YEAR/PROJECT: 1988/756 Small Grain Production

PERSONNEL: Leader - Vern R. Stewart, Todd K. Keener - Research Specialist Northwestern Agricultural Research Center. Kalispell, MT. 11

OBJECTIVES:

To determine the adaptability of new and introduced winter wheat varieties for western Montana.

SUMMARY:

The Western Regional Winter Wheat nurseries are grown at the Kalispell and Stillwater locations. The outstanding varieties from these nurseries are tested in western Montana in off-station nursery evaluations. These data are used in making recommendations to the Montana producer.

Continuous snow cover began on December 15, 1987 and continued until February 13, 1988 (60 days) which was 34 days less than last year and 45 days shorter than the 1985/86 season. Dwarf smut infection levels were low at the Stillwater and Kalispell locations sites this year. Although disease occurance was light in most experiments there was a severe incidence of stripe rust in susceptible varieties in the Intrastate winter wheat nursery. Although fall, winter and early spring precipitation amounts were 60-70% of normal the rainfall recieved in April and May greatly aided the sustaining of winter wheat through to harvest. Yields were very good considering the lack of moisture experienced Statewide.

RESULTS

Western Regional Hard Red Winter Wheat - Kalispell

The Kalispell site had a mean yield of 81.57 bu/A. The highest yielding entry was UT 157140 at 97.75 bu/A. UT 156751, UT 156516, ID 326, and ORDR 8608 all had yields greater than 90 bu/A. No variety had a test weight of 60 lbs/bu and only five entries had test weights of 59.0 lbs/bu. Winter survival of all varieties were good, averaging 95.82%. TCK smut was generally light with seven lines being smut free. Table 1.

Western Regional Hard Red Winter Wheat - Stillwater

The Stillwater trial had a mean yield of 85.97 bu/A. Nine lines had yields in excess of 90/bu/A. All lines but two had test weights above the 60 lb/bu. Winter survival for all entries averaged 97%. TCK smut levels were very low in the test. Table 2.

Western Regional Soft White Winter Wheat - Kalispell

The Kalispell nursery had a mean yield of 94.74 bu/A. OR 855 was the highest yielding entry at 112.93 bu/A. Test weights were low and averaging 55.56 lbs/bu. TCK smut levels were low, with only WA 7621 and WA 7527 smut free. Table 3.

Western Regional Soft White Winter Wheat - Stillwater

The mean yield for the Stillwater site was 86.51 bu/A. ORF 75336 had the high yield (103.74 bu/A). No other varieties had yields above 100 bu/A but eight entries had yields of 90 bu/A or greater. Yield data was found nonsignificant when analyzed statistically. Test weights were average (59.44 lbs/bu). TCK smut was light with fourteen entries having a range of .5 to 8 percent. Table 4.

Intrastate Winter Wheat - Kalispell

The Kalispell location had a mean yield of 91.51 bu/A. The high yielding entry was Winridge at 121.57 bu/A. Ten lines were equal to Winridge, and above 100 bushel per acre. Test weights were good with an average of 61.38 lbs/bu. Fourteen entries had test weights above 62 lbs/bu. TCK smut was light yet was observed in all but eight entries. Stripe rust was prevalent throughout the trial and severe in twelve varieties. MT 86009 and MT 86029 were the only two varieties showing good resistance to strip rust. Table 5.

Offstation Winter Wheat Trials

The offstation winter wheat trials were grown in Ravalli County (McIntyre farm, Stevensville, MT), Lake County (Haake farm, Polson, MT.) and in Flathead County (Stillwater location, Oscar Buller farm Kalispell, MT.). The mean yields were 35.94 bu/A for Ravalli Co., 28.65 bu/A for Lake Co., and 79.86 bu/A for Flathead Co. Heights, test weights, % TCK smut and % survival observations are given in tables 7-9.

1

1

Table 3.

76

Agronomic data from the Western Regional Soft White Winter Wheat nursery grown on the Northwestern Agicultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT.

Date planted: Sept. 17, 1987

Harvested: August 28, 1988

	VARIETY	YIELD BU/A	TEST WT LB/BU	HEADING DATE	HEIGHT	%WINTER SURVIVL	% TCK SMUT
OR 855	PAHA//SEL.72-330/DAW		58.18a				.12
	CORVALLIS SELECTION	103.19			39.96a		.63
	DAWS/SM4//MDM/SM11,F	102.16					.12
	CORVALLIS SELECTION		54.93				
	WA 7163 SIB		55.88			98.75	
	NEELY/SPN//SPN (A79	99.63	54.73				
	VPM/MS951/PECK/SPN/D	99.63	53.08b				
	NEELY/SPN/SPN (A7911	99.54	54.62	154.00	36.81		
	NORTENO/YAMHILL//672	98.90	56.80a				
	TJB801-12795/STEPHEN	98.81	57.08a		42.81a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	CORVALLIS SELECTION	98.51	57.98a				
	CORVALLIS SELECTION	98.31	56.43a				
WA 7163		97.99	56.20a				
	TJB259-83/3/CD/P101/	97.94	57.88a				.63
	CORVALLIS SELECTION	97.74	56.50a				1.50
	STEPHENS 1/	97.64	54.53	153.50			.25
	NUGAINES	97.08	57.90a				2.50a
	HYSLOP/YAYLA//63-112	96.93	58.45a				.88
	VPM/MS421//VH66354/W	95.40	54.75	158.25a			.00
	STEPHENS/ROAZON/SEL.	94.65	54.62	156.50a			
CI 17917	TRES (WA 6698)	94.40	55.92	158.50a		99.00	.63
	TYEE/ROAZON/TRES	93.66	54.40	159.75a		95.25	.12
JR 843	HYSLOP/CERCO, H-308	92.88	55.92	157.25a	40.06a	96.75	.75
NA 7529	LUKE/VH67375//VPM/MO	92.85		157.50a	35.93	99.00	.37
DRF75336	YMH/MCD/2/T.SPELTA/3	92.75	54.80	155.50a	37.20	98.25	.37
VA 7527	TRES MULTILINE 86	92.54	55.90	157.00a	40.85a	97.25	.00
NA 7627	WA096910, MARIS HUNT	91.76	55.18	157.00a	40.94a	99.25	2.37a
)R 842	HYSLOPCERCO, B-307	91.61	55.50	157.25a	39.96a	98.00	2.25a
VA 7526	TRES COMPOSITE CROSS	91.56	56.50	159.00a	40.35a	98.50	.50
IA 7628	VD086150, WA6814/WA65	91.31	52.33b	159.75a			.12
RFW205B	FW73830-002/3/MLD/2/	90.39	52.73b	160.25a	34.55	99.25	.37
IA 7166	НҮАК	89.75	52.70b	157.25a	39.57a	98.25	.12
R830801	CORVALLIS SELECTION	84.456	51.15b	154.75	32.97	97.50	1.00
CI 13740	MORO	81.65b	54.23	156.75a	45.47a	99.00	1.37
CI 11755	ELGIN	76.025	57.75a	158.50a	50.30a	98.00	.63
CI 1442	KHARKOF	74.195	59.28a	155.75a	54.13a	99.00	4.63a
XPERIMEN	ITAL MEANS	94.74	55.56	156.88	37.16	97.91	.74
TEST FO				16.53**			2.89*
	S OF MEAN/MEAN) \$100		.90	.30	2.31	1.14	72.46
SD (0.05		8.55	1.40	1.33	2.54	3.12	1.51

1/ Check variety

- 2/ F value for variety comparison
- ** Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level
- a/ Values significantly greater than the check at the .01 level
- b/ Values significantly less than the check at the .01 level

.

.

	Date seeded: Sept. 2	2, 1987	Date H	larvested	1: Augus	t 3, 1988
	VARIETY		TEST WT LB/BU		WINTER SURVIVAL	% TCK 1/ SMUT
ORF75336	YMH/MCD/2/T.SPELTA/3	103.94	59.73	30.91	78	0
	CORVALLIS SELECTION				97	0
	STEPHENS 2					.5
DRCW8521	TJB259-83/3/CD/P101/	94.90	60.52	36.12a	97	2 1
VA 7526.	TRES COMPOSITE CROSS	94.36	59.50	32.18a	98	
VA 7529	LUKE/VH67375//VPM/MO	94.00	58.57	29.63	95	0
)R 845	HYSLOP/YAYLA//63-112	93.13	60.95a	31.00	95	1
D 0330	NEELY/SPN//SPN (A79	91.09	59.38	32.38a	98	0
VA 7627	WA096910, MARIS HUNT	90.20	58.93	30.31	97	.5
VA 7166	НҮАК	88.85	59.00	31.20	99	.5
CI 17917	TRES (WA 6698)					
	CORVALLIS SELECTION					
	NEELY/SPN/SPN (A7911					
I 11755		87.55				
	TRES MULTILINE 86					
R 855	PAHA//SEL.72-330/DAW	86.95	61.10a	30.41	100	.5
	STEPHENS/ROAZON/SEL.					
	VPM/MS951/PECK/SPN/D					
RFW 301	DAWS/SM4//MDM/SM11.F	86.08	57.73b	30.02	78	0
I 13968	DAWS/SM4//MDM/SM11,F NUGAINES	85.89	61.93a	27.46b	93	0
IA 7621	VPM/MS421//VH66354/W	85.49	59.50	27.85h	100	õ
	NORTENO/YAMHILL//672					
	CORVALLIS SELECTION					
T 13740	MORO	83.29	58.70	38.099	95	ŏ
Δ 7625	MORO WA 7163 SIB VD086150,WA6814/WA65	93 24	59 13	29.43	98	ŏ
Δ 7428	VD086150 W66814/W665	82 43	58 75	27.00 27.95h	100	ŏ
PCWRA32	CORVALLIS SELECTION	87.78	58 73	30 12	100	ŏ
	CORVALLIS SELECTION					ŏ
A 7163						õ
	FW73830-002/3/MLD/2/			27.33 25.98b		0
	TJB801-12795/STEPHEN					
	CORVALLIS SELECTION					4
	HYSLOP/CERCO, H-308					8
	TYEE/ROAZON/TRES					
						0
	HYSLOPCERCO, B-307		58.28			3
I 1442		/1.04	62.08a	43.01a	78	3
XPERIMEN	ITAL MEANS	86.51	59.44	31.20	97.3	.85
	R VAR. 3/		10.93**			
		7.18				
SD (0.05			1.28			

Table 4. Agronomic data from the Western Regional Soft White Winter nursery grown on the Oscar Buller farm, Kalispell, MT in 1988.

78

1/ One rep data from % winter survival and TCK smut only

2/ Check variety

3/ F value for variety comparison a/ Values significantly greater than the check at the .01 level b/ Values significantly less than the check at the .01 level

				00% No.2 1 00 1 1 00 1
				00.000 (0000 (010.000 (010.000 (010.000))
		. Ge.84		
		52.24		
e - 2000 u 199				