Title: Fungicide Evaluation in Winter Wheat – 2015

Objective: To evaluate application timings and rates of Headline for the control of stripe

rust in winter wheat.

Results:

Headline was applied to Decade winter wheat at two rates (6 and 9 fl oz/A) and two growth stages (two tiller and flag leaf), either as single or sequential applications on May 1 and May 20, respectively (Table 2). The application of Headline resulted in significant differences for percent stripe rust infection, yield, and test weight. Stripe rust infection averaged 25.2%, and ranged from 7.7% to 61.7 percent. Most treatments afforded commercially acceptable control. However, the 6 oz/A rate applied at the two tiller stage of growth produced infection levels comparable to the non-treated check. In turn, yields were statistically equivalent between these two treatments.

An analysis was performed to evaluate the economic feasibility of sequential fungicide applications. The highest adjusted gross return (\$497.20) was obtained with 9 oz/A applied as a single application at flag leaf (Table 3). Conversely, the least profitable fungicide treatment (\$413.50) was 9 oz/A applied sequentially. This occurred despite having the highest level of stripe rust control. In short, there was not a direct relationship between stripe rust control and profitability.

Further, there was not a direct relationship between yield and profitability. Although the sequential application at 6 fl oz/A afforded the highest yield at 109.9 bu/A, the adjusted gross return was \$495.60 per acre. The benefit of making two applications at the 6 fl oz/A rate compared to a single application at flag leaf was \$4.70. In comparison the financial loss of sequential applications at the 9 fl oz/A compared to the single application at flag leaf was \$83.70/A.

Summary:

Headline was effective at controlling stripe rust and the most economical application timing was at flag leaf at 9 fl oz/A.

Table 1. Materials and Methods - Effect of Fungicide on Winter Wheat,

Kalispell - 201	ispell - 2015					
Seeding Date:	9/29/2014	Harvest Date:	7/28/2015			
Julian Date:	272	Julian Date:	209			
Seeding Rate:	80 lbs/A	Soil Type:	Creston SiL			
Previous Crop:	Canola	Soil Test:	29-10-158			
Tillage:	Conventional	Fertilizer:	9-40-70, 130-0-0 TD			
Irrigation:	None	Herbicide:	Huskie 11 oz/A			

Table 2. Effect of Headline on agronomic performance of winter wheat, Kalispell, MT - 2015

	Rate	HD	SR	LOD	YLD^1	PRO ²	TWT ¹
Application Timing	fl oz/A	Julian	%	%	bu/A	%	lb/bu
Two Tillers + Flag Leaf	9	153.0	7.7	0.0	97.9	10.2	61.6
Two Tillers + Flag Leaf	6	153.3	10.7	0.0	109.9	9.8	62.1
Flag Leaf	9	153.7	10.7	0.0	107.0	10.1	61.3
Flag Leaf	6	153.0	15.0	0.0	103.6	10.1	61.7
Two Tillers	9	153.0	24.3	0.0	96.5	9.7	60.5
Two Tillers	6	153.3	46.7	0.0	88.2	9.5	60.9
Check		152.7	61.7	2.7	78.8	9.8	59.7
Mean		153.1	25.2	0.4	97.4	9.9	61.1
CV		0.5	63.4	375.6	10.2	3.5	1.3
LSD		ns	28.5	ns	17.7	ns	1.4
Pr>F		0.7783	0.0079	0.2622	0.0269	0.2849	0.0380

HD: heading date, SR: stripe rust, LOD: lodging, YLD: yield, PRO: protein, TWT: test weight, ns: nonsignificant

Table 3. Economic analysis for the application of Headline fungicide to winter wheat for stripe rust control.

				Headline Application		Adjusted
			Gross	Cost per	Cost per	Gross
Application	Rate	YLD	Return	Acre	Acre	Return
timing	fl oz/A	bu/A	\$5.00/bu	\$3.67/oz	\$5.00	\$/Acre
Flag leaf	9	107.0	535.20	33.00	5.00	497.20
Two tillers + Flag leaf	6	109.9	549.60	44.00	10.00	495.60
Flag leaf	6	103.6	517.90	22.00	5.00	490.90
Two tillers	9	96.5	482.30	33.00	5.00	444.30
Two tillers	6	88.2	441.00	22.00	5.00	414.00
Two tillers + Flag leaf	9	97.9	489.60	66.10	10.00	413.50
Check		78.8	393.90	0.00	0.00	393.90
Mean		97.4	487.07		•	449.90
CV		10.2	10.2			11.1
LSD		17.7	88.4		•	88.4
Pr>F		0.0269	0.0269	•	•	0.0942

YLD: yield

 $^{^{\}mathrm{1}}$ adjusted to 13% moisture, $^{\mathrm{2}}$ adjusted to 12% moisture