

TITLE: Winter Wheat

PROJECT: Small Grain Investigations MS 756

YEAR: 1981

PERSONNEL: Leader - Vern R. Stewart
 Technician - Todd K. Keener
 Cooperator - G. Allen Taylor
 Cooperating Agencies - Montana Wheat Research Committee MAES,
 Montana Wheat Research and Marketing Committee, Pacific
 Northwest Regional Commission

LOCATION: Northwestern Agricultural Research Center and
 L. B. Claridge Farm, Kalispell

- OBJECTIVES:
1. To obtain information necessary to make varietal recommendations and evaluate new varieties and selections.
 2. To obtain from a cooperative program with the USDA-AR in the Pacific Northwest wheat germ plasm or varieties that have resistance to dwarf smut (Tilletia controversa Kuehn) and stripe rust (Puccinia striiformis West).

1981 EXPERIMENTS:

1. Western Regional Hard Red Winter Wheat Nursery
 - (a) Kalispell
 - (b) Stillwater
2. Western Regional White Winter Wheat Nursery
 - (a) Kalispell
 - (b) Stillwater
3. Off station Variety Nurseries (3)
 Locations in tabulated data.
4. Preliminary Evaluations Hard Red Winter Wheat

1981 RESULTS:

The winter of 1980-81 was relatively open and quite mild. As a result of the mild winter there was a high level of stripe rust spores that survived the winter. This resulted in a high level of strip rust infestation in the nurseries in 1981. Snow cover during the winter is critical for dwarf smut development. With only minimal snow cover in 1980-81 we did not have a good test for dwarf smut resistance in the materials grown.

The months of May and June had higher than normal precipitation. This produced ideal environment for most foliar diseases including stripe rust.

Western Regional Hard Red Winter Wheat Nursery - Kalispell

Yields were slightly below average for this location, however it did give us a good test of the yielding ability and performance of the entries in the nursery.

The highest yielding entry was ID220 at 87.9 bu/a. Test weight was excellent at 61 lb/bu. MT77077 (Winridge) yielded 70 bu/a which was significantly lower in yield than ID220. ID220 and Winridge both have fair stripe rust resistance, with ID220 having a slightly higher degree of resistance in this test. Weston is fair in yield

1981 RESULTS: (con't)

and has an excellent test weight. Weston and Winridge are both significantly better in yield than Crest which is used as a check.

Lodging was moderate in 1981. Crest has the weakest straw of any variety tested. Weston had a lodging factor of about 50% whereas Winridge did not lodge in this location.

Crest is the earliest variety in the nursery heading 10 days ahead of Winridge and five days before ID220 the highest yielding entry.

Lacking in 1981, is a really good evaluation of smut resistance in this test. Table 1.

Western Regional Hard Red Winter Wheat Nursery - Stillwater

Yields were considerably above the annual average. Yields are higher due in part to increasing fertility levels in this area and timely rainfall during the growing season.

There was not sufficient snow cover for the usually high levels of dwarf smut found in this location. Susceptible varieties such as Kharkof and Wanser each had smut levels of 10% and we would expect levels of 50% and 80% respectively. Thus we do not have really good dwarf smut information on these entries.

OR7921 was the highest yielding variety (90 bu/a). Weston and MT77077 (Winridge) were not significantly better statistically than Crest which is used as a check variety. MT77090 was excellent in yield and significantly better than Crest, but not significantly higher in yield than MT77077 (Winridge).

Eight lines showed a high degree of resistance to stripe rust, however only MT77066 yielding significantly more than Crest showed good resistance to this disease.

Lodging was moderate in this experiment, and Table 2 shows the difference between varieties. Weston, Crest and OR7921 have fairly high lodging indexes.

Light dwarf smut was noted in the susceptible varieties, however we do not feel the levels are high enough to secure a good evaluation of the lines and varieties in this test. Table 2.

Western Regional White Winter Wheat Nursery - Kalispell

Luke is used as the check variety in this nursery. There are no varieties significantly higher in yield, however there were six varieties that were lower in yield. Luke appears to perform very well in this location. Daws looked excellent this year at 98.88 bu/a. WA6363 and WA6313 are promising varieties for this location. Tyee is the highest yielding variety at 91.11 bu/a, however the test weight was light. Daws had one of the better test weights. Test weight on Luke was off one pound from the standard. Luke is somewhat later heading than other varieties. Daws is six days earlier in heading than Luke. Daws has a better straw than Luke, however it is somewhat taller. Tyee, Daws and Luke have good stripe rust resistance, however we did not have any dwarf smut this season to be able to compare resistance of these lines to that disease. Table 3.

1981 RESULTS: (con't)

A ten year summary of white winter wheat yields for Kalispell is located in Table 3a.

Western Regional White Winter Wheat Nursery - Stillwater

Yields were excellent in this location. They were some of the highest we have ever obtained at this location in the past 20 years. The highest yielding line was WA6698 at 105.45 bu/a and is significantly higher than Luke. There were six lines that were significantly lower in yield than Luke.

The mean of test weights for the experiment was 55.57 lbs/bu. The test weight of Luke was 53.45 lbs/bu which is very low, whereas WA6698 had a test weight of 57.5 lbs/bu.

Tyee, Faro and ID3528 indicated good resistance to stripe rust of the varieties tested.

Dwarf smut evaluations are inconclusive because of the low level found in susceptible varieties.

Lodging was light in this test, with Moro and Kharkof having the greatest amount. Table 4.

Off Station Nurseries

Ravalli County - Yields were lower in this location when compared to other locations (See Table 5), however they are above average for this site. OR68007 and Tyee had significantly higher yields when statistically compared to Winridge which was used as the check variety in the off station locations.

Test weights were also lowest in this nursery among the three off station locations. Crest and Weston had test weights significantly greater than the check. The test weights in Ravalli County were very similar to those obtained at Stillwater.

Heights were below the five location mean, but were not abnormal. Almost all varieties were significantly less in height than Winridge. This is more or less similar for all the off station nurseries.

Missoula County - No variety yielded significantly higher than Winridge, however Crest and Weston had yields that were significantly less.

All test weights were significantly less than Winridge except for Weston which was higher.

Lake County - The highest yields for all off station locations were obtained from the Lake County nursery. This is surprising considering all the cheatgrass that was extracted from these plots. Varieties yielding significantly higher than the check (Winridge) were Stephens, Faro, OR68007, OR680073, Weston and Tyee.

Test weights were higher than the Ravalli or Missoula County nurseries, but many varieties were less than the same varieties harvested in Kalispell. All but four varieties had significantly lower test weights when compared to Winridge (Table 6).

1981 RESULTS: (con't)

Kalispell and Stillwater data was included in the following tables as a means of comparison. The discussion on these nurseries was given earlier in this report.

Preliminary Evaluations Hard Red Winter Wheat

The mean yield for this nursery was lower than normal which may be a reflection of the stripe rust infestation. Eight varieties yielded significantly higher than Centurk, the check, with a range of yields from 20.5 bu/a to 76.6 bu/a.

Test weights were also less than previous year means. More than two-thirds of the entries had test weights significantly less than Centurk at 58.2 lbs/bu. Heading dates and height varied according to variety with no abnormal variances observed.

There was very little smut observed throughout the study so meaningful comparisons of resistance could not be made this year. Stripe rust was prevalent throughout the nursery. Nine winter wheat varieties demonstrated resistance toward the disease with two others showing slight resistance (Table 8).

Table 3. Agronomic data from the Western Regional White Winter Wheat Nursery grown on the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT in 1981. Random block design. Four replications. Field No. E-1.

Date seeded: September 22, 1980 Date harvested: September 13, 1981
Size of Plot: 32 sq. ft.

C.I. or State No	Variety	Yield Bu/A	Test Wt Lbs/Bu.	Heading Date	Height Inches	Lodging		Strip Rust	
						%	Sev.	% ^{2/}	IT ^{4/}
CI 17773	Tyee (WA6155)	91.11	57.75b	162.75b	43.25a	.00	.00	0	0
CI 17419	Daws	90.88	60.07	160.50b	39.75a	.00	.00	0	0
WA 6363	Luke/WA5829	85.29	60.63a	169.75a	36.25	.00	.00	0	0
WA 6813	Luke/VH76375	84.70	57.70b	164.25b	37.50	.00	.00	10	2
OR 68007	Yamhill/Hyslop	83.99	58.57	162.25b	40.50a	.00	.00	50	1
CI 14586	Luke ^{1/}	83.09	59.65	166.75	36.00	.00	.00	0	0
OR 797	CI14482/Moro, Sel. E109	82.56	57.53b	157.75b	39.00a	.00	.00	10	2
WA 6696	Daws/WA5829/ VH078141	81.41	60.65	160.75b	41.00a	.00	.00	0	0
OR 7794	Rew/Luke/Sel305	79.79	60.40	158.25b	43.00	.00	.00	10	2
CI 17596	Stephens	79.76	57.55b	157.25b	36.25	.00	.00	0	0
CI 13968	Nugaines	79.06	61.25a	162.50b	37.25	.00	.00	10	2
ID 3528	WA4765/3/Bezo- staja//Burt	78.30	56.38b	168.25	38.50	12.50a	2.00a	0	0
OR 7792	Paha/OR6857, Sel. 204	77.88	58.57b	161.50b	49.00a	.00	.00	0	0
ID745318	WA 4765//Burt/ PI 178383	75.91	58.32b	160.75b	40.00a	.00	.00	10	2
WA 6814	VB71221/M722712	75.80	56.90b	160.50b	40.75a	.00	.00	0	0
OR 794	Yayla/Ymh// Rieb/Ymh/3/RE	74.86	60.97a	159.25b	44.25a	.00	.00	0	0
WA 6472	Semidwarf Multiline Club	72.62	59.17	162.00b	43.25a	.00	.00	0	0
OR 7142	Suwon 92/3*Omar //Moro,14	72.55	58.55b	157.00b	46.75a	.00	.00	0	0
ID745325	Peck, Sel. 17	70.65	61.07a	163.00b	46.50a	.00	.00	40	5
CI 17590	Faro	66.46b	59.67	159.50b	43.25a	.00	.00	0	0
WA 6581	VD67217/VB 67297/VD 0752	62.81b	60.03	163.00b	36.00	.00	.00	0	0
CI 13740	Moro	62.50b	57.57b	160.25b	47.50a	6.25	.75	0	0
WA 6698	Allan Sel.A7815 ^{1/}	54.04b	59.20	160.75b	42.25a	.00	.00	0	0
CI 11755	Elgin	42.53b	56.75b	163.25b	49.75a	.00	.00	80	8
CI 1442	Kharkof	40.76b	59.40	163.75b	53.25a	.00	.00	50	8
	\bar{x}	73.97	58.97	161.82	42.03	.75	.11	10.8	1.28
	F ^{2/}	8.39**	15.14**	29.06**	20.22**	1.09NS	1.04NS	0	0
	S.E. \bar{x}	4.51	.37	.59	1.05	2.63	.41	0	0
	L.S.D. (.05)	12.70	1.05	1.65	2.96	7.40	1.16	0	0
	C.V.%	6.09	.63	.36	2.50	350.22	375.75	0	0

1/ Check Variety

2/ F-value for variety comparison

3/ Percent of plot infected

4/ Position of rust on plant:

1=basal leaves; 3-4=lower leaves;
5-7=between last & second to last
leaf; 9 = head

a/ Values significantly greater than the check
at .05 level.

b/ Values significantly less than the check at
.05 level.

* Indicates statistical significance at the
.05 level.

** Indicates statistical significance at the
.01 level.

Table 3a. Ten year summary of yields for the Western Regional White Winter Wheat Nursery grown at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT 1972-1981.

C.I. or State No.	Variety	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	Ave.	Sta. Yrs.	% Nugaines
CI 1442	Kharkof	59.7	45.3	27.7	37.4	61.1	50.7	16.9	78.1	55.5	40.7	47.3	10	69
CI 11755	Elgin	70.8	50.9	59.2	42.3	67.6	57.8	21.3	94.1	68.5	42.5	57.5	10	84
CI 13740	Moro	68.5	65.6	60.3	44.0	69.8	57.0	27.8	96.3	67.4	62.5	61.9	10	90
CI 13968	Nugaines	73.0	68.5	77.9	51.8	80.2	66.0	18.9	93.7	75.3	79.1	68.4	10	100
CI 17596	Stephens		61.6	81.2	52.3	82.1	60.6	23.4	100.2	99.3	79.8	71.2	9	105
CI 17590	Faro			85.4	53.5	74.9	65.2	25.4	94.2	80.6	66.5	68.2	8	101
CI 17419	Daws			89.0	56.3	92.8	68.7	22.9	-	-	90.9	70.1	6	112
OR 7142	Suwon 92/3*Omar//Moro,14				51.4	74.1	66.9	28.3	98.4	82.3	72.6	67.7	7	102
OR 68007	Yamhill/Hyslop					92.1	75.5	25.1	94.4	100.4	84.0	78.6	6	114
WA 6363	Luke/WA5829						70.2	34.2	104.8	109.7	85.3	80.8	5	121
ID 745318	WA4765//Burt/PI178383							25.3	99.4	105.9	75.9	76.6	4	115
WA 6472	Semi dwarf Multiline Club							30.1	102.9	93.1	72.6	74.7	4	112
CI 14586	Luke							30.0	114.2	-	83.1	75.8	3	119
CI 17773	Tyee (WA6155)								114.6	82.2	91.1	96.0	3	116
WA 6581	VD67217/VB67297/VDO752								103.2	78.1	62.8	81.4	3	98
WA 6698	Allan Sel. A7815									107.7	54.0	80.9	2	105
OR 797	CI14482/Moro, Sel. E109									100.3	82.6	91.5	2	118
WA 6696	Daws/WA5829/VHO78141									96.3	81.4	88.9	2	115
OR 7794	Rew/Luke/Sel., 305									91.9	79.8	85.9	2	111
WA 6813	Luke/VH76375										84.7	84.7	1	107
ID 3528	WA4765/3/Bezostaja//Burt										78.3	78.3	1	99
OR 7792	Paha/OR6857, Sel.,204										77.9	77.9	1	98
WA 6814	VB71221/M722712										75.8	75.8	1	96
OR 794	Yayla/YMH//Rieb/YMH/3/RE										74.9	74.9	1	95
ID 745325	Peck, Sel. 17										70.7	70.7	1	89

Table 4. Agronomic data from the Western Regional White Winter Wheat Nursery grown on the Lance Claridge farm, Kalispell, MT in 1981. Random block design. Four replications.

Date seeded: September 23, 1980 Date harvested: September 9, 1981
Size of Plot: 32 sq. ft.

C.I. or State No	Variety	Yield Bu/A	Test Wt Lbs/Bu.	Height Inches	Stripe Rust ^{1/} Sev.	R ₄ /IT ^{2/}	% Smut	Lodging % Angle	
WA 6698	Allan Sel.A7815	101.45a	57.75a	39.00a	2	5	.00	.0	.00
WA 6472	Semidwarf Multiline Club	97.52a	56.65a	36.75	4	8	.00	.0	.00
OR 7142	Suwon 92/3*Omar//Moro,14	94.17	56.60a	38.25	0	0	.00	.0	.00
CI 17773	Tyee	90.81	56.40a	36.25	1	5	.00	.0	.00
WA 6814	VB71221/M722712	90.37	55.28	40.00a	3	5	.00	15.0	.75
CI 17596	Stephens	88.88	55.90a	33.25	1	5	.00	.0	.00
OR 7792	Paha/OR6857,Sel.204	88.60	57.22a	43.50a	0	0	.00	20.0	1.25a
WA 6696	Daws/WA5829,VH078141	87.85	57.92a	34.50	2	5	.00	.0	.00
WA 6813	Luke/VH76375	87.40	54.63	39.50a	2	5	.33	7.5	.75
CI 17419	Daws ^{1/}	85.94	57.38a	33.25	3	5	.33	.0	.00
CI 14586	Luke ^{1/}	85.48	53.45	33.75	3	5	.00	.0	.00
OR 7794	Rew/Luke, Sel.305	85.30	56.78a	38.25	2	5	.33	.0	.00
ID745318	WA4765//Burt/PI178383	85.29	54.30	35.25	3	5	.00	.0	.00
CI 17590	Faro	84.53	55.55a	35.25	0	0	.00	.0	.00
WA 6363	Luke/WA 5829	81.83	53.40	33.75	1	5	.00	.0	.00
OR 797	CI14482/Moro,Sel. E109	81.44	55.97a	35.75	0	0	.00	.0	.00
OR 794	Yayla/Ymh//Rieb/Ymh/3/RE	81.23	56.92a	40.50	3	5	.33	.0	.00
OR 68007	Yamhill/Hyslop	80.78	54.22	36.75	3	5	.67	.0	.00
CI 13740	Moro	76.41	54.90	42.00a	1	2	.00	62.5a	2.25a
ID 3528	WA4765/3/BEZOSTAJA//Burt	74.01b	50.78b	36.00	0	0	.00	.0	.00
WA 6581	VD67217/VB67297,VD0752	72.26b	56.40a	32.75	1	2	.33	.0	.00
CI 13968	Nugaines	68.96b	54.60	32.00	6	5	.00	.0	.00
ID745325	Peck, Sel.17	66.72b	54.15	41.25a	6	8	.67a	.0	.00
CI 1442	Kharkof	52.03b	56.50a	48.00a	5	5	.33	57.5a	2.50a
CI 11755	Elgin	50.14b	55.63	41.25a	5	8	.00	15.0	1.00
	\bar{x}_2	81.58	55.57	37.47	2.28	4.12	.13	7.10***	3.4
	F ^{2/}	11.27**	4.29**	5.38**	.00	.001	.45*	6.88	3.84*
	S.E. \bar{x}	3.66	.79	1.67	.00	.00	.18	6.45	.36
	L.S.D.(.05)	10.32	2.23	4.71	.00	.00	.51	18.17	1.02
	C.V. %	4.49	1.42	4.46	.00	.00		90.79	
							133.85	106.55	

1/ Check variety

2/ F-value for variety comparison

3/ Percent of plot infected

4/ Position of rust on plant: 1 = basal leaves; 3-4 = lower leaves;
5-7 = between last and second to last leaf; 8 = flag leaf; 9 = head

a/ Values significantly greater than the check at .05 level

b/ Values significantly less than the check at .05 level

* Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level

** Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level