. Test weights were 14% lower when compared to last season whereas the height means were about equal. The heading dates are earlier because of earlier planting dates and favorable weather in the spring. Lodging was severe in seven varieties (Table 2). Leaf rust (Puccinia recondita Rob ex Desm) was at a high level of infestation within the study. The variety ID 167 showed the most resistance to this disease and was the highest yielding entry in the test. Net blotch (Helminthosporium teres Sacc) was noted throughout certain varieties, however UT 541777 and Federation demonstrated total resistance. A nine year summary of spring wheat yields is given in Table 3.

SPRING WHEAT VARIETIES

SPRING WHEAT VARIETIES RECOMMENDED FOR WESTERN MONTANA

Hard Red Varieties

- 1. Borah non-irrigated and irrigated
- 2. Fortuna dryland
- 3. Newana dryland and irrigated
- 4. Pondera dryland and irrigated
- 5. Marberg dryland and irrigated

Soft White Varieties

- 1. Fielder non-irrigated and irrigated
- 2. Fieldwin dryland and irrigated

CHARACTERISTICS OF RECOMMENDED VARIETIES

Hard Red Varieties

1. Borah

- a. Bearded variety
- b. Very high yielding ability
- c. Semi-dwarf type
- d. Medium maturity
- e. Low to fair test weight
- f. Resistant to shattering
- g. Resistant to stripe rust
- h. Susceptible to leaf rust
- i. Resistant to stem rust

2. Fortuna

- a. Beardless variety, developed in North Dakota
- b. Good yielding ability
- c. Medium to tall height
- d. Medium maturity
- e. High test weight
- f. Poor to fair lodging resistance
- g. Somewhat susceptible to shattering
- h. Resistant to most common races of stem rust
- i. Resistant to most common races of leaf rust
- j. Fair to good milling and baking quality

Newana

- a. High yielding ability
- b. Semi-dwarf variety (short straw)
- c. High test weight
- d. High lodging resistance
- e. Good shattering resistance
- f. Resistance to stem rust
- g. Moderately susceptible to leaf rust

Recommended Spring Wheat Varieties (con't)

4. Pondera

- a. High yielding ability
- b. Semi-dwarf variety
- c. High test weight
- d. Mid-season maturity
- e. Resistant to stem and strip rust
- f. Moderately resistant to leaf rust

5. Marberg

- a. Good yielding ability
- b. Semi-dwarf variety
- c. Good test weight
- d. Mid-season maturity
- e. Resistant to stem rust
- f. Moderately susceptible to leaf rust
- g. Moderately resistant to stripe rust

Soft White Varieties

1. Fielder

- a. Bearded variety, developed in Idaho
- b. Very high yielding ability
- c. Semi-dwarf type
- d. Medium to late maturity
- e. Fair test weightf. Good straw strength
- g. Good shattering resistance
- h. Moderately resistant to stripe rust
- i. Slight resistance to leaf rust

2. Fieldwin

- a. High yielding ability
- b. Semi-dwarf variety
- c. Medium to late maturity
- d. Fair test weight
- e. Good straw strength
- f. Good shattering resistance
- g. Moderate resistance to stripe, stem and leaf rust
- h. Moderate resistance to powdery mildew

Table 1 . Agronomic data from the Private Variety Spring Wheat Nursery grown on the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center in 1980. Field No. Y-2. Random block design, four replications.

Date seeded: April 22, 1980 Date harvested: September 12, 1980 Size of plot: 32 sq. ft.

| C.I. or State No. | Variety | Yield Bu/A | Test Wt Lbs/Bu | Heading Date | Height Inches | % Blotch | Lodging % | Leaf Rust % | Stripe Rust % |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| TR 6 TR 7 AG 1 AG 2634 NK 55114 NA 18374 CI 13986 CI 17430 NK 2631 WS 108 TR 1 CI 17790 CI 13596 NA 7664 CI 17828 NF 2634 CI 17829 MT 34 CI 10003 SP 1 | Mapache (Triticale) IA-IRA-BUI(Triticale) Solar Walera 75S 5511-4 NHS 183-74 Era Newana, MT 7156-/ 75S 2631 MP 108 Navojoa (Triticale) Len (ND 543) Fortuna HS 7664 Pondera 75S 2634 Marberg Prodax Thatcher Speltz | 109.82a 108.17a 97.54a 91.37 90.32 89.77 88.70 85.40 84.95 81.90 81.03 80.61 80.61 76.98 76.63 75.52 71.55b 70.22b 64.40b 36.52b | 46.97b 44.57b 56.00 55.32 58.32a 57.38 55.22 56.45 54.82b 59.82a 47.90b 56.80 58.20a 55.72 56.77 54.92b 50.20b 55.63 27.60b | 179.25b 179.75b 183.75a 183.25a 181.75 178.00b 183.50a 181.50 184.50a 180.50 178.50b 178.75b 179.00b 181.00 179.00b 184.25a 177.75b 182.00 178.00b | 45.08a 46.95a 38.68 38.78 40.06 38.78 38.48 37.99 39.86 37.60 39.57 38.58 43.21a 38.78 37.99 36.81 38.88 37.99 36.81 38.88 49.70a 48.43a | 9.00 17.50 6.25 15.00 31.25 27.50 11.25 20.00 5.00 40.00 32.50 41.25a 32.50 21.25 .00 16.25 .00 47.50a 5.00 28.75 | 7.50b 2.50b 75.00a 85.00a .00b 2.50b 78.75a 41.25 75.00a .00b 5.00b 2.50b 83.75a 20.00 .00b 66.25 .00b 86.25a 53.75 96.75a | 5.00 .00 .25 10.00 2.50 .00 .00 4.25 .00 15.00 12.50 .00 .00 .25 .00 .00 .00 .25 .00 | .25 .00 .26.25a .22.50a .7.50 .22.50a .25.00a .00 .67.50a .25 .00 .25 .00 .25 .00 .25 .00 .25 .00 .25 .00 .25 .00 |
| 1/ Check var | x F2/ S.E.x L.S.D. C.V.% | 82.10 19.68** 3.55 10.08 4.32 | 53.17 227.62** .48 1.36 .90 | 181.10 37.27** .45 1.29 .25 | 40,65 8.41** 1.32 3.75 3.25 | 20.39 3.74** 7.37 20.94 36.17 | 39.09 18.43** 8.85 25.13 22.64 | 5,45 3,83** 4,84 13,73 88,72 | 10.72 9.97** 5.46 15.52 50.95 |

^{2/} F value for treatment comparison

 $[\]overline{\epsilon}$ / Values significantly greater than the check at the .05 level

F/ Values significantly less than the check at the .05 level

Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level