# THIRTIETH ANNUAL REPORT 1978

Research Report No. 151

Northwestern Agricultural Research Center of the Agricultural Experiment Station Montana State University

> 1570 Montana 35 Kalispell, Montana

> > Prepared By

Vern R. Stewart Associate Professor of Agronomy and Superintendent

Leon E. Welty Assistant Professor

Contents of this report may not be published or reproduced in any form without prior consent of the research workers involved.

## ADMINISTRATION 750

The Administration Project concerns itself with personnel and the direction of all other research projects.

Purchases made and which were charged to this project include a new 60" x 30" desk with a typing return. This was purchased for the secretary. The purchase price of the desk was \$281. For the research technician's office a four-drawer letter file was purchased at a cost of \$104.

Following is a listing of personnel which include full time staff, parttime and seasonal employees during 1978.

The full-time staff in 1978 included:

Vern R. Stewart, Superintendent & Assoc. Agronomist (April 1, 1952) Leon E. Welty, Assist. Agronomist (January 15, 1973) Jeanette Calbick, Secretary (September 1, 1963) Robert Sharp, Farm/Ranch Hand III (March 1 thru December 1, 1978) Todd Keener, Ag Res. Technician II (March 27, 1978) Patrick Hensleigh, Res. Assist. in Agronomy (April 15, 1977)

# Parttime and seasonal employees:

Jim Aichlmayr (June 5 until September 15)
John Gordon (March 1 thru July 21)
Gregg Johnson (July 25 thru October 26)
Kevin Kephart (June 12 until September 15)
Karen LaBrant (June 12 until September 15)
Romana Maughan (June 26 until September 15)
Lori McPherson (July 12 until September 15)
Karen Seney (June 13 thru July 13)
Herbert Young (yard maintenance, 2 days/week throughout summer)

The Youth Employment Program (YEP) provided the following:

Charlie Crow Karen Lentz Doug Summers

Kevin Kephart has worked for us the last three summers and this year did his senior project on the station. Kevin is going to Montana State University majoring in Soil Science.

## PHYSICAL PLANT 751

This project covers all aspects of the Physical Plant which includes all buildings (residences, crops research, garage and machine shed and barn). Also, maintenance of lawns and roadways.

In 1978 the Crops Research Building was remodeled. By insulating, putting an electric furnace (\$580) and an overhead door in the south room it was converted into the shop. This made it possible to make a dry lab out of the portion of the middle room. Also, by partioning off part of the room a conference room and a chemical room were created. The chemical room was equiped with a sink and cupboards. This enhanced the measuring and mixing of chemicals and also provided a safe storage area. The conference room was paneled and new lights installed. New lights were also installed in the dry lab to provide more light for those weighting samples.

# GENERAL FARM 752

The general farm project supports all research projects.

The Ford F350 flatbed truck which was purchased in 1977 was traded in on a 1978 F600 Ford truck. After using the 1977 Ford truck it was determined it did not have the power to pull the trailer and also haul the tractor.

Other pieces of equipment purchased in 1978, the cost and project to which each was charged follows:

Model 4075 B&D Grinder \$129 (755)
Gasoline Weed & Grass trimmer \$289 (752)
Lawn Boy Model #6279 Lawn mower \$265 (752)
Rod Weeder-Morris Model B2-12 \$1584 (752)
Norwest Trials, Model 16EPE \$1550 (G&C 494)

DATE	ē.	VISITOR	REPRESENTING	ADDRESS
DHIL	-			
January		T. Debboin	Dept. Natural Resources	Kalispell
	5	Jim Rehbein	Dept. Natural Resources	Kalispell
		Jerry Tucker Gerard Peters	Job Applicant	Kalispell
	10	Norman Baldwin	Job Applicant	Kalispell
	16	Carroll Rost	Farmer	Columbia Falls
	17	Gordon Kelly	Physical Plant - MSU	Bozeman
	18	Rose & Wayne Cassidy	Job Applicant	Kalispell
	18	Bob Pleton	Job Applicant	Kalispell
	19	Thad Wojciechowski	Ext. Program Coordinator	Missoula
	OF	Roy Nordwall	Thermal Tech	Kalispell
	25 26	Don Parsons	Job Applicant	Kalispell
Thebana		Don Targons		T.T T.T.A
Februa	1	Todd Keener	Job Applicant	Vancouver, WA
	8	Stephen Ohlde	Job Applicant	Kalispell
	16	Beryl Mahlum	Farmer	Somers Portland, OR
	17	Jim Aichlemeyer	Job Applicant	Kalispell
	21	Karl & Maynard Thompson	Farmers	Kalispell
		John Sheldon	Farmer	Columbia Falls
	22	Carl Shrade	Farmer	Charlo
	24	Tom Seymore	Farmer	Crawfordsville,IN
	25	Bradly Thompson	Job Applicant	Clawiolasville
March		7		Ashton, ID
	8	Ron Brenchley	Monsanto	Kalispell
	10	Henry Robinson	Retired Vo-Ag Teacher	Kalispell
	14	Jeff Donat	Job Applicant	Polson
		Jean McIntire	Housewife National Weather Ser.	Kalispell
	16	Paul D. Rank		Kalispell
	21	Jeff Donat	Job Applicant Farmer	Kalispell
		Clyde Pederson	Job Applicant	Columbia Falls
	23	John Gordon	Vo-Ag Teacher	Kalispell
	29	Luke Lalum	Farmer	Bigfork
	30	Sigrid Brekkeflat	Farmer	Bigfork
	30	Thomas Odegard	Farmer	Bigfork
	31	Gene Heim	1 001 11001	
April	1	Lowell Darrington	Monsanto	
	4	Mark Lalum	Vo-Ag Teacher	Kalispell
	10	Charles Lemry	Farmer	St. Ignatius
	11	Karen Seney	Student	Kalispell
	17	Don Curtis	Sod-Farmer	Kalispell
	71	Homer Metcalf	Plant & Soil Science-MSU	Bozeman LosAngles, CA
	21	Ray & LaDean Thompson	Industrial Engineer	Bigfork
	24		Farmers	Billings
	26	Mary Klies	American Hoechst	Kalispell
	27	Art, Karen & Barb Lentz	Job Applicant	Kalispell
		Mr. Smith	Farmer	Marropour
May			Kalispell Feed & Grain	Kalispell
	14		Wilber-Ellis Co.	Spokane, WA
		Roger Smith	Mobay Corp.	Sugar City, ID
		Rich Pocock	Mobay Corp.	Spokane, WA
		Forrest Peters	Farmer	Plosn
		Neal McAlpin		

DAT	E	VISITOR	REPRESENTING	ADDRESS
May			Richards Sheet Metal	Kalispell
	10	Will Richards	General Sheet Metal	Kalispell
	20.52	Byron Hoylman	Job Applicant	Bozeman
	11	Melanie Williams Gordon Anderson	CPA	Bozeman
	17	Billy Holly	CPA - MSU	Bozeman
		Tom Gibson	Property Control-MSU	Bozeman
		Paul Tutvedt	Farmer	Kalispell
	19	George Alguard	Dept. Agriculture	Helena Helena
	1)	Oakfield Bain	Dept. Agriculture	Bozeman
23	3-25	Billy Holly	CPA-MSU	Bozeman
		Tom Gibson	Property Control-MSU	Bozeman
	26	Mr.&Mrs. Doug Warren	Montana State University	Kalispell
		Willis Gorton	Farmer Investor (retired)	Bigfork
	30	John W. Cory	Bitney's	Kalispell
	31	Don Lemon	brone, b	
June	-	D Hommol	Vet and farmer	Kalispell
	6 7	Doug Hammel Dave Knadler	Daily InterLake	Kalispell
	1	Phil Bratten	Teacher	Stevensville Stevensville
		FFA Chapter Members		Missoula
	8	Roger Lloyd	Dept. of Agriculture	Kalispell
	14	Les Mahugh	Neighbor	Bozeman
		George Evans	Plant & Soil Science-MSU	Ashton, ID
	15	Ron Brenchley	Monsanto Burlington Northern	Kalispell
	16	Eric Rozell	Burlington Northern	Miles City
		Ray Groski	Gustafson Mfg.	Moses Lake, WA
	22	Bruce Hewitt	Highland Rim Exp. Station	Springfield, TN
		Dennis Latham	Farmer	Kalispell
	23	Tom Blincoe Tom Johnston	Farmer	Kalispell
	30	Mary Klies	American Hoechst	Billings
	20	Harry McNeal	Plant & Soil Science-MSU	Bozeman
July			53	Kalispell
ours	6	Joan Speelman	Missoulian	Bigfork
		Ruth Steel	Bigfork Eagle Retired Soil Scientist	Bigfork
	7	Clint Bourne	Asian Veg. Res. & Devel. Cnt.	
		Takayaki Yoshizawa	Farmer	Carlston, Canada
	8	Boyd Johnson	Farmer	Ronan
	10	Jaye Johnson & family	Kalispell Livestock News	Kalispell
	11	David Little	Livestock & Range Res. Cnt.	Miles City
	12	Ray Woodward Jim Rodebush	Stauffer Chemical	Swan Lake, ID
		Harry Jessup	Farmer	Kalispell
	13		Gulf Chemical	Fargo, ND Kalispell
	1)	Dave Knadler	The Dailey InterLake	Kalispell
	24		Farmer	<b>Гаттарстт</b>
Augu		374752	G	Fargo, ND
****D	8		Cargill Sunflower Res. Cnt.	Minneapolis,MN
		Frank Ramley	Cargill	

VIDIO015 (-	,	DEDDECEMETIC	ADDRESS
DATE	VISITOR	REPRESENTING	<u>mbridiss</u>
August 9 21 22 23 28	Dr. Tom Ramage Dr. W.H. Wright & son Robert Eslick Ed Swift Erick Tybick Cy Corlett Nick Isaacs Bob McMaham Allen Morrison Bill Wright Bill Gearheart Jim McKinley	Arizona State University Physician Plant & Soil Science-MSU American Crystal Sugar Co. American Crystal Sugar Co. Rancher County Agent Rancher Rancher Rancher Rancher Amchem	Tuscon, AZ Kalispell Bozeman Moorhead, MN Denmark Drummond Drummond Drummong Hall Hall Hall Albany, OR
September 1 2 4 7 11 14 15 18 19-20 21 25 26	Nathen Byrd Clyde Pederson Bill Ambrose Allen Zimmerman Clarke Brothers Karl Welzenbach & family J. Martin David Vanek Mike Smith John Woodcock Jay Therkilsen Allen Taylor Anna Courtney Jim Hoffman Blair Goates Jack Walhden	Flathead County Agent Farmer Farmer Farmers Farmers Neighbor Farmer University of California Real Estate Gulf Chemcial Plant & Soil Science-MSU Youth Incentive Program Utah State University Utah State University	Kalispell Kalispell Kalispell Kalispell Columbia Falls Wolf Point Kalispell Lewistown Davis, CA Great Falls Fargo, ND Bozeman Kalispell Logan, UT Logan, UT Logan, UT
October 4 26 27 31 November	Steve Lehmen N. D. Hobbs John Mann Victor Anderson Dick Swartzback Don Hopper	Photographer John Deere Representative John Deere Representative Job Applicant Gulf Chemical Gulf Chemical	Kalispell Spokane, WA Cheney, WA Kalispell Merriam, KS Cascade Lake Oswego, OR
2 14 21 22 27 28	Ken Paul Dallas Dix Harold Clarke Susan Hartman Glee Bratt Bill Peebler Ken Jones Glen Ames John Frerich Glenn Fulbright Jerry Hubbard Larry Ivie	Gearmore Inc. Job Applicant Farmer Job Applicant	Bigfork Columbia Falls Whitefish Somers Kalispell Somers Kalispell Whitefish Dayton Kalispell Kalispell

# Visitors (con't)

DATE	VISITOR	REPRESENTING	ADDRESS
November 28	Dan Landon Gary Haaven Svend Larsen Mike Wilson Marvin Bates Michael McKnight	Job Applicant Job Applicant Job Applicant Job Applicant Job Applicant Job Applicant	Kalispell Kalispell Dagmar Kalispell Eureka Libby
December 1 13 15	Phil Grawunder Svend Larsen Dan Landon Glee Bratt Larry Ivie Terry Novack	Job Applicant Job Applicant Job Applicant Job Applicant Job Applicant The Dailey InterLake	Whitefish Dagmar Kalispell Somers Kalispell Kalispell

DATE	ACTITITY	STAFF	LOCATION
January 10 12 13 23 24	Ag Council CRD Meeting Montana Pork Producers Meeting Mind Growers Meeting MT Agricultural Business Meeting	Stewart Stewart Stewart Stewart Stewart	Kalispell Kalispell Kalispell Kalispell Great Falls
February 1	Sod-Seeding Meeting CRD Meeting	Stewart Welty Stewart	Bozeman Bozeman Kalispell
14	Ag Council	Stewart Welty	Kalispell Kalispell
22	County Agents Up-Dating Meeting Herbicide & Fertilizer Meeting	Stewart Welty Stewart	Ronan Ronan Polson
22 23	Advisory Committee Meeting	Stewart Welty	Allentown Allentown
27-	Planning Conference	Stewart Welty	Bozeman Bozeman
March 3 9 10 14-15	Montana Seed Growers Meeting Chamber Agricultural Meeting Weed Science Meeting	Stewart Stewart Stewart	Bozeman Kalispell Reno, NV
April	Chamber Agricultural Meeting	Stewart	Kalispell
May 2-3-4 12 18 26	Conservation Days Chamber Agricultural Meeting Vo-Ag Open Vhouse Flathead Chapter FFA Tour	Stewart Stewart Stewart Stewart Welty	Kalispell Area Kalispell Kalispell Creston Creston
June 1 7 18-21 23	Ag Council Meeting Stevensville FFA Tour Tour of Oat Nurseries Farm Tour with Chamber of Commerce	Stewart Stewart Stewart Stewart	Bozeman Stevensville MT Agric. Res. Cnts. Flathead County
July 17-20 20-21 28	Western Society Crop Science Wheat Workers Conference NWARC Field Day	Stewart Stewart Stewart Welty	Bozeman Bozeman Creston Creston
August 3	4-H Tour	Welty	Creston
September 13 15	Human Resources Award Meeting Chamber Agricultural Meeting	Stewart Stewart	Kalispell Kalispell

DATE	ACTIVITY	STAFF	LOCATION
October 12 17	Faculty Meeting Chamber Agricultural Meeting	Stewart Stewart	Bozeman Kalispell
November 1 9 14-15 21	Ag Council Meeting Fertilizer Meeting MT Potato Growers Meeting Smut Meeting	Stewart Stewart Stewart Stewart	Bozeman Kalispell Missoula Logan, UT
December 6-7	Research Center Assoc. Meeting Seed Production Seminar	Welty Stewart	Bozeman Kalispell

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Project No.		Page No.
	DISTRIBUTION	1
	CLIMATOLOGY	2
754	WEED INVESTIGATIONS	
	Chemical control of wild oats (Avena fatua) in spring wheat and spring barley	14
	Chemical control of broadleaf weeds in small grains	30
	Chemical weed control in legumes	38
	Chemical weed control in legumes	40
	Chemical control of weeds in potatoes	44
	Weed control in "sod-seeded" legumes	46
755	FORAGE INVESTIGATIONS	
	Irrigated commercial alfalfa yield trial	55
	Irrigated commercial alfalfa yield trial	58
	Determination of optimum seeding rates for maximum forage yields of Regar bromegrass under irrigation and dryland	60
	Evaluation of experimental birdsfoot trefoil lines when grown in mixtures with orchardgrass	64
	Irrigated and dryland sainfoin yield trial	67
	Annual forages - another alternative for stockman.	70
	Alternatives for set-aside acres	76
756	SMALL GRAINS INVESTIGATIONS	
	Spring Barley	80
	Winter Barley	97
	Spring Oats	101
	Spring Wheat	104
	Winter Wheat	106
	Investigation of cropping sequences on the productivity and quality of cereal grains	118

# DISTRIBUTION OF THE 1978 NORTHWESTERN AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH CENTER REPORT

Copies	
2	Office of Director, Montana Agricultural Experiment Station
1	Plant and Soil Science Department - Dr. A. Hayden Ferguson
4	Research Staff at Northwestern Agricultural Research Center
	C. W. Roath Vern R. Stewart Leon E. Welty Library
11	County Extension Agents in Northwestern Montana
	Program Coordinator - James DeBree Deer Lodge - Powell - David Streufert Flathead - Darrell E. Fenner Granite - Lake - G. Edward Bratton Lincoln - Robert E. Wilson Mineral - Willie Huot Missoula - Gerald W. Marks Ravalli - G. Robert Johnson Sanders - Barry Bowles Silver Bow - Tom Groce
3	Northwest Montana Banks
	Conrad National Bank - Kalispell The First Northwestern National Bank of Kalispell Western Montana National Bank - Missoula
1	Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation - Don Hughes
1	Farmers Home Administration - Marvin Jones
1	Soil Conservation Service - Tim Wiersum
1	Federal Land Bank Association - Bernie Herman
3	Feed Mills
	Equity Supply Company - Kalispell Kalispell Feed & Grain Supply Inc Kalispell Western Seed and Supply Company - Charlo

#### CLIMATOLOGICAL DATA

# Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center . Kalispell, MT 59901

Since 1949 the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center has cooperated with the United States Weather Service in securing weather information. Maximum and minimum temperatures are recorded daily at 8:00 a.m. Soil temperatures are recorded at depths of four and eight inches.

#### Summary of the 1977-78 Crop Year

There were 117 frost free days in 1978. The last killing frost occurred May 23, 1978 and the first killing frost was September 17, 1978. The mean for the period 1950-77 is 109 days.

Total precipitation for the crop year was 28.96 inches which is 9.73 inches more than the 29 year average. The mean temperature for the year was  $41.9^{\circ}$ F. This is  $1.4^{\circ}$ F lower than the long term average.

Following is a brief summary for each month of the crop year.

September 1977 - Precipitation was 1.35 inches above average. This above average rainfall interfered with harvest, but did provide excellent moisture for seeding of small grains.

October 1977 - Precipitation was considerably below normal. Good stands of winter wheat were noted during this month. Early seedings had heavy vegetative growth by the end of the month.

<u>Movember 1977</u> - Precipitation was slightly above normal. First measureable snow was recorded Movember 20, but was all gone by Movember 30. Temperatures were slightly above normal. Winter wheat looked good.

December 1977 - Snow began to accumulate December 1 and we had 10 inches on the ground on December 10. We continued to have snow cover for the remainder of the month and by December 31 we had a total of 17 inches. Precipitation was 2.40 inches above normal. A total of 48 inches of snow fell during the month.

<u>January 1978</u> - Snow continued to accumulate during this month with 22.5 inches on the ground on January 31. Precipitation was .56 inches above normal. Temperatures were very near normal.

February 1978 - There was continuous snow cover during this month with accumulation up to 30 inches on February 2 and ending the month with 8 inches of snow on the ground. Temperatures were just 2°F below normal with no severe low temperatures durthe month.

March 1978 - There was snow cover until March 25. Precipitation was below normal and temperatures slightly above.

April 1978 - Precipitation and temperatures were above normal for the month. Considerable loss of winter wheat was noted from snow mold, particularly those seeded in early September 1977.

May 1978 - Precipitation was 1.49 inches above normal. Temperatures were slightly below normal. Heavy rain plus heavy snow made it impossible to work many of our fields until very late in the season. Planting was also delayed because of wet fields and continuing rain.

June 1978 - June precipitation was slightly below the long time average. Crops were in good "shape" this month because there was no lack of moisture. Temperatures were near normal.

July 1978 - One of the wettest July's on record with the precipitation 2.44 inches above normal. The continuing rain hampered hay harvest. Temperatures were about normal.

August 1978 - The rain continued with 3.34 inches being recorded making hay harvest again very difficult. Considerable hay was ruined by the rain. Grain harvest was delayed and many fields of the semi-dwarf wheat sprouted causing severe economic loss. It should be noted the rain continued into September of 1978 making these grain losses very high.

The precipitation for the crop year was the highest ever recorded at 28.96 inches. That was 4.28 inches above the all time high of 24.68 inches observed during the crop year of 1968-69. The mean for all crop years since 1949-50 is 19.23 inches which is 9.73 inches less than the precipitation received during the 1977-78 crop year.

The winter of 1977-78 was quite mild with the lowest temperature being 16° below zero on January 3, 1978. The heavy snow caused high levels of TCK smut (dwarf smut) in 1978. Some susceptible varieties had infection levels of greater than 30% throughout the field.

Summary of climatic data by months for the 1977-78 crop year (September to August) and average for the period 1949-78 at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, Montana. Table

Precipitation (inches)  Current Year  Current Year  Ave. 1949 to 1977-78	Item	Sept.	Oct.	Nov. 1977	Dec. 1977	Jan. 1978	Feb.	Mar. 1978	Apr.	May 1978	June 1978	July 1978	Aug.	Total or Average Growing Season
1.49 1.49 1.48 1.70 1.59 1.09 1.04 1.29 2.07 2.87 1.46 1.69 1.69 1.69 1.04 1.29 2.07 2.87 1.46 1.69 1.69 1.69 1.04 1.29 2.07 2.87 1.46 1.69 1.69 1.69 1.69 1.69 1.69 1.69 1.6	Precipitation (inches) Current Year	2,84	.56	1,62	4.10	2.15	66.	.73	2.54	3.56		3.90	3.34	28.96
51.6 42.5 30.4 22.0 21.6 26.1 34.3 43.7 48.1 59.1 63.4 60.3 -78 53.7 43.4 32.9 26.3 21.9 28.1 32.9 42.9 51.5 58.4 64.3 62.9 n spring*  Imay 23 (31 degrees)  Imay 26	Ave. 1949 to 1977-78	1.49	1.46	I.48	1,70	1.59	1.09	1.04	1.29	2,07		1.46	1.69	19,23
43.4 32.9 26.3 21.9 28.1 32.9 42.9 51.5 58.4 64.3 62.9  Tiay 23 (31 degrees)  Tiay 26  September 17 (28 degrees)  September 13  117 days  110 days  16° below zero on January 3, 1978	Mean Temperature (F)	51.6	42.5	30.4	22.0	21.6	26.1	34.3	43.7	48.1	59.1	63.4	60.3	41.9
2	Ave. 1949 to 1977-78	53.7	43.4	32.9	26.3	21.9	28.1	32.9	42.9	51.5	58.4	64.3	65.9	43,3
1	last killing frost in sp	pring*												
**************************************	1978		1		31 degr	(see								-3-
1* S S 1	Ave. 1949-78		-	Tay 26										
α α H H	Virst killing frost in f	Eall*												
20 1-1	1978		0,1	Septembe	1.7	8 degre	es)							
1 7	Ave. 1949-78		01	Septembe										
1 1	Frost free period													
FI .	1978			.17 days										
	Ave. 1949-78			109 days										
temperature	Naximum summer temperatu	ıre		91°F on	July 1	6, 1978								
	Minimum winter temperatu	ıre		16° bel	ow zero	on Jan	uary 3,	1978						

In this summary 32 degrees is considered a killing frost.

Table 2. Summary of temperature data at the Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center on a crop year basis, September 1, 1949 thru August 31, 1978.

				210	crage		ature ees Fa			year			
Year	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.		Mar.	Apr.		June	July	Aug.	x for Year
1949-50	54.1	41.5	38.5	25.0	4.2	25.6	31.2	41.9	49.7		64.0	62.5	41.3
1950-51	43.8	45.9	31.5	29.5	20.2	27.7	27.0	42.1	50.0	54.2	64.7	60.4	42.3
1951-52	50.6	40.8	30.8	16.9	18.0	26.6	29.3	45.8	52.4	56.7		62.8	41.0
1952-53	56.0	45.5	30.4	27.6	36.0	32.9	37.2	41.2	49.5	54.6	64.3	63.1	49.9
1953-54	56.1	46.2	37.0	31.3	21.1	31.2	29.6	40.8	52.5	54.9	63.4	60.1	43.7
1954-55	52.9	41.5	38.8	28.8	25.7	22.1	24.5	39.1	47.7	58.8	62.7	62.2	42.1
1955-56	52.5	44.6	23.5	21.8	23.3	20.9	31.5	44.2	54.0	59.0	64.8	62.0	41.8
1956-57	55.2	44.1	30.9	28.5	10.2	23.4	33.3	43.7	55.6	59.7	65.4	62.4	42.7
L957-58	55.8	41.4	32.1	32.4	29.1	30.4	32.2	43.6	59.6	62.3	65.2	67.9	46.09
958-59	55.5	44.6	32.8	28.2	24.7	23.1	35.3	45.2	48.1	59.9	64.5	61.0	43.6
959-60	53.0	43.9	25.5	27.6	19.4	25.2	32.3	44.3	50.6	59.6	68.8	60.6	42.6
960-61	55.0	45.2	34.4	24.9	27.8	37.0	38.3	42.0	52.6	64.7	66.2	67.8	46.3
961-62	49.6	42.3	28.2	23.6	17.4	25.7	30.9	47.2	51.5	58.6	62.1	62.1	41.6
962-63	54.7	44.7	38.0	32.5	11.8	33.1	38.7	43.2	51.4	59.4	63.0	64.9	44.6
963-64	58.7	47.4	35.8	24.0	28.5	28.3	30.6	42.8	51.1	58.7	64.3	58.9	44.1
964-65	51.2	43.7	33.7	22.1	30.2	28.7	28.6	45.2	50.6	57.6	64.6	63.6	43,3
965-66	46.4	47.6	35.0	28.8	26.3	27.7	34.5	42.9	54.3	56.0	64.5	61.7	43.8
966-67	59.3	43.4	33.4	30.2	31.0	33.2	32.9	40.6	52.2	59.4	66.1	67.2	45.7*
967-68	61.0	45.9	33.8	25.1	23.3	32.8	41.2	42.0	49.8	59.0	64.6	61.3	45.0*
968-69	53.8	42.9	33.4	19.9	13.1	24.0	29.6	47.1	53.9	58.8	62.3	63.6	41.9
969-70	56.0	40.0	35.2	27.7	21.9	29.9	32.8	40.2	53.2	62.0	64.8	62.6	43.9*
9 70 -71	48.7	40.1	31.3	26.2	23.6	29.8	33.2	43.6	52.5	54.8	61.9	68.2	42.8
971-72	49.5	40.4	34.1	22.2	17.4	27.3	38.5	40.4	52.0	59.4	61.4	65.9	42.4
9 72 - 73	50.2	40.3	33.7	19.9	20.7	27.8	37.7	42.2	51.5	57.5	65.1	64.5	42.6
973-74	53.3	44.2	29.3	30.9	21.2	32.4	33.6	42.8	48.0	61.6	64.8	61.6	43.6*
974-75	52.8	43.5	35.2	30.2	22.0	21.5	29.8	37.6	48.7	55.9	69.1	59.8	42.2
9 75-76	52.1	42.9	35.4	27.5	27.7	29.9	31.0	43.4	51.9	54.5	63.4	61.3	43.4*
976-77	55.2	42.4	33.1	28.6	20.0	30.9	34.4	45.0	49.7	61.5	62.6	62.8	43.9*
77-78	51.6	42.5	30.4	22.0	21.6	26.1	34.3	43.7	48.1	59.1	63.4	60.3	41.9
×	53.7	43.4	32.9	26.3	21.9	28.1	32.9	42.9	51.5	58.4	64.3	62.9	

<sup>\*</sup> Denotes years above average temperature.

Table 3. Summary of temperature data obtained at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center on a crop year basis, September 1, 1949 thru August 31, 1978.

			P	verage					month	and ye	ar		
Year	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Pegrees Feb.	Fahre	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	x for Year
1949-50	71.4	52.4	45.7	32.1	14.4	34.6	38.4	52.3	63.1	70.1	78.6	79.5	52.7
1950-51	70.9	55.8	32.2	36.3	28.7	36.6	37.3	57.9	63.2	66.6	82.4	77.0	54.2
			37.2	23.6	25.9	35.7	39.5		65.7	70.2			52.5
1951-52	64.2	47.5				39.1		61.8	62.5		79.2	79.5	
1952-53	73.4	62.6	40.6	33.2	41.3	38.4	46.8	51.0	67.2	67.0	80.1	700100 V	56.7* 55.2*
1953-54	72.3		45.6	36.7		31.2	40.0				76.9	74.4	53.3
1954-55	66.4	53.4	45.9	34.9	31.8		33.9	48.1	60.5	74.7		82.4	53.4
1955-56	67.6	55.5	30.8	29.2	30.7	30.1	39.7	57.4	67.5	73.3	81.2	77.8	
1956-57	71.0	53.7	37.6	35.5	19.0	33.2	43.3	55.3	70.2	72.4	82.1	80.0	54.4
1957-58	74.3	50.5	40.1	38.5	33.7	37.9	43.5	54.4	77.5	75.7	30.8	85.5	57.7*
1958-59	69.7	57.9	39.6	34.1	31.8	31.9	43.9	57.9	61.5	74.3	83.2	76.3	55.2*
1959-60	64.0	53.6	33.9	33.3	27.5	34.1	43.4	56.1	63.0	74.8	88.7	74.1	53.9
1960-61	72.1	57.8	41.1	29.8	35.0	43.1	48.2	51.6	65.3	82.0	83.7	86.3	58.0*
1961-62	62.3	53.3	35.1	30.4	26.0	33.4	40.5	60.7	62.7	74.2	79.2	77.5	52.9
1962-63	71.7	54.7	43.8	37.9	19.9	41.4	48.9	55.7	67.1	71.8	79.6	82.5	56.2*
1963-64	74.6	59.4	43.4	30.2	35.1	37.7	39.7	53.3	63.5	71.4	80.3	72.9	55.1*
1964-65	63.9	55.0	41.0	28.9	35.1	36.9	41.0	57.6	64.3	71.4	80.8	77.1	54.4
1965-66	57.5	61.1	42.6	35.4	31.8	35.3	45.4	54.8	69.8	69.1	81.2	78.4	55.2*
1966-67	74.9	55.1	41.1	35.8	36.7	40.9	41.3	52.6	66.0	73.3	84.8	87.2	57.5*
1967-68	78.9	55.8	41.3	30.8	31.5	40.8	52.6	54.2	63.4	72.2	82.7	75.7	56.6*
1968-69	65.9	53.1	40.6	27.3	20.8	32.5	40.9	59.5	68.7	72.0	78.9	83.0	53.6
1969-70	70 .4	49.7	43.0	32.8	28.5	36.2	42.5	49.7	67.9	75.5	79.1	80.9	54.7
1970-71	62.5	52.2	40.0	34.1	30.6	38.6	41.6	56.2	66.4	67.3	78.0	87.5	54.6
1971-72	64.2	53.1	41.2	30.9	27.1	35.9	47.9	51.7	64.7	72.4	76.9	83.3	54.1
1972-73	64.0	51.3	41.4	28.6	30.6	38.5	47.7	53.8	65.8	69.6	83.7	83.2	54.9*
1973-74	67.6	56.3	36.8	36.5	28.7	39.6	43.5	53.1	59.2	76.2	80.0	77.6	54.6
1974-75	70.9	61.4	43.2	37.4	32.0	31.5	39.4	48.1	61.2	68.5	85.5	73.0	54.3
1975-76	69.4	52.3	40.4	35.1	36.2	37.6	40.1	54.3	66.2	66.3	79.0	74.4	54.3
1976-77	73.2	57.7	42.1	36.1	28.0	39.1	42.7	60.2	61.9	77.0	76.6	77.4	56.0*
1977-78	64.7	55.4	38.5	29.4	28.8	35.5	45.5	54.3	58.1	72.6	77.5	74.2	52.9
x	68.8	55.1	40.4	32.9	29.5	36.5	42.7	54.7	65.0	72.0	81.0	79.2	
Mean	tempera	ature	for al	l years	= 54	.8							

<sup>\*</sup> Denotes years above average.

Table 4. Summary of temperature data obtained at the Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center on a crop year basis, September 1, 1949 thru August 31, 1978.

			Aver	age mi			ature	by mon	th and	year			
Year	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.		rees F	ahrenh Mar.	-	May	June	July	Aug.	x for Year
1949-50	36.7	35.0	31.2	17.8	-6.0	16.6	23.9				-	45.5	
1950-51	36.6	36.0	24.8	22.6	11.7	18.8	16.6	26.2	36.7	41.7	46.9	43.7	
1951 <b>-</b> 52	37.0	34.0	24.4	10.1	10.0	17.4	19.1	29.8	39.1	43.1	44.3	46.1	29.5
1952-53	38.6	28.3	20.2	21.9	30.6	26.7	27.5	30.9	36.5	42.3	45.3	46.7	33.0*
1953-54	39.8	31.4	28.4	25.9	13.1	24.0	19.2	30.6	37.7		46.7	45.7	32.1*
1954-55	39.3	29.5	31.6	22.7	19.5	13.0	15.0	30.0	34.9	42.8	48.5	42.0	30.7
1955-56	37.3	33.6	16.1	14.4	15.9	11.7	23.3	30.9	40.5	44.7	48.2	46.1	30.2
L956 <b>-</b> 57	39.4	34.4	24.2	21.5	1.4	13.6	23.2	32.0	40.9	47.0	48.7	44.8	30.9
957-58	37.2	32.3	24.1	26.2	24.5	22.8	20.9	32.8	41.7	48.8	49.5	50.3	34.3*
1958-59	41.2	31.2	26.0	22.2	17.5	14.2	26.6	32.4	34.7	45.4	45.8	45.6	31.9*
959-60	42.0	34.1	17.0	21.8	11.2	16.3	21.1	32.4	38.1	44.3	48.8	47.0	31.2
960-61	37.9	32.5	27.6	19.9	20.6	30.9	28.4	32.3	39.8	47.4	48.7	49.2	34.6*
961-62	36.8	31.2	21.2	16.8	8.7	17.9	21.2	33.7	40.3	43.0	45.0	46.6	30.2
962-63	37.6	34.6	32.2	27.1	3.7	24.7	28.4	30.6	35.7	47.0	46.4	46.9	32.9*
963-64	42.7	35.3	28.1	17.7	21.8	18.9	21.4	32.2	30.6	46.0	48.3	44.9	33.0*
964-65	38.4	32.3	26.4	15.3	25.3	20.4	16.2	32.7	36.9	43.8	48.4	50.0	32.2*
965-66	35.2	34.0	27.4	22.1	20.3	20.0	23.6	30.9	38.7	42.8	47.7	45.0	32.4*
966-67	43.6	31.7	25.6	24.6	25.3	25.5	24.5	28.6	38.4	45.4	47.4	47.2	34.0*
967-68	43.1	35.9	26.3	19.4	15.0	24.8	29.7	29.8	36.1	45.7	46.4	46.8	33.3*
968-69	41.7	32.6	26.1	12.5	5.4	15.4	18.2	34.6	39.0	45.5	45.7	43.5	30.0
969-70	41.6	30.3	27.4	22.6	15.3	23.4	23.0	30.7	38.5	48.2	50.5	44.3	33.0*
70-71	34.9	27.9	22.5	18.3	16.5	21.0	24.8	31.0	38.6	42.3	45.7	48.8	31.0
71-72	34.7	27.6	26.9	13.5	7.7	18.6	29.0	29.0	39.2	46.3	45.8	48.5	30.6
72-73	36.4	29.2	25.9	11.1	11.0	17.4	27.8	29.6	36.4	44.4	46.5	45.8	30.1
73-74	38.9	32.0	21.8	25.2	13.6	25.1	23.6	32.4	36.7	46.9	49.5	45.6	32.6*
74-75	34.7	25.7	26.3	22.9	10.9	11.5	20.4	27.1	36.1	43.3	52.7	46.2	31.6
75-76	34.7	33.4	30.3	20.0	19.1	22.2	22.0	32.4	37.6	42.6			32.5*
76-77	37.2	27.2	24.1	21.1	12.0	22.6	26.1	29.9	37.4				31.7*
77-78	38.6	29.5	22.2	14.6	14.5	16.7	23.2	33.1	38.1				31.0
x	38.4	31.8	25.4	19.7	14.4	19.7	23.0		37.9			46.4	
Mean	tempera	ture f	or all	vears	= 31.	.7							

<sup>\*</sup> Denotes years above average temperature.

Table 5. Summary of precipitation records obtained at the Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center on a crop year basis, September 1, 1949 thru August 31, 1978.

			Total	l preci	ipitat:	ion in	inches	by mo	onth an	nd year			Total for
Year	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Year
1949-50	1.03	1.05	1.67	.92	2.62	1.13	2.31	.84	.15	3.90	3.12	.75	19.49*
1950-51	.52	2.30	1.16	2.48	.94	1.29	.62	2.32	3.77	2.26	1.03	2.86	21.55*
1951-52	1.49	5.62	1.01	3.31	1.03	.98	.97	.17	1.32	3.95	.56	.69	21.10*
1952-53	.13	.05	.60	.98	1.84	1.14	.98	2.07	2.00	3.31	T	1.62	14.72
1953-54	. 71	.03	.87	1.30	2.65	.79	.83	. 79	1.52	2.98	2.91	3.79	19.17
19 <b>5</b> 4-55	1.09	.54	1.00	.43	1.00	1.31	.44	.82	1.18	1.86	3.08	.00	12.75
1955-56	1.64	1.89	1.97	2.38	1.76	1.53	.87	1.28	1.06	4.20	2.13	3.21	23,92*
1956-57	1.16	1.10	•53	.96	1.47	1.14	.75	1.22	1.75	2.51	.52	.78	13.89
1957-58	.10	1.59	.96	1.76	1.56	2.67	.97	1.47	2.20	2.56	.84	.58	17.26
1958-59	1.99	1.16	2.90	2.77	1.95	1.33	. 75	1.62	4.10	1.75	T	.91	21.23*
1959-60	4.22	3.36	4.32	.34	1.67	1.10	1.01	1.23	3.27	.69	.13	2.43	23.77*
1960-61	-55	1.44	1.72	1.24	.65	1.46	1.96	2.26	4.02	1.45	. 76	.64	18.15
1961-62	3.40	1.22	1.77	2.09	1.33	1.15	1.59	.96	2.59	1.15	.11	.72	18.08
1962-63	.58	1.85	1.31	.91	1.69	1.21	.85	1.07	.57	5.00	1.44	2.10	18.58
1963-64	1.46	. 75	.95	1.70	1.46	.41	1.57	.87	3.33	3.86	3.01	1.64	21.01*
1964-65	2.27	.85	1.62	3.62	2.25	.64	.24	2.55	.81	2.30	1.15	4.74	23.04*
1965-66	1.72	.21	1.31	.55	1.42	.67	•53	.76	1.18	6.57	2.49	1.64	19.05
1966-67	. 79	1.34	3.33	1.68	1.50	.62	1.27	.99	1.30	2.53	.02	.01	15.38
1967-68	.91	1.88	•62	1.16	.79	1.15	.68	.57	3.92	2.22	1.00	3.42	18.32
1968-69	4.51	2.39	1.59	3.12	3.05	. 75	.69	1.39	1.19	5.21	.70	.09	24.68*
1969-70	1.54	1.90	.31	1.14	3.10	.89	1.49	. 76	1.97	4.37	3.08	.44	20.99*
1970-71	1.79	1.38	1.75	.99	1.84	.77	.69	•58	2.45	4.42	1.31	1.11	19.08
1971-72	.94	.87	1.70	1.62	1.10	1.65	2.11	.95	1.48	3.28	1.77	.98	18.45
1972-73	1.38	1.84	.80	2.19	.52	.56	. 70	.45	1.13	2.14	.01	.63	12.35
1973-74	1.37	1.41	2.95	1.94	1.35	1.32	1.40	3.36	1.82	1.80	1.01	.62	20.35*
1974-75	.80	.12	1.10	1.31	1.56	1.08	1.50	1.27	1.50	1.40	1.08	4.26	16.98
1975-76	1.18	2.96	.85	1.39	.91	1.12	.34	1.92	1.90	2.49	1.49	3,42	19.97*
1976-77	.96	.62	.73	.86	.83	. 71	1.40	.41	2.90	.52	3.60	1.50	15.04
1977-78	2.84	•56	1.62	4.10	2.15	.99	.73	2.54	3.56	2.63	3.90	3.34	28.96*
×	1.49	1.46	1.48	1.70	1.59	1.09	1.04	1.29	2.07	2.87	1.46	1.69	
Hean	precip	itatio	n for	all cr	op yea	rs = 1	9.23						

<sup>\*</sup> Denotes years above average precipitation.

Table 6. Precipitation by day for crop year, September 1, 1977 thru August 31, 1978. Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, Montana.

	Sept.	Oct. 1977	Nov.	Dec.	Jan. 1978	Feb. 1978	Mar. 1978	Apr. 1978	May 1978	June 1978	July 1978	Aug. 1978	_
ate	1311	.12	T			.26	.08	.11	.19		.64		
1		.12	T	.43		.23		.07	.01		.01		
2	11			.07	.12	. 05		.04	. 0.1.		.32		
3	.11		T	.02	.36	0.707.7			.08		.40		
Ÿ	.10		-	.01	•••		.03	.03	. 02		1.08		
5	.24		.05	.29	.04	.10	.02	. 01			T		
6	.03	1.4	.05 T	.29	•0.	7.000.00							
7	15	.14	1	. 40	.29	.14							
8	.15	.15	т	.02	.39	T	.02			т	.75		
9		.02	T	.39	.00	.07			.51	.01	.06		
10		T	T	T		, , ,			. 05	.34	.12		
11			1	.01	.02				.02	.04	т		
12		02		.08	.02		.14	121	.02	. 02		.13	
13		.02	.07	.72	.28		.07		.45	.35			
14				.04	.07	т		.04	T	.03		T	
15			.10	.21	.07			Т	.10	.02		.82	
16	.05		.18		.10	т		.81	.19	.03	.25	.38	
17	.63		Ţ	.04	.07	Ţ		Т			.03	.49	
18	.02		.27	.14	.07	.01				.01	.12	. 02	
19	Т			.03	•07	• 0.1.		.03		T		.11	
20	.10				T			.74				.26	
21	Ţ			17	T			.16					
22	.03		Т					.47		.21	1.01		
23	.09		.04		.01	.01	.30		.52				
24	.14		.28	.03	т		30 T		.22	75.4			
25	.18		40	0.7					.10				
26	.07		.43		.02		T	.01			.12		
27	0230		.08										
28	.20		.05				.02						
29	.50						.02 T		.25				
30	.20						.05		.07			.12	
31	L 2.84	.02		.11							3.90	3.34	

Table 7. Frost free period at the Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center from 1950 thru 1978.

Year	Date Last Free	Temperature eze Degrees F	Date First Freeze	Temperature Degrees F	Frost Free Season
1950	June 10	-200	Sept. 11	29	93
1951	June 1		Sept. 15	29	106
1952	June 14	32	Sept. 3	29	86
1953	11ay 23	-	Sept. 16	31	116
1954	May 29	31	Sept. 30	26	124
1955	May 25	28	Sept. 13	31	111
1956	May 3	3 26	Sept. 2	32	122
1957	May 23		Sept. 9	30	109
1958	Hay 14	31	Sept. 27	31	136
1959	June 11	32	Aug. 30	30	80
1960	June 18	32	Sept. 6	32	80
1961	May 6		Sept. 12	29	129
1962	May 30	32	Sept. 3	25	96
1963	May 22	28	Sept. 18	32	119
1964	May 25	26	Sept. 11	28	109
1965	June 7	7 30	Sept. 6	31	91
1966	May 18	26	Sept. 30	28	135
1967	May 20	28	Sept. 23	32	120
1968	Hay 20	32	Sept. 21	32	124
1969	June 13	3 28	Sept. 6	32	85
1970	May 11		Sept. 10	31	122
1971	July 7	7 32	Sept. 14	28	69
1972	May 4	32	Sept. 12	32	131
1973	Hay 22	31.	Sept. 2	31	103
1974	Hay 18	31	Sept. 2	30	107
1975	Hay 25	32	Sept. 12	32	110
1976	Hay 2	L 30	Sept. 8	30	110
1977	Hay 10		Sept. 27	28	133
1978	Hay 23		Sept. 17	28	116
x for					
all years	Hay 20	30	Sept. 13	30	109

Table  $\underline{8}$  . Temperature extremes at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center Kalispell, MT, from 1950 thru 1978.

	Minimu		Max	cimum
		Temperature	Date	Temperature
Year	Date	Degree F	Date	Degree F
1950	Jan. 30	-40	Aug. 31	88
1951	Jan. 28	-25	Aug. 2	92
952	Jan. 1	-14	Aug. 31	90
.953	Jan. 6	8	July 12	97
.954	Jan. 20	-32	July 6	90
.955	Har. 5	-20	June 22	96
.956	Feb. 16	-25	July 22	90
.957	Jan. 26	-34	July 13	91
.958	Jan. 1	2	Aug. 11	94
.959	Nov. 16	-30	July 23	96
.960	Mar. 3	-32	July 19	98
961	Jan. 2	0	Aug. 4	100
962	Jan. 21	-32	Aug. 16	92
963	Jan. 30	-24	Aug. 9	94
964	Dec. 17	-28	July 8	91
.965	Mar. 24	-10	July 31	89
966	Mar. 4	- 7	Aug. 2, 25	91
967	Jan. 24	2	Aug. 19	95
.968	Jan. 21	-23	July 7	94
.969	Jan. 25	-13	Aug. 24	97
970	Jan. 15	-14	Aug. 21, 25	92
.971	Jan. 12	- 8	Aug. 6, 9	96
972	Jan. 28	-24	Aug. 9, 10	92
.973	Jan. 11	-22	July 11	97
1974	Jan. 5	-18	June 16, 20	93
.975	Jan. 12 & Feb. 9	-16	July 12	96
.976	Feb. 5	- 4	July 27	90
1977	Dec. 31	-11	June 7	91
9 78	Dec. 31	-31	July 16	91

Table 9. Summary of temperature records obtained at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, January 1950 thru December 1978.

			I	verage			by Mc		d Year				- for
Date	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Year
1950	4.2	25.6	31.2	41.9	49.7	57.0	64.0	62.5	53.8	45.9	31.5	29.5	41.4
1951	20.2	27.7	27.0	42.1	50.0	54.2	64.7	60.4	50.6	40.8	30.8	16.9	40.5
1952	18.0	26.6	29.3	45.8	52.4	56.7	61.8	62.8	56,0	45.5	30.4	27.6	42.7
1953	36.0	32.9	37.2	41.2	49.5	54.6	64.3	63.1	56.1	46.2	37.0	31.3	45.8*
1954	21.1	31.2	29.6	40.8	52.5	54.9	63.4	60.1	52,9	41.5	38,8	28.8	42.9
1955	25.7	22.1	24.5	39.1	47.7	58.8	62.7	62.2	52.5	44.6	23.5	21.8	40.4
1956	23.3	20.9	31.5	44.2	54.0	59.0	64.8	62.0	55,2	44.1	30.9	28.5	43.2*
1957	10.2	23.4	33.3	43.7	55.6	59.7	65.4	62.4	55.8	41.4	32.1	32.4	43.0
1958	29.1	30.4	32.2	43.6	59.6	62.3	65.2	67.9	55.5	44.6	32.8	28.2	46.0*
1959	24.7	23.1	35.3	45.2	48.1	59.9	64.5	61.0	53.0	43.9	25.5	27.6	42.7
1960	19.4	25.2	32.3	44.3	50.6	59.6	68.8	60.6	55.0	45.2	34.4	24.9	43.4*
1961	27.8	37.0	38.3	42.0	52.6	64.7	66.2	67.8	49.6	42.3	28.2	23.6	45.0*
1962	17.4	25.7	30.9	47.2	51.5	58.6	62.1	62.1	54.7	44.7	38.0	32.5	43.8*
1963	11.8	33.1	38.7	43.2	51.4	59 .4	63.0	64.9	58.7	47.4	35.8	24.0	44.3*
1964	28.5	28.3	30.6	42.8	51.1	58.7	64.3	58.9	51.2	43.7	33.7	22.1	42.8
1965	30.2	28.7	28.6	45.2	50.6	57.6	64.6	63.6	46.4	47.6	35.0	28.8	43.9*
1966	26.3	27.7	34.5	42.9	54.3	56,0	64.5	61.7	59.3	43.4	33.4	30.2	44.5*
1967	31.0	33.2	32.9	40.6	52.2	59.4	66.1	67.2	61.0	45.9	33.8	25.1	45.7*
1968	23.3	32.8	41.2	42.0	49.8	59.0	64.6	61.3	53.8	42.9	33,4	19.9	43.7*
1969	13.1	24.0	29.6	47.1	53.9	58.8	62.3	63.6	56.0	40.0	35.2	27.7	42.6
1970	21.9	29.9	32.8	40,2	53.2	62.0	64.8	62.6	48.7	40.1	31.3	26.2	42.8
1971	23.6	29,9	33.2	43.6	52.5	54.9	61.9	68.2	49.5	40.4	34.1	22.0	42.8
1972	17.4	27.3	38.5	40.6	51.9	59.3	61.4	65.9	52.0	40.0	33.7	19.9	42.3
1973	20.7	27.8	37.7	42.2	51.5	57.5	65.1	64.5	53.3	44.1	29.3	30.8	43.7*
1974	21.2	32.3	33.6	42.7	48.0	61.5	64.8	61.6	52.8	43.5	34.8	30.1	43.9*
1975	22.0	21.5	29.8	37.6	48.7	55.9	69.1	59.8	52.1	42.9	35.4	27.5	41.9
1976	27.7	29.9	31.0	43.4	51.9	54.5	63.4	61.3	55.2	42.4	33.1	28.6	43.5*
1977	20.0	30.9	34.4	45.0	49.7	61.5	62.6	62.8	51.7	42.5	30.4	22.0	42.8
1978	21.6	26.1	34.3	43.7	48.1	59.1	63.4	60.3	53.7	43.7	27.2	18.8	41.7
×	21.9	28.1	32.9	42.9	51.5	58.4	64.3	62.9	53.7	43.5	32.5	26.1	
He	an temp	peratu	re for	all ye	ears =	43.2							

<sup>\*</sup> Denotes years above average mean.

Table 10 . Summary of precipitation records obtained at the Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT, January 1950 thru December 1978.

			Total	Precip	itatio	n (inc	hes) b	y Mont	hs and	Years			Total for
Date	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Year
1950	2.62	1.13	2.31	.84	.15	3.90	3.12	.75	.52	2.30	1.16	2.48	21.28*
1951	.94	1.29	.62	2.32	3.77	2.26	1.03	2.86	1.49	5.62	1.01	3.31	26.52*
L952	1.03	.98	.97	.17	1.32	3.95	.56	.69	.13	.05	.60	.98	11.43
953	1.84	1.14	.98	2.07	2.00	3.31	T	1.62	.71	.03	.87	1.30	15.87
.954	2.65	.79	.83	. 79	1.52	2.98	2.91	3.79	1.09	.54	1.00	,43	19.32
955	1.00	1.31	.44	.82	1.18	1.86	3.08	-	1.64	1.89	1.97	2.38	17.57
956	1.76	1.53	.87	1.28	1.06	4.20	2.13	3.21	1.16	1.10	.53	.96	19.79
1957	1.47	1.14	. 75	1.22	1.75	2.51	.52	.78	.10	1.59	.96	1.76	14.55
L958	1.56	2.67	.97	1.47	2.20	2.56	.84	.58	1.99	1.16	2.90	2.77	21.67
1959	1.95	1.33	.75	1.62	4.10	1.75	T	.91	4.22	3,36	4.32	.34	24.65
960	1.67	1.10	1.01	1.23	3.27	.69	.13	2,43	.55	1.44	1.72	1.24	16.48
1961	.65	1.46	1.96	2.26	4.02	1.45	. 76	.64	3.40	1.22	1.77	2.09	21.68
962	1.33	1.15	1.59	.96	2.59	1.15	.11	. 72	•58	1.85	1.31	.91	14.25
963	1.69	1.21	.85	1.07	•57	5.00	1.44	2.10	1.46	. 75	.95	1.70	18.79
.964	1.46	.41	1.57	.87	3.33	3.86	3.01	1.64	2.27	.85	1.62	3.62	24.51
965	2.25	.64	.24	2.55	.81	2.30	1.15	4.74	1.72	.21	1.31	.55	18.47
966	1.42	.67	53	. 76	1.18	6.57	2.49	1.64	. 79	1,34	3.33	1.68	22.40
1967	1.50	.62	1.27	.99	1.30	2.53	.02	.01	.91	1.88	.62	1.16	12.81
968	.79	1.15	.68	.57	3.92	2.22	1.00	3.42	4.51	2.39	1.59	3.12	25.36
1969	3.05	.75	.69	1.39	1.19	5.21	. 70	.09	1.54	1.90	.31	1.14	17.96
1970	3.10	.89	1.49	.76	1.97	4.37	3.08	.44	1.79	1.38	1.75	.99	22.01
1971	1.84	.77	.69	.58	2.45	4.42	1.31	1.11	.94	.87	1.70	1.62	18.30
L972	1.10	1.65	2.11	.95	1.48	3.28	1.77	.98	1.38	1.84	.80	2.19	19.53
L9 73	.52	.56	.70	.45	1.13	2.14	.01	.63	1.37	1.41	2.95	1.94	13.81
974	1.35	1.32	1.40	3.36	1.82	1.80	1.01	.62	.80	.12	1.10	1.31	16.01
975	1.56	1.08	1.50	1.27	1.50	1.40	1.03	4.26	1.18	2.96	.85	1.39	20.03
.976	.91	1.12	.34	1.92	1.90	2.49	1.49	3.42	.96	.62	.73	.86	16.76
L9 77	.83	. 71	1.40	.41	2.90	.52	3.60	1.50	2.84	.56	1.62	4.10	20.99
1978	2.15	.99	. 73	2.54	3.56	2.63	3.90	3.34	1.90	.15	.96	.91	23.76
x	1.59	1.09	1.04	1.29	2.07	2.87	1.46	1.69	1.50	1.43	1.46	1.70	
Ne	an ann	ual pr	ecipit	tation	for 29	years	= 19.	.19					

<sup>\*</sup> Denotes years above average.

TITLE:

Chemical control of wild oats (Avena fatua) in spring wheat and

spring barley.

YEAR:

1978

LOCATION:

Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center

PERSONNEL:

Vern R. Stewart

Cooperators - Weed Research Committee

Montana Agricultural Experiment Station, MSU Montana Wheat Research and Marketing Committee

Agricultural Chemical Companies

OBJECTIVES:

1. To find a herbicide or herbicides that will effectively control wild oats in spring wheat and spring barley.

2. To determine the effect of herbicides on crops as it relates to yield and quality.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Three studies were conducted in 1978 on wild oats. These studies were planted in Creston silt loam soil. Hewana spring wheat and Ingrid spring barley were seeded with a 12' press drill which had 7" spacings. The seeding rate for the barley was 80 lbs/a, for wheat 70 lbs/a. The grain was seeded in strips 280' long with 3' between each of the 12' wide strips. During the growing seasons the area between the strips were kept weed free. Herbicides were applied at right angles to the grain planting. Each study contained four replications. The herbicide plots were 10' wide and 12' long. Herbicides were applied with a tractor mounted research type sprayer with nozzles spaced 20" apart. Rates and volumes applied are found in the tabulated data. Forty-eight square feet were harvested with a Hege combine.

Experiment I - Effect of wild oat herbicides alone and various combinations on spring wheat and spring barley.

This experiment was designed to measure the effect of wild oat herbicides when used in combinations. Wild oats in the study were from a natural infestation. The entire experiment was sprayed with .375 lbs/a rate of bromoxynil and MCPA in combination.

Triallate, trifluralin and profluralin were incorporated following seeding, pre-emergence to the small grains. These herbicides were incorporated with a spike tooth harrow. The other herbicides were applied post-emergence depending upon the stage of growth of the grain. Stages of growth when applied are found in the tabulated data.

Experiment II - The combination of wild oat and broadleaf herbicides for weed control in spring wheat and barley.

This experiment was designed primarily to study the effect of combining wild oat herbicides with broadleaf herbicides as tank mixes or as combinations applied separately. Ten products were evaluated.

Triallate was incorporated following seeding, pre-emergence to the small grain with a spike tooth harrow. The remaining products were applied post-emergence depending upon the stage of growth of the small grains. The stages of growth and dates of application are found in the tabulated data.

### Materials and Methods (con't)

Experiment III - Varietal reaction of wheat and barley varieties to wild oat herbicides.

In this experiment we studied four herbicides, three varieties of wheat and three varieties of barley. The wheat and barley tests were conducted independently. Triallate was incorporated following seeding with a spiked tooth harrow, harrowing two ways. Post-emergence applications were made according to label recommendations. Varieties used are shown in the tabulated data.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS:

#### Experiment I - Barley

Yield differences between treatments were found to be statistically significant. The combination of triallate and metribuzin caused significant reduction in yield. The higher rates of trifluralin with triallate resulted in yield reductions. However, the profluralin + triallate combination did not reduce the yields. Yield differences were noted between the types of formulation of diclofop. The 2.36 EC formulation had an adverse effect on yield compared to the 3 EC formulation.

The combination of triallate and diclofop gave the best wild oat control. Diclofop alone gave effective control of wild oats. Barban at .375 lb/a gave excellent control, however the sequential treatment caused some yield reduction. Difenzoquat was fairly satisfactory in control of wild oats. The combination of triallate + trifluralin and profluralin + triallate were less effective in the control of wild oats than the diclofop treatments. Stand reductions were caused by the triallate + metribuzin combinations. There was little or not stand reduction in other treatments. Table 2.

#### Experiment I - Spring Wheat

The combination of triallate + metribuzin reduced wheat yields significantly as did the sequential treatment of barban. The 2.36 EC formulation of diclofop reduced yield some when compared to the 3 EC formulation of diclofop. Check yields were considerably lower than herbicide treatments. The triallate + metribuzin combination caused significant reduction in test weight.

Stands were reduced significantly with the combination of triallate + metribuzin.

The diclofop treatments gave the best overall wild oat control. The combinations of triallate + difenzoquat and triallate + diclofop gave excellent wild oat control. Barban treatments did not give the control that we saw in the other treatments. Difenzoquat alone gave fair control. Table 3.

#### Experiment II - Barley

Stands were not reduced significantly by any of the treatments. We obtained the most effective control of henbit and chickweed with the combinations of R40244 + triallate, R40244 + diclofop, and metribuzin + bromoxynil. Fanweed and shepherdspurse were effectively controlled by all herbicide combinations used in the test. The <u>Silene</u> species was effectively controlled by metribuzin + bromoxynil, triallate + R40244 and R40244 + diclofop.

VRS

#### Results and Discussions (con't)

The combination of difenzoquat + 2,4DLV ester gave the most effective wild oat control followed closely by difenzoquat + MCPA ester and the sequential treatment of (barban) + barban + bromoxynil.

Yields and test weights were found to be non-significant. Plumpness was reduced by bromoxynil + metribuzin treatment. Table 4.

#### Experiment II - Spring Wheat

Stand reductions were noted with the treatment of bromoxynil + metribuzin and it is interesting to note that the lower rate of metribuzin caused more stand reduction than the higher rate. R40244 + triallate caused 10 percent stand reduction whereas the combination of R40244 + diclofop caused a 7 percent stand reduction.

Metribuzin + bromoxynil and RA0244 + triallate gave the most effective control of henbit. Chickweed was controlled with bromoxynil when applied early in the growing season, however when we waited for the right stage of growth of the wheat to apply the combination of difenzoquat + bromoxynil and MCPA or the phenoxy's the control of chickweed was diminished. This no doubt is due to the size of the weed. Fanweed was fairly effectively controlled by all treatments except where barban was used as a sequential treatment and MCP was added with the second application of barban.

The <u>Silene</u> specie was controlled effectively by any of the herbicide combinations that included bromoxynil except when applications were delayed to have the right stage of growth for the wild oat herbicide. Wild buckwheat control was similar to that of the <u>Silene</u> specie. The best overall broadleaf weed control was obtained with bromoxynil + metribuzin. Highest level of wild oat control was the combination of differzoquat + 2,4DLV ester. The best overall rating for broadleaf and wild oat control was the combination of bromoxynil + diclofop.

Yields were reduced significantly when the combination of bromoxynil + metribuzin was used. This treatment also caused a significant reduction in test weights.

Experiment III - Varietal reaction of wheat and barley varieties to wild oat herbicides.

Barley - Barley yields because of varieties were found to be non-significant as were treatment yields. The interaction between varieties and treatments was non-significant, however we did note that the highest yield was obtained with the variety Unitan. The highest yield for treatment was the barban treatment, however these differences were non-significant. Nost of the herbicides gave excellent control of wild oats except the sequential treatment of barban. Test weights were found to be statistically significant due to varieties. This would be anticipated because of the varieties used.

Spring Wheat - Yields of all varieties were very close. Treatments were found to be non-significant. The highest yield of wheat was from the diclofop .75 lbs/a treatment. This treatment also resulted in the best wild oat control followed by the sequential treatment of barban at .25+.25 lbs/a. Test weights were found to be statistically significant which we would have anticipated in these varieties with Fielder having the lowest test weight. Test weights are generally low for all varieties because of the continued rain during the harvest season.

Table 1 . Products used in these experiments

Common Name	Trade Name or Other	Chemical Name	Company
barban	Carbyne	4-chloro-2-butynyl m-chlorocarbanilate	Gulf Chemical
bromoxynil	Bronimal Buctril	3,5-dibromo-4-hydroxybenzonitrile	Amchem Rhodia
difenzoquat	Avenge	1,2-dimethy1-3,5-diphenyl-lH-pyrazolium	American Cyanamid
diclofop	Hoelon	2-4-(2,4-dichorophenoxy)phenoxy pro- panoic acid	American Hoechst
metribuzin	Sencor	4-amino-6- <u>tert</u> -butyl-3-(methylthio)- as-triazine-5(4H)one	Chemagro
MCPA		[(4-chloro-o-toly1)oxy]acetic acid	Amchem
	R40244	1-(m-trifluoremethylphenyl)-3-chlor-4- chloromethyl-2-pyrlidone	Stauffer
riallate	Fargo	$\underline{S}$ -(2,3,3-trichloroally)diisopropylthio carbamate	Monsanto
2,4-D	2,4-D	(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)acetic acid	
rifluralin	Treflan	e, 4, 4-trifluoro-2, 6-dinitro-N,N-dipro-pyl-p-toluidine	Elanco
profluralin	Tolban	N-(cyclopropylmethyl)-6,6,6-trifluoro-2,6-dinitro-N-propyl-p-toluidine	CIBA Geigy

VRS

Table 2. Effect of wild oat herbicides alone and in various combinations on Ingrid spring barley yield and wild oat control. Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT, 1978. Field No. R-13

Date seeded: May 18, 1978

Date harvested: September 19, 1978

Size of plot: 48 sq. ft.

Treatment	t	Yield	Test Wt	용	Wild Oat 10	8	Height
Herbicide	Rate #/A	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu.	Plump	Control 1-10	Stand	Inches
Triallate 1/	1.25	98.8a <sup>11</sup> /	50.2	96.0	7.6	100.0	18.8
metribuzin 2	1.25 .25	78.9de	48.2	95.0	6.7	87.5	16.5
Triallate 2/6/	1.25 .25+.25	70.0e	48.2	95.0	8.9	82.5	15.8
Triallate + barban 3/5/	1.25 .375	84.9abcde	50.0	97.5	9.6	97.0	16.8
Triallate + + difenzoquat	1.25	84.7abcde	49.8	97.3	9.7	97.5	18.0
Triallate + diclofop +	1.25 7/.75	84.8abcde	48.9	97.0	10.0	97.5	17.0
Diclofop (2.36EC)		73.0e 82.5bcde	49.3 49.5	95.5 97.0	9.9 9.9	95.0 98.8	16.5 17.0
Diclofop (3.0EC): Diclofop (3.0EC):	.63	96.5abc 89.3abcd	50.3 49.6	96.8	9.4	98.8 98.8	16.8
Barban 4/5/	.375	91.6abcd 79.5de	50 .1 49 .7	97.3 96.5	9.2 9.1	99.0 97.5	18.5 17.5
Difenzoquato,	.25+.25 .75	77.6de	49.5	96.8	9.1	100.0	19.0
Difenzoquat <sup>2</sup> / Triallate + , ,	1.00 1.25	95.5abc	50.3	97.3	8.7		
trifluralin Triallate + , ,	.50 1.25	89.6abcd	49.8	96.8	8.0	97.0	18.3
trifluralin Triallate + 1	.625 1.25	81.1cde	49.4	96.5	7.7	98.0	17.8
profluralin1	.50 1.25	91.3abcd	50.6	97.0	8.5	99.0	18.3
Triallate + 1/ profluralin 1/	.625	97.3ab	49.9	96.5	7.7 3.7	100.0	18.0 18.8
Check	0.0	77.0de	49.0 48.1	95.3 93.5	2.7	100.0	19.3
Check Check	0.0	76.2de 90.0abcd	48.0	93.8	2.0	100.0	19.8
		83.8	49.4	96.38			
_		4.77**	3.00*				
S.E.x		4.628	.432				
L.S.D.	(.05)	13.02	1.217				
C.V. %		7.81	1.23	.974	3		

<sup>1/</sup> Post plant pre emergence incorporate

<sup>2/</sup> Barley in 3-5 leaf stage 3/ Two leaf stage of wild oats

<sup>4/</sup> Split applications: 1-2 leaf stage; 2nd 10 days later for second flush of 5/ Apply 1-2 leaf stage, 5 gpa, 45PSI
6/ Split application of metribuzin: lst - 6/17/78; 2nd - 7/1/78
7/ 1-3 leaf stage wild oats.

F-value for treatment differences

- 9/ Difenzoquat applications and combinations.
- 10/ Weed control scale: 1 = no control, many weeds present; 10 = excellent control, no weeds present.
- 11/ Items having common letters are not significantly different from one another
   (Duncan's Multiple Range Test)
- \* Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level.
- \*\* Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level.

#### APPLICATION DATA:

	1/	3/4/5/	2/6/7/4/	2/	<u>6</u> /	
Date	5/27/78 69 <sup>0</sup> F	6/7/78 68°F	6/17/78	6/21/78 69°F	7/1/78	
Temperature	69°F	68°F	68°F	69°F	65°F	
Humidity	30%	43%	20%	34%	60%	
Wind Velocity	0-6 mph 72 F	0-4 mph 70 F	0-4 mph 60 F	4-6 mph	0-4 mph	
Soil Temperature	72°F	70°F	60 F	72°F	74°F	
Soil Type	silt loam	silt loam	silt loam	slit loam	silt loam	
Volume (GPA)	33	8.65	20	20	20	
PSI	40	45	40	40	40	
Nozzle	8003	65073	73154	73154	73154	

Table 3. Effect of wild oat herbicides alone and in various combinations on Mewana spring wheat yields and wild oat control. Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT 1978. Field No. R-13.

Date seeded: May 18, 1978

Date harvested: September 27, 1978

Size of plot: 48 sq. ft.

Treatment		Yield	Test Wt	_	8	Wild Oat 10
Herbicide	Rate #/A	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu.	Inches	Stand	Control 1-10
Triallate $\frac{1}{1}$	1.0	70.2abc11/	58.0	20.5	96.3	7.0
Triallate $\frac{1}{1}$ +metribuzin $\frac{2}{2}$ /6	, 1.0+.25	46.4d	51.6	18.3	63.8	6.0
Triallate +metribuzin 2/0	1.0+.25+.25	50.4cd	51.6	17.5	55.0	8.9
Triallate + +barban 2/2/	1.0+.375	60.9bcd	57.9	19.0	93.8	9.6
Triallate, +difenzoquat	1.0+1.0	77.4ab	56.9	20.0	92.0	9.9
Triallate +diclofop	1.0+.75	66.5bc	55.8	19.3	92.5	9.4
Diclofop(2.36EC)	.63	68.7abc	56.6	19.0	96.3	9.7
Diclofop (2.36EC)	. 75	67.9bc	56.8	19.5	68.8	9.7
Diclofop (3.0EC)	.63	80.9ab	58.7	21.0	100.0	9.0
Diclofep (3.0EC)	1.0	88.9a	57.6	20.8	100.0	9.9
Dauban 2/	.375	73.2ab	56.0	19.3	97.0	6.2
Barban 4/2/	.25+.25	67.0bc	56.0	19.0	93.8	7.2
Difenzoquat <sup>9</sup> /	.75	65.8bc	56.1	19.5	95.0	7.6
Difenzoquat <sup>2</sup> /	1.0	77.0ab	55.9	20.0	96.3	7.7
Triallate+trifluralin,	1.0+.5	79.9ab	55.9	19.8	95.8	8.1
Friallate+trifluralin,	1.0+.625	72.5ab	56.8	19.5	91.3	8.9
Friallate+profluralin,	1.0+.5	72.0ab	57.3	20.0	91.5	7.4
Triallate+profluralin 1/2	1.0+.625	71.8ab	56.1	19.5	92.8	5.5
Check	0	44.5d	54.0	20.3	98.3	2.5
Check	0	68.0bc	57.2	19.8	94.5	6.0
Check	0	67.6bc	56.7	20.0	99.5	2.7
x x 8/		67.7	56.3	19.5		
F8/		2.87**		* 2.67	r#	
S.E.x		6.16	.915			
L.S.D. (	.05)	17.34	2.57	1.33		
C.V. %		12.87	2.30	3.44		

- 1/ Post plant pre emergence incorporated
- 2/ Barley in 3-5 leaf stage, secondary roots have developed
- 3/ Two-leaf stage of wild oats
- 4/ Split application: 1-2 leaf stage and 10 days later for second flush of wild oats
- 5/ Apply when wild oats in 1-2 leaf stage, 5gpa, 45psi
- 6/ Split application of metribuzin: lst = 6/17/78; 2nd = 7/1/78
  7/ 1-3 leaf stage of wild oats
- 8/ F-value for treatment differences
- 9/ Difenzoquat and combination applications
- 10 / Weed control scale = 1 many weeds present, no control; 10 no weeds present excellent control
- 11/ Items having common letters are not significantly different from one another (Duncan's Multiple Range Test)
- \* Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level
- \*\* Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level

Table 3. (con't)

### APPLICATION DATA:

	1/	3/4/5/	2/4/6/7/	2/	6/
Date:	5/27/78 69°F	6/7/78 63°F	6/17/78	6/21/78	7/1/78
Temperature:	69°F	68°F	68°F	69°F	7/1/78 65°F
Humidity:	30%	43%	20%	34%	60%
Wind Velocity:	0-6mph	0-4mph 70 F	0-4mph	4-6mph	0-4mph
Soil Temperature:	72°F	70°F	60°F	72°F	74°F
Soil Type:	silt loam	silt loam	silt loam	silt loam	silt loam
Volume:	33gpa	8.65gpa	20gpa	20 gpa	20gpa
PSI:	40	45	40	40	40
Nozzle Size:	8003	65073	73154	73154	73154

Table 4 . Effect of the combination of wild oat herbicides and broadleaf herbicides on the yield and control of weeds in spring barley. Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT, 1978. Field No. R-13.

Date seeded: May 18, 1978 Date harvested: September 19, 1978

Size of plot: 48 sq. ft. Treatment Height. Yield Test Wt 80 90 Herbicide Rate #/A Bu/A Lbs/Bu Inches Stand Plump Bromoxynil + .5 MCP (74-A-348 + barban 3/ .5 + .5 75.9 48.6 19.8 100.0 96.3 Bromoxynil  $(74-A-344^2)$  + barban<sup>3</sup> .5 .5 81.9 49.1 20.8 100.0 96.5 Bromoxynil(74-A-344<sup>2</sup>) barban .375 .375 83.5 49.6 19.3 98.8 96.5 Bromoxynil (74-A-344<sup>2</sup>) .375 metribuzin .0625 79.8 49.1 21.0 98.8 94.3 Bromoxynil (74-A-344<sup>2</sup>) metribuzin .375 .125 79.5 48.0 19.5 99.0 95.0 Bromoxynil (74-A-344<sup>2</sup>/)
diclofop .375 . 75 76.0 49.5 19.8 98.8 97.5 R40244 triallate / R40244 diclofop / .5 + 1.2585.5 49.0 20.0 96.3 96.3 .5 + .7589.0 49.8 19.5 100.0 96.0 Difenzoquat+MCPA ester .375 1.0 + 78.4 50.5 21.3 100.0 97.5 Difenzoquat + 1.0 bromoxynil + MCP9/ .375+.375 91.2 50.0 19.5 98.8 97.8 Difenzoquat+2,4D-LVester 1.0 + .2579.1 50.0 20.8 98.8 98.0 Difenzoquat+2,4D amine 1.0 + .5081.8 50.1 20.3 98.8 97.3 Barban (barban+bromoxynil .25(.25+.375) 87.3 50.0 21.0 100.0 97.3 Barban (barban+MCP) .25(.25+.5) 83.6 49.3 20.5 100.0 98.3 Barban (barban + MCP + .25(.25+.5 bromoxynil)-49.7 .25 86.4 20.0 98.8 97.0 Check 0.0 78.5 49.0 100.0 21.0 95.0 Check 0.0 78.3 49.8 21.3 100.0 95.0 ×<sub>8</sub>/ 82.1 49.5 96.54 .921NS 1.52NS 2.18\* S.E.x 4.735 .504 .801 L.S.D. (.05) 13.46 1.43 2.28 C.V. 8 8.159 1.018 .829 APPLICATION DATA: 3/7/ 6/ 4/5/7/ 9/ 6/21/78 69°F Date: 5/27/78 69°F 6/7/78 68°F 6/17/78 68°F Temperature: Humidity: 30 % 43% 20 % 34% 0-6 mph 72 F 0-4 mph 70°F Wind Velocity: 0-4 mph 60°F 4-6 mph 72°F Soil Temperature: silt loam Soil Type: silt loam silt loam silt loam Volume: 33 gpa 8.65 gpa 20 gpa 20 gpa PSI: 40 45 40 40 Nozzles: 8003 65073 73154 73154

Œ
(con
7
ble.
Ta

					Weed Score	re 1-1010			
					Shep-		Wild	×	
Treatment		Hen-	Chick-	Fan-	herds-		Buck	Broad	51:13
Herbicide	Rate #/A	bit	weed	weed	purse	Silene	wheat	leaves	Oats
1/ 3/									
Bromoxynil+MCP (74-A,348-4barban,	,5+,5+,5	6.2	8.5	10.0	10.0	7 - 8	6	co	0
Bromoxynil (74-A-3445), + barban3/	5+.5	5,5	7.0	9.2	6.5	0		000	1, 4
Bromoxynil (74-A-3442/)+ barban 3/	375+ 375	0	7 2	1 0	, ,	0 0	50.0	4.0	4.5
Bromogen il (74-4-3442/) +motriburi 4	1 0			7.6		v. v	α. 2.	8	6,1
Bromowin 17/7/ 7/2/ Interiment	.40/5.	v. v	10.01	o. 6	6° 6	10.0	10,0	o. 0	2.7
Promokynii (/4-A-3442/)+metribuzin-	,375+	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	0.01	3 7
bromoxynil(/4-A-344/)+diclofop	,375+,75	7.9	6.2	10.0	10.0	0	0		, ,
R40244_+, triallate=,	.5 +1.25	0.0	7 2	0	0		1 0	0.0	9.
R402442/ + diclofon5/	· -	1 0	7.1	70.0	O" OT	0.0	7.5	φ. &	5.7
Difference to the total of the second in	٠ ٠	7.8	1.9	9.5	9.5	6.7	8,5	8.2	7.4
Disconduct inche eater 9	T.0 + ,375	4.0	4.5	8,5	8,5	5.2	6.7	6.2	. 0
DITENZOGUAT+Dromoxynil+MCp-	1,0+,375+,375	5.0	4.7	8.7	7 8	0		0 1	1 1
Difenzoquat+2,4D-LV ester=	1.0 + .25	с С	1			0 1	O. O. T	9./	/•/
Difenzoquat + 2.4h amine		, ,	3" (	0.0	α. Ω.	2.1	7.7	6.4	8 5
Barban (barban-bromonting)	C* - 0*1	7.7	7.5	7.0	7.0	3.0	5.7	5.7	6.7
Barbar (harbar ingoli )	7	3.5	3.2	10.0	10.0	7.6	10.0	7 7	
Darbail (Darbail+ilCr)-	.25(.25+.5)	5,2	5.5	9.5	6	4 5	0 0		* I
<pre>barban (barban+NCP+bromoxynil) -/</pre>	.25(.254:54.25)	V	г	•	•	, 1	0	/ • T	7.5
Check				0.	v.5	1.7	6.7	8.0	6.4
Check	0.0	3.0	4.5	0.9	0.0	3,5	3.2	4.4	ч
	0.0	3.2	3.7	5.2	5.2	2.7	3.5	3.9	
1/ 74-A-348 = combination of bromowin; 1 1 100	י נ מסוו ד נושוי	,							

74-A-348 = combination of bromoxynil + MCP 1 + 1. Mew formulation of bromoxynil

Applied when wild oats are in 2 leaf stage,

Applied in 3-5 leaf stage (barley)

Applied when wild oats 1-3 leaf stage

Sequential treatments, Barban 2 leaf, combination 10 days later Post plant pre emergence incorporated

F- value for treatment differences नुजाम्बाणाना को न

Difenzoquat and combination applications

Weed control scale: 1 = many weeds present, no control; 10 = no weeds present, excellent control. Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level.

Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level.

Effect of the combination of wild oat herbicides and broadleaf herbicides on yields and the control of weeds in spring wheat, Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT, 1978. Field No. R-13. Size of plot: 48 sq. ft. Date harvested: October 5, 1978 Date seeded: May 18, 1978 Table 5.

								Weed	Score	1-10 50		
Treatment		Yield	Test Wt	Height	dР	Hen-	Chick-	Fan-		Buck-	x Broad-	W
Herbicide	Rate #/A	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu.	Inches	Stend	b) t	weed	weed	Silene	wheat	Seaves	0953
Bromoxynil, MCP $(74-A-348^{-1} + barbap^{3})$	.5. .5	78.8ab11/	57.8	19.8	97.5	6.5	8.2	10 ,0	9.5	9.2	9 .6	9.9
Bromoxygil(74-A-344 <sup>2</sup> / <sub>2</sub> +	ហុស	88 . 5a	53.0	19.8	8.86	5.2	0.8	9.5	0.6	7.6	8.8	8,1
Bromoxygil(74-A-344 <sup>2</sup> / +	.375	80.3ab	58.6	19.5	8.86	4.7	8 .0	7.6	7.7	9.2	7.9	8,5
Bromoxynil( $\frac{7}{4}$ -A-344 <sup>2</sup> /, + metribuzin	.375	67,2bc	56.2	18.8	91.8	8.4	9.6	10.0	10.0	9.6	6,6	5.2
Bromoxynil(34-A-344 <sup>2</sup> / <sub>2</sub> , +	.375	59,30	49.6	19,3	96.5	7.6	6.6	10,0	10.0	7.6	6*6	3.7
Bromoxynij /74-A-3442/ +	.375	73. 0abo	57.9	19.8	96.3	7.7	6.3	7.6	10.0	10.0	1.6	9.6
R40244 + triallate	.5+1.0	68.9bc	56.4	19.8	9.06	8	5.2	7.6	7.2	7.5	7.6	7.4
R4024464 diclofop5/	.5+ .75	77.7ab	56.4	18.8	93.1	8.5	5.5	0.6	7.0	5,5	7.1	9.4
Difenzoquat+MCPA ester 9/	1.0+ .375		57.2	19.8	93.8	3,2	3.5	9.2	8.5	0.9	6.1	8.1
Difegsoguat+bromoxynil+	1.0+ .375											
MCP	, ,375	73.8abc	58.3	19.5	94.0	3.2	6.5	10.0	5.7	10.0	7.1	9.6
Difenzoquat+2, 4D-LVestgr=/	1.0+ .25	72.7abc	58.1	20.3	96.3	2.2	4.2	10.0	5.7	7.0	5.8	10.0
Difenzoquat+2,4D amine2/	1.0+ .5	76.3abc	55.9	19.5	96.3	2,5	4.2	8.7	6.2	8.5	0.9	7.7
Barban +	.25											
1	(.25+.375)85.6ab	)85.6ab	57.8	19.5	100 .0	5.2	5.5	9.5	10.0	10.0	0.8	00
1	,25(,25+,5)	81.0ab	56.5	19,3	8.86	3,2	2.7	6.7	5.7	8,0	5.9	8.7
Barban + (barban +7/	,25(,25											
MCP + bromoxynil) -	,5+,25)	90.1a	56.5	20.0	8.86	4.7	7.7	10 00	6.5	10 0	7.8	8.1
Check	0.0	72.4abc	57.4	20.3	100.0	2.7	3.2	2,2	2.5	3.5	2.8	3.7
Check	0.0	74.5abc	57.1	20.5	99.5	2.7	2.7	2.0	2.5	3.7	2.7	4.0
1 ×		76.2	56.8	19.63								
E E		1.98*	3.27**									
S.E.X		5.47	1,13		114							
L.S.D.	(*02)	15.56	3.20	NS								
C.V.8		10,16	2,81	2,666	10							
												1

-11-

0 /

1/74-A-348 = combination of Bromxoynil + MCP 1 + 1

2/ New formulation of bromoxynil

3/ Applied when wild oats are in 2 leaf stage

4/ Applied in 3-5 leaf stage (barley)
5/ Applied when wild oats are 1-3 leaf stage

6/ Post plant pre emergence incorporated

7/ Sequential treatments, Barban 2 leaf, combination 10 days later

8/ F-value for treatment differences

9/ Difenzoquat and combination applications

- 10/ Weed control scale = 1 many weeds present, no control; 10 no weeds present, excellent control
- 11/ Items having common numbers are not significantly different from one another (Duncan's Multiple Range Test)
- \* Indicates statistical significance at the .05 leve.
- \*\* Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level.

### APPLICATION DATA:

Date: Temperature: Humidity: Wind Velocity: Soil Temperature: Soil Type: Voume gpa: PSI: Nozzle Size:	5/27/78 69°F 30% 0-6 mph 72°F silt loam 33 40 8003	6/7/78 68°F 43% 0-4 mph 70°F silt loam 8.65 45	6/17/78 68°F 20% 0-4 mph 60°F silt loam 20 40 73154	6/21/78 69°F 34% 4-6 mph 72°F silt loam 20 40 73154

Table 6. Effect of wild oat herbicides on the yield and test weight of three spring barley varieties, Kalispell, MT, 1978. Field No. R-13.

Date seeded: May 18, 1978 Size of plot: 48 sq. ft.

.43345

42

Error C

Date harvested: September 20, 1978

Treatme	ent		Varieties		_	Wild Oat Score
Herbicide	Rate #/A	Ingrid	Unitan	Purcell	х	1-10
Herbrord		YIELD B	USHEL/ACRE			
1/	- 05	100.7	104.1	118.6	107.8	9.8
Triallate 1/2/	1.25	111.3	105.8	115.3	110.8	9.9
Difenzoquat 7	.75		116.8	105.2	108.2	9.9
Difenzoquat-	1.00	102.8	114.7	114.3	107.4	9.6
Diclofop3/	.50	93.4	112.3	90.7	100.3	10.0
Diclofop	. 75	.97.9	100.7	112.7	105.4	8.9
Barban _/	.375	102.7	120.8	117.3	114.3	6.0 - /
Barban 2	.25+.25	104.7	121.1	109.2	113.2	0.07/
Check _	0.0	109.4	112.0	110.4	108.4	
x		102.9	112.0	110.4	2001	
AMALYSIS -YIELI	D					
		<sub>F</sub> 8/				
Source D.F.	MS	<u>F</u>				
Total 95						
Rep 3	1094.64455	3.64NS				
Varieties 2	764.99448	2.54NS				
Error A 6	300.94351					
Trt 7	239.07439	1.24NS				
Error B 21	193.49352					
VxTrt 14	232.29341	1.74NS				
Error C 42	133.41904					
		TEST WEI	GHT (Lbs/Bu	)_		
1/		50.1	47.3	49.3	48.8	
Triallate 2/	1.25	50.8	46.9	49.1	48.9	
Difenzoquat,	.75	50.4	47.7	49.8	49.3	
Difenzoquat2	1.00		47.1	49.3	48.7	
DICTOTOP-	.50	49.7	47.1	49.0	48.7	
Diclofop-	.75	49.9	46.4	49.4	48.9	
Barban ,	.375	50.9	47.8	48.7	48.9	
Barban 5/	.25÷.25	50.2	47.4	49.3	49.2	
Check _	0.0	50.8 50.3	47.2	49.2		
x		50.5	27.00	50.0		
AMALYSIS - TES	ST WEIGHT	0.1				
Source D.F.	MS	<u>F</u> 8/				
Total 95						
	.09236					
Rep 3 Varieties 2	82.60948	348.18**				
A Committee of the Comm	.23726	ು ಈ ಚಿತ್ರಗಳು ನ ಮಗಿತ್				
	.55327	•59				
	.9295					
Error B 21	.70948	1.63MS				
VxTrt 14	. 10540	2100110				

# Table 6 . (con't)

- Post plant pre emergence incorporated
- 2/ Wild oats 3-5 leaf stage 3/ Wild oats 1-3 leaf stage 4/ Wild oats 2 leaf stage
- 5/ Sequential treatment: 2 leaf stage = 6/7/78; next applicaion 10 days later = 6/17/78
- 6/ Visual estimate of wild oat control
  7/ The population in the check was somewhat less than the barban .25+.25
- 8/ F-value for treatment differences
  \* Indicates statistical difference at the .05 level
- \*\* Indicates statistical difference at the .01 level

# APPLICATION DATA:

	1/	4/5/	<u>3/5/</u>	<u>2</u> /
Date: Temperature: Humidity: Wild Velocity: Soil Temperature: Soil Type: Volume: P.S.I.:	5/27/78 69°F 30% 0-6 mph 72°F silt loam 33 gpa 40	6/7/78 68°F 43% 0-4 mph 70°F silt loam 8 gpa	6/17/78 68°F 20% 0-4 mph 60°F silt loam 20 gpa	6/21/78 69°F 34% 4-6 mph 72°F silt loam 20 gpa 40 73154
Nozzle Size:	8003	65073	73154	13130

Ks VRS

Table 7. Effect of several wild oat herbicides on three spring wheat varieties as related to several agronomic measurements. Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, NT 1978. Field Mo. R-13.

Date seeded: Nay 18, 1978 Size of Plot: 48 sq. ft.

Date harvested:

October 4, 1978

Size	of Plot: 4	8 sq. it.				
Treatme	en t		Varieties	3		Eilf Oat Score
Herbicide	Rate #/A	Olaf	Fielder	Hewana	x	0-10
		YIELD	BUSHEL/ACRE			
riallate 2/	1.00	69.2	77.9	78.0	75.0	5.7 6.5
ifenzoquata,	.75	80.0	34.3	85.7	83.4	5.8
ifen zoquat2/	1.00	73.5	81.9	78.8	70.0	7.6
iclofop3/	.50	71.5	78.3	76.3	75.4	9.0
iclofgp3/	.75	82.3	81.4	89.4	84.4	
	.375	79.6	74.0	74.5	76.0	5.8
Barban 5/	.25+.25	82.4	75.1	78.7	78.7	8.5
Check	0.0	69.9	69.6	69.5	69.7	4.1
MALYSIS - YIELI	, ;	76.0	77.8	78.9		
ource D.F.	115	<u>F</u> 7/				
otal 71						
Rep 2	1011,55 3	,20MS				
Varieties 2	48.54	.15NS				
error A 4	316,27					
reatment 7	202.34	.7711S				
error B 14	263,12					
7 x T 14	38,66	L.13NS				
Error B 28	34.32					
		TEST WE	IGHT (Lbs/Bu	)		
Friallate 1/2	1.00	57.4	56.0	58.3	57.2	
Difenzoquat2/	.75	57.0	55.4	57.1	56.5	
Difenzoquat2/	1.00	55.6	55.0	55.8	55.5	
oiclofop	.50	57.0	54.9	57.6	56.5	
piclofgg3/	.75	57.2	53.9	56.5	55.9	
Sarban-	.375	56.4	53.9	56.2	55.5	
Barban 5/	.25+.25	57.5	53.8	57.9	56.4	
Check	0.0	56.3	53,7	56.5	55.5	
ANALYSIS - TEST	WEIGHT	x 56.8	54.6	57.0		
Source D.F.	MS	<u>F</u> 7/				
Total 71						
Rep 2	1.68	.36NS				
Varieties 2	43.26	9.36*				
Error A 4	4.62					
	2 76	1.93MS				
Treatment 7	3.76	1.0000				
Treatment 7 Error B 14	1.95					
		.98115				

# Table 7 . (con't)

- 1/ Post plant pre emergence incorporated
  2/ Wild oats 3-5 leaf stage
  3/ Wild oats 1-3 leaf stage

- 4/ Wild oats 2 leaf stage
  5/ Sequential treatments, 2 leaf stage, next application 10 days later.
  6/ Weed Control Scale: 1 = many weeds present, no control
- - 10 = no weeds present, excellent control

- \*\* Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level

## APPLICATION DATA:

	1/	4/5/	<u>3/5</u> /	2/
Date: Temperature: Humidity: Wind Velocity:	5/27/78 69°F 30% 0-6 mph	6/7/78 68°F 43% 0-4 mph	6/17/78 68°F 20% 0-4 mph 60°F	6/21/78 69°F 34% 4-6 mph 72°F
Soil Temperature:		70°F		
Soil Type:	silt loam	silt loam	silt loam	silt loam
Volume:	33 gpa	8.65 gpa	20 gpa	20 gpa
PSI:	40	45	40	40
Nozzle Size:	80 03	65073	73154	73154

Ks VRS 2

TITLE:

Chemical control of broadleaf weeds in small grains

YEAR:

1978

LOCATION:

Northwestern Agriculutral Research Center, Field No's R-14 and R-13

PERSONNEL:

Leader - Vern R. Stewart Technician - Todd Keener

Cooperators - Research Committee MAES, Montana Research and Marketing

Committee, Chemical Company Research and Development

Representatives

OBJECTIVES:

To find a herbicide or herbicides that will effectively and economically control annual weeds in winter and spring grains with little or no deleterious effect on small grain yields.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Three separate experiments were conducted in 1978. They were: (1) Effect of broadleaf herbicides on winter wheat yields and weed control in winter wheat; (2) The effect of broadleaf herbicides on Ingrid spring barley yields and the control of broadleaf weeds; (3) The effect of broadleaf herbicides on Newana spring wheat yields and the control of broadleaf weeds. The herbicides used in these experiments are listed in Table 1.

Most of the herbicides used were post emergence of the crop however, one was applied post: plant pre emergence and was incorporated with a spiked tooth harrow. This is indicated in the tabulated data. In the winter wheat studies the herbicides were applied at right angles to the established crop of winter wheat. The plots were 10' x 24'. In studies two and three Hewana spring wheat and Ingrid spring barley were seeded with a 12' press drill which had 7" spacing. The seeding rate for the barley was 30 lbs/a, the wheat 70 lbs/a. The grain was seeded in strips 280' long with 3' between each of the 12' wide strips. During the growing season the area between the strips was kept weed free. Herbicides were applied at right angles to the grain planting. Each of these studies contained four replications. The herbicide plots were 10' x 12' long. Studies two and three were sprayed with triallate as a uniform treatment to control wild oats.

All the herbidies in the three studies were applied with a tractor mounted research type sprayer with the nozzles spaced 20 inches apart. Rates and volumns applied are found in the tabulated data. Forty-eight square feet were harvested with a Hegi combine.

Weed species found in a natural state in these experiments were: field gromwell (Lithospernium arvense L.); false flax (Camelina microcarpa L.); tumble mustard (Sisymbrium altissimum L.); field pennycress (Thlaspi arvense (L.)); tansy mustard (Descurainia pinnata (Walt)); henbit (Lamium amplexicaule L.); lambsquarter (Chenopodium album (L.)); wild buckwheat (Polygonum convolvulus (L.)); shepherdspurse (Capella bursa-pastoris L.); catchfly group (Silene conidea); quackgrass (Agropyron repens).

Where applicable, data were analyzed statistically using the analysis of variance method.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Experiment 1 - Effect of broadleaf herbicides on winter wheat yields and weed control

One week after herbicide application some phytotoxicity was noted in the Vel 5026 plus diclofop combinations. There was a slight chlorosis of the wheat plant. R40244 caused a slight chlorosis at the  $\frac{1}{2}$  lb/a and 1 lb/a and a severe chlorosis at 2 lbs/a. Most of these phytotoxic symptoms disappeared during the subsequent growing season.

There was a high population of broadleaf weeds in this test. The combination of bromoxynil and HCP gave excellent control of all the weeds present except for chickweed and grassy species. Vel 5026 was effective in suppressing broadleaf weeds at .125 lbs/a and .25 lbs/a and gave excellent control of the broadleaf weeds at .5 and 1.0 lb/a. R40244 at .25 lbs/a and .5 lb/a gave good weed control and at the 1 lb/a and 2 lbs/a level gave excellent weed control. The combination of diclofop and R40244 gave excellent control of weeds, however the diclofop alone did not give a high level of weed control. The combination of Vel 5026 and diclofop appear to have caused some antagonism and we had a reduction in the amount of weed control. Table 2.

Experiment 2 - The effect of broadleaf herbicides on Ingrid spring barley yields and the control of broadleaf weeds

Many of the herbicide treatments provided excellent weed control. All of the metribuzin treatments were weed free. This included the split application and in combination with bromoxynil. R40244 gave excellent weed control at all post emergence applications and very good control when applied at .75 lb/a post plant pre emergence. The bromoxynil-phenoxy combinations did not give effective control of henbit and chickweed.

Crop injury was severe in plots where the high rate of R40244 was used with all rates of metribuzin. The split application of metribuzin reduced stands significantly and caused considerable reduction in height.

Yield differences were found to be significantly different. It is interesting to note that the highest yield was the unweeded check. This is probably due in part to the fact that we did not have excessively high weed populations in the study, however these differences were not significantly different from most treatments. The metribuzin treatments, including the split application, were significantly lower in yield than the check. R40244 at .25 lbs/a applied post emergence, at the three to five leaf stage, resulted in the highest yield in the study at 106.1 bu/a. It also resulted in the highest percent of weed control of the species listed. We did note some reduction in height with R40244 and quite a reduction in height at higher rates when applied post emergence. Hetribuzin caused considerable reduction in height, but gave excellent weed control. The combination of metribuzin and bromoxynil caused a decrease in yield below the check, a reduction in test weight and height, but excellent weed control. Table 3.

Experiment 3 - Effect of broadleaf herbicides on Newana spring wheat yield and the control of broadleaf weeds

Metribuzin gave excellent weed control of all species present. The combination of bromoxynil and metribuzin also gave excellent weed control. This series of treatments did cause stand reductions with greatest loss occurring with the split application of metribuzin. The metribuzin treatment also resulted in significant stand reductions.

# Results and Discussion (con't) :

The combination of bromcxynil with 2,4-D and with MCP did not give us effective weed control of henbit and chickweed, however it did give good control of wild buckwheat and fair control of <u>Silene</u>. Yields were not affected materially by these products nor were test weights or stand percentages.

R40244 provided fair to good weed control of most species. At the higher rates applied postemergence this product gave excellent weed control Yields were not significantly different from the check, however we did note some stand reduction at all rates except at .25 lb/a where there was a 100 percent stand. Table 4.

Table \_1. Products used in these experiments.

Common Name	Trade Name or Other	Chemical Name	Compan y
bromoxynil	Brominal Buctril	3,5-dibromo-4-hydroxybenzonitrile	Amchem Rhodia
	2,4-D	(2,4-dichlorophenoxy) acetic acid	Rhodia
	MCPA	[(4_chloro-o-tolyl)oxy] acetic acid	Amchem
	R40244	<pre>l-(m-triflvorementhylphenyl)-3-chlor-4- chloromethyl-2-pyrolidone</pre>	Stauffer
metribuzin		4-amino-6-tert-butyl-3-(methylthio)-05-triazin-5(4H)-one	liobay
buthidazole	Vel 5026	3-5-(1,1-dimethethyl)-1,3,4-thniadiazol-4-yl-4-hydroxy-1-methyl-2-irnidazolidinone	Velsicol
diclofop	Hoelon (HOE23408)	2-4-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)phenoxy propanoic	American Hoechst

Effect of broadleaf herbicides on winter wheat yields and weed control at Kalispell, MT in 1978. Field No. R-14. Table

240 sq. ft. Plot size: Date harvested: September 20, 1978 Date seeded: September 20, 1977

Harvested: 64 sq. ft.

		Vield .	Test Wt			Fanweed +		Wild	
Herbicide	Rate #/A	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu.	Silene	Chickweed	Shepherdspurse	Mustard	Buckwheat	Grasses
Check 1/	0.	77.7	50.0	5.7	3.0	2.7	2	0	
Bromoxyni1=	3.75	77.	L 0		) L	. 1	0.0	0.0	0./
Bromox will + 1100	375. 27E	0.00	000	200	0.0	8.7	6.6	10.0	4.3
172 F026 /F01001 Z/	0/2.40/6.	80.00	50.4	8	6.7	10.0	10.0	7.6	5.3
2000	4.125	81.1	50 ,3	6.3	6.3	7.0	7.7	9.3	2.9
	•25	78.7	50.4	7.3	6.3	8.7	10.0	10.0	0 00
5026	.50	74.8	49.8	10.0	10 0	7.6	10.0	0 0	0.0
Vel 5026 (50WP)-3	1,00	71.5	49.7	6.9	6.9	10.01		0 0	0 0
Vel5026+HOE23408=/	,125+,75	65.7	50.2	5.7	0.9		9 10	70.0	0 0
Vel5026+HOE23408=/	.25 +.75	77.7	49 8	n L		2 (	5,0	7.4	0.6
R 40244	25		1 0	/•0	n. 20	6.3	0,00	0.6	8.7
B 40244	2 0	0.00	20.	4.3	2.0	8.7	10.0	10.0	
	00.	8. E	50.1	7.7	8 0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
2 2 0 0 CF 12	T.00	83.3	50.2	0.8	10.0	0.01	0 0	0 0	-:
R 40244	2,00	81.4		1		0 0	TO.	TO*0	8.7
R40244+HOE23408	0 1+05	100	1 0	0 0	TO * O.T.	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.7
HOF 23408	000	2.00		œ.	7.7	10.0	10.0	10.0	0
	T • 00	87.4	50 . 4	5,3	3.4	4.7	9		1 -
								0.0	7 . 5
×		78.9	502						
7)		L8 L							
1>		1 6	.490						
(30) (4.8.1	100	3.54							
0.7.	(60.	. r							
			70.7						
- / -									

1/ Bronate formulation
2/ Post emergence 1-3, 3-5 leaf stage
3/ Joint (early) post emergence
4/ F value for variety comparison
5/ Weed Score = 1 = many weeds present

Weed Score = 1 = many weeds present, no control; 10 = no weeds present, excellent control.

APPLICATION DATA:

silt loam 12 gpa 40 8001 0-4 mph
Soil Type: E Volume: 1 P.S.I: 4 Nozzles: 8 Wind Velocity: 0
4/13/78 55% 44 <sup>0</sup> F Clear 42 <sup>6</sup> F
Date: Humidity: Temperature: Cloud Cover: Soil Temperature:

Ks VRS 2

2

Table 3. Effect of broadleaf herbicides on Ingrid spring barley yields and control of broadleaf weeds. Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT, 1978. Field No. R-13.

Date seeded: May 18, 1978 Size of plot: 48 sq. ft.

Date harvested: September 20, 1978

Treatmen	t							
	Rate	Yield	Test 17t	8	8	Height	Weed C	Control 1-10 <sup>7</sup>
Herbicide	#/A	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu.	Plump	Stand	Inches	Henbit	Chickweed
Bromoxynil +	.25							
$2,4-D^{1/4}$	.375	92.7abc8/	49.5	97.0	97.5	20.8	6.5	4.0
	.25							
Bromoxynil +	.375	87.labcd	48.9	95.5	96.3	19.8	6.0	4.7
R402443/	.25	99.0ab	48.9	95.5	93.8	21.8	9.2	4.0
R402443/ R402443/	.50	87.5abcd	48.3	95.3	88.8	18.8	9.5	7.6
R402443/	.75	81.5bcd	47.9	96.0	73.8	19.3	10.0	7.6
2402442	.25	106.la	47.9	95.5	93.8	20.8	10.0	9.5
R40244-	.50	81.6bcd	45.3	93.3	73.8	16.5	10.0	10.0
Tetribuzin 5/	.25	71.9cd	45.3	95.5	72.5	16.5	10.0	10.0
Metribuzin 5/.2	25+.25	67.3d	46.0	95.3	67.5	16.0	10.0	10.0
etribuzin4/	.50	70.5cd	46.9	96.3	78.8	18.0	10.0	10.0
etribuzin t,	.0625							
bromoxynil4/	.25	80.0bcd	47.7	96.3	86.3	18.8	10.0	9.9
Metribuzin ,	.125							
bromoxynil <sup>4</sup> /	.25	81.0bcd	47.4	95.8	97.5	16.3	10.0	10.0
Bromoxynil +	.125							
2,4D1/4/	.125	90.6abcd	48.0	96.0	97.5	18.8	8.5	6.5
Bromoxynil +	.25							
MCP2/5/	.25	90.8abcd	49.5	97.0	96.2	21.0	7.5	5.5
Check	.0	98.0ab	50.9	98.0	98.8	21.8	4.0	3.2
Check	.0	108.8a	51.0	96.0	98.8	23.0	4.2	3.0
×6/		87.1	48.1	95.9				
F6/		2.66**	4.66*		t			
S.E.x		7.355	.7929					
L.S.D.(.05	)	21.012	2.254	2.03				

S.E.x 7.355 .79291 .71686 L.S.D.(.05) 21.012 2.254 2.03772 C.V. % 11.94 2.33 1.057

NOTE: Triallate at 1.25 #/a post plant pre emergence incorporated throughout test.

<sup>1/</sup> 77-A-579 Combination of bromoxynil + 2,4-D 1:1

<sup>2/ 77-</sup>A-348 Combination of bromoxynil + MCP 1:1

<sup>3/</sup> Post plant pre emergence incorporated

<sup>4/</sup> Post emergence 3-5 leaf stage

<sup>5/</sup> Split application of metribuzin: 1st = 6/17/78; 2nd = 7/1/78

<sup>6/</sup> F value for treatment differences

<sup>7/</sup> Weed Score = 1 = many weeds, no control; 10 = no weeds, excellant control

Items having common letters are not significantly different from one another.

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level \*\* Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level

# Table 3. (con't)

# APPLICATION DATA:

	3/	4/5/	5/
Date:	5/27/78 69 <sup>O</sup> F	6/17/78 68°F	7/1/78
Temperature:	69°F	68°F	65°F
Humidity:	30%	20%	60%
Wind Velocity:	0-6 mph	0-4 mph 60°F	0-4 mph
Soil Temperature:	72°F	60 F	74°F
Soil Type:	silt loam	silt loam	silt loam
Volume, gpa:	33	20	20
P.S.I.:	40	40	40
Nozzle:	8003	73154	73154

Effect of broadleaf herbicides on Wewana spring wheat yields and control of broadleaf weeds. Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT 1978. Field No. R-13 Table 4.

Date harvested: October 5, 1978

Date seeded: May 18, 1978

48 sq. ft.

Size of plot:

							Weed Control 1-10-	7-01-1		
Treatment		Yield	Test Wt	Height	о́Р				11113	
Herbicide	Rate #/A	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu.	Inches	Stand	Henbit	Chickweed	Silene	Buckwheat	
Dromour 11 1 2 4 1/4/		18/							3	1
BIOMOXYMIT + 2, 424/	.42+.45	80.3abc-	58.1	20.0	92.8	0.9	6.3	7.5	10.0	
Bromoxynil + MCP=/=/	.25+,25	81.8ab	57.7	21.0	95.5	5.8	50	7.5	0 0	
R40244=/	.25	87.5a	57.4	20.8	100.0	8.3	2 9		י ני	
R40244=/	•20	74.3abcd	56.9	19.8	85.0	9.5	0 0	, r	0 0	
R402442/	. 75	69 .0abcd	55.5	20 0	82.3	0.01		, t	0 0	
R40244=/	.25	77.8abcd	54.8	20.0	6 10		0 0	0.0	0.7	
R402444	20	70 00 00	, ,	0 0	C. 16	0.01	3.5	6.3	9.5	
4	0 0	10 .0abca	55.9	19.5	83.8	6,6	10.0	6.6	10.0	
Meripazins/	•72	06.5bcde	56.3	19.5	81.3	10.0	10.0	10.0	0	
Metribuzin/	,25+,25	28.1f	55.5	16.3	40.0	0	0 0	0 0		
Metribuzin=/	C	מהכ רא	1 0	0 0	0 1	-	70.0	0.01	TO * 0	
Matribusin thromomerin : 14/		מולי למ	42.	18.5	73.8	10,0	10.0	10.0	6.6	
/b-: 100 TO	*0025+425	60 . Ade	55.4	18.8	76.3	10.0	10.0	0 0	0	
TIGE TENTING TO THE T	,125+,25	49.6e	51.0	17.5	66.3	10.0	0			-6
Bromoxynil+2, 4D=/=/	.375+.375	62.5cde	53 0	100	000		0.01	70.01	0.0	, –
Bromoxvn il + 1.1 CPA 2/4/	375 375	20 20 00	) i	10.0	0.20	0.4	8.9	4.3	6,3	
Chock	0/0.+0/0.	/o · /abcd	25.7	20.5	96.3	8.9	5,5	8.1	0 0	
	0 '	71.4abcd	56.4	20.3	96.3	3.0		C V	, ,	
cilech	0	78.9abcd	57.2	21.0	97.5	3.0			0 <	
WHITE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN	The second secon	The second secon						•	2.1	

-8-

2/ 65 <sup>6</sup> F 60% 0-4 mph 74 <sup>6</sup> F silt loam 20 gpa 40	
6/17/78 68 <sup>6</sup> F 20% 0-4 mph 60 <sup>6</sup> F silt loam 20 gpa 40	ol Range Test
5/27/78 69 <sup>6</sup> F 30% 0-6 mph 72 F silt loam 33 gpa 40	llent contro
Date: Temperature: Humidity: Wind Velocity: Soil Temperature: Soil Type: Volume: P.S.I.: Mozzle Size:	weeds present, exce one another (Duncan
5105 1.451 3.66 71/78	for the from
1.464 4.18 3.73 3.73	control
5.530 15.80 11.40 11.40 11.40 11.40 0xynil + 2,4-D 1:1 0xporated 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	weeds present, no tre not significant
S.E.x  S.E.x  S.E.x  L.S.D.(.05)  15.80  4.18  1.464  77-A-579 Combination of bromoxynil + 2,4-D 1:1  Post plant pre emergence incorporated  Post emergence 3-5 leaf stage  Split application of metribuzin: lst = 6/17/78; 2nd = 7/1/78	Items having common numbers are not significantly different from one another (Duncan's Multiple Range Test)
पाणामकाणाणा	1601*

loam

2

S.E.X

6.24\*\* 19,71

> 2.44\* 1.464

55.46

6.90\*\* 5,530 15,80 11.40

3/

APPLICATION DATA:

scalistical difference at the .05 level \*\* Indicates statistical difference at the .01 level

NOTE: Triallate at 1.00 #/A Postplant pre emergence incorporated throughout test.

VRS

TITLE:

Chemical Weed Control in Legumes

PROJECT:

Weed Investigations IIS 754

YEAR:

1978

PERSONNEL:

Leader - Vern R. Stewart Technician - Todd Keener

Cooperators - Weed Research Committee MAES, Chemical Company Research

and Development Representatives

LOCATION:

Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center, Field No. X-4

OBJECTIVE:

Evaluation of herbicides on yields and weed control in a new legume

seeding.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS:

The herbicide treatments were applied prior seeding and incorporated 3 to 6 inches — deep with a tandem disk. All materials were applied with a research type plot sprayer in an aqueous solution. Herbicides used in this test are listed in Table 1. Each treatment plot was .82 acres (60' x 594'). Plant counts were obtained to study efficiency in weed control and also used as a guide in determining crop injury (plants per square foot, germination etc.). Harvest samples were taken at random from each plot and analyzed for percent alfalfa versus weed populations. Yield samples were also noted from randomly selected plots. Table 2. The test area was irrigated once during the season.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

There was no significant difference in the plot yields when analyzed statistically. Table 2. There was an incidence of crop injury seen in the vernolate plots where there were fewer alfalfa plants per square foot. This may indicate a reduction of germination due to the nature of the chemical. This was counter acted by the fact that there were less weeds per square foot considering the three species observed. Table 2. The vernolate plot had no wild oats or grasses and had the highest percentage of alfalfa of the treatments.

Table 1 . Products used in this test.

Common Name	Trade Name or Other	Chemical Name	Company
vernolate	Vernam	S-propyl dipropylthiocarbamate	Stauffer
EPTC	Eptam	S-ethyl dipropylthiocarbamate	Stauffer

Ks VRS 3

Table 2. Effect of herbicides on weed control and yields in a new seeding of alfalfa. Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT 1978. Field X-4

					1	,	Plant	Counts	(per sq.	ft.)
Treatmen	Rate	Yield	Perce	nt Compo	sition-	Wild		Pigeon-	- Fan-	Shep- herds-
Herbicide	#/A	T/A	Alfalfa	leaves	Grass	Oats	Alfalfa	grass	weed	purse
Vernam 7E	4	2.55	99.91	.09	.0	.0	21.5	.3	4.1	. 7
Eptam 7E	4	2.57	97.94	.16	.98	.92	38.7	.4	5.8	1.0
Check	0	2.72	93.95	.08	3.12	2.85	30.9	2.1	9.2	2.6

x2/ 2.61 F2/ .134 S.E.x .074 L.S.D.(.05) N.S. C.V. % 4.03

# APPLICATION DATA:

Date -	6/15/78 65°F
Temperature -	65 <sup>0</sup> F
Humidity -	30%
Wind Velocity -	calm
Soil Temperature -	67 <sup>0</sup> F
Soil Type -	Creston silt loam
Volume -	20 gpa
P.S.I	40

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{1}/$  Percent composition of each species determined by weight  $\underline{2}/$  F-value for treatment comparison

All treatments were applied preplant incorporated.

Ks VRS 4

TITLE:

Chemical Weed Control in Legumes

PROJECT:

Weed Investigations MS 754

YEAR:

1978

PERSONNEL:

Leader - Vern R. Stewart Technician - Todd Keener

Cooperators - Weed Research Committee MAES, Chemical Company

Research and Development Representatives

LOCATION:

Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT; Field

Mo. Y-3

OBJECTIVE:

To find a herbicide or herbicides that will provide effective control

of weeds in established stands of alfalfa.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Herbicides were applied to an established stand of alfalfa at two stages of growth. The first application was made November 9, 1977 after grazing off the alfalfa. The second application was made in early spring as soon as the snow went off the field, March 30, 1978. Herbicides were applied in an aqueous solution with a research type sprayer. Plots were 10' x 20'. Herbicides used in this test are found in Table 1.

Weed species in this test were quackgrass (Agropyron repens (L.)); night flowering catchfly (Silene noctiflora (L.)); Kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis L.) and dandelion (Taraxacum officinale Weber).

Weeds observed in this study were grouped as grassy or broadleaf weeds. Harvested samples were separated to determine the percentage of legume, grassy weeds and broadleaved weeds. These determinations were made twice during the growing season at harvest time. First harvest was when the crop was in ten percent bloom, July 6, 1978, the second harvest was in late summer, August 24, 1978. The entire area was irrigated once during the growing season, however moisture was optimum throughout the growing season because of the heavy rainfall.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSIOM:

Was noted with the high rate of R40244 and Velsicol 5026 when used as dormant sprays. A slight dwarfing of newly emerged leaflets and mild chlorosis was also noted with the application of metribuzin at 1 lb/a. Nost of the phytotoxic symptoms disappeared during the growing season and were not discernable at harvest time. A statistical analysis of the yield data indicated no significance difference between treatments, however many of the treatments exceeded the check in yield. A slight reduction in yield was noted with the higher rate of metribuzin. There were no differences in yield between the post-harvest applications and the dormant application of herbicides. Two lbs/a rate of Velsicol 5026 applied either as a post harvest treatment or as a dormant treatment resulted in excellent weed control giving us 100 percent alfalfa composition. Metribuzin at 1 lb/a also gave 100 percent alfalfa composition. All of the Velsicol 5026 treatments resulted in 90 percent alfalfa or better. R40244 did not give the same level of weed control as the other products, except at the two pound rate, which caused some discoloration of the alfalfa following application.

Table 1 . Products used in the: experiment.

Common Name	Trade Name or Other	Chemical Name	Company
metribuzin	Sencor	4-amino-6-(1,1-dimethylethyl-3- (methylthio)-1,2,4-trizzin-5(4H)-one	Mobay
	R 40244	1-(m-trifluoremethyl phenyl)-3-chlor- 4-chloromethyl-2-pyrolidone	Stauffer
buthidazole	Vel 5026	1-(5-butyl-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2yl)-3- methyl-5-hydroxy-2-imidazolidinone	Velsicol

Table 2. Effects of several herbicides on the yields of an established stand of alfalfa. Northwestern Agricultral Research Center, Kalispell, MT in 1978. Field No. Y-3.

1st Harvest: July 6, 1978 2nd Harvest: August 24, 1978

	Treatment				eld To		e			3/
		Rate		Repl	icatio		_	Perce	nt Compositio	n <sup>2</sup> /
_	erbicide	#/A	Cutting	I	II	III	x	Alfalfa	Broadleaves	Grass
	50261	.50	1st 2nd Total	3.05 .99 4.04	3.34 1.53 4.87	3.01 1.05 4.06	4.32	93.2	.8	6.0
	50261/	•75	lst 2nd Total	3.42 1.04 4.46	2.73 1.70 4.43	1.58 1.05 3.63	4.17	98.1	1.1	.8
	50261	1.00	1st 2nd Total	$\frac{3.52}{1.08}$ $\frac{4.60}{4.60}$	3.45 1.37 4.82	2.25 1.11 3.36	4.26	98.7	.7	.6
	50261	1.50	lst 2nd Total	4.00 1.18 5.18	2.97 1.38 4.35	2.37 1.11 3.48	4.34	97.5	2.5	•0
Vel	5026 <sup>1</sup> /	2.00	lst 2nd Total	3.70 1.18 4.88	3.56 1.65 5.21	2.39 1.00 3.39	4.49	100.0	•0	•0
Vel	5026 (50) 2/	•50	lst 2nd Total	4.26 1.31 5.57	$\frac{3.01}{1.41}$ $\frac{1.41}{4.42}$	2.92 1.04 3.96	4.65	97.5	1.4	1.1
Vel	5026 (50) 2/	.75	1st 2nd Total	3.56 1.18 4.74	$\frac{3.20}{1.57}$	2.28 .98 3.26	4.26	99.4	•0	.6
Vel	5026 (50) <sup>2</sup> /	1.00	lst 2nd Total	3.22 1.19 4.41	3.66 1.69 5.35	2.24 1.09 3.33	4.36	99.9	.0	.1

Table  $\frac{2}{}$ . (con't)

Treatment				ield T		re		ANTAL EXPEDITORS TO HELD MITTER	3/
Translated 3	Rate	Outting		licati		-x	Perce	nt Composition	on-
Herbicide Vel 5026(50) <sup>2</sup> /	1.50	1st 2nd	2.59 1.09	2.76 1.32	2.48 1.32		99.9	.0	Grass .1
Vel 5026(50) <sup>2</sup> /	2.00	Total lst 2nd Total	3.68 3.54 1.22 4.76	4.08 3.10 1.67 4.77	3.80 2.01 1.27 3.28	3.85 4.27	100.0	•0	•0
Metribuzin <sup>2</sup> /	.25	1st 2nd Total	4.61 1.34 5.95	3.53 1.49 5.02	2.24 1.15 3.39	4.79	94.0	1.4	4.6
Metribuzin <sup>2</sup> /	.50	1st 2nd Total	3.64 1.29 4.93	$\frac{3.41}{1.50}$	2.32 1.17 3.49	4.44	98.2	1.3	•5
Metribuzin <sup>2</sup> /	1.00	1st 2nd Total	3.29 1.02 4.31	2.27 1.34 3.61	2.40 1.25 3.65	3.86	100.0	•0	.0
Vel 5026 (EC) 2/	.50	lst 2nd Total	2.79 1.05 3.84	$\frac{3.33}{1.37}$	$\frac{2.77}{1.14}$ $\frac{3.91}{3.91}$	4.15	99.1	.6	.3
Vel 5026 (EC) <sup>2</sup> /	.75	1st 2nd Total	2.85 1.13 3.98	$\frac{3.36}{2.17}$ $\frac{5.53}{5}$	2.87 1.26 4.13	4.55	99.0	.0	1.0
Vel 5026 (EC) <sup>2</sup> /	1.00	1st 2nd Total	3.86 1.16 5.02	2.90 1.56 4.46	1.84 1.18 3.02	4.17	99.9	.1	.0
Vel 5026 (EC) <sup>2</sup> /	1.50	1st 2nd Total	4.31 1.05 5.36	2.50 1.29 3.79	3.15 1.14 4.29	4.48	99.4	•0	.6
Vel 5026 (EC) <sup>2</sup> /	2.00	1st 2nd Total	1.10	2.59 1.06 3.65	2.16 1.36 3.52	3.90	100.0	•0	.0
Check	0.00	1st 2nd Total		1.96 1.33 3.29		3.99	79.6	5.6	14.8
R 40244(2E) <sup>2</sup> /	•50	1st 2nd Total		2.73 1.17 3.90		3.70	85.8	1.0	13.2
R 40244 (2E) 2/	1.00	1st 2nd Total	3.35	2.98 1.14 4.22	1.08	4.07	85.0	.4	14.6

Table 2 . (con't)

Treatment	Treatment			ield T	ons/Ac	cre			3/
	Rate		Rep	licati	ons		Perce	nt Compositio	n=/
Herbicide	#/A	Cutting	Ī	II	III	x	Alfalfa	Broadleaves	Grass
R 40244 (2E)2/	2.00	lst	3.29	2.58	2.25		97.7	.1	2.2
		2nd	1.07	1.14	1.15				
		Total	4.36	3.72	3.40	3.83			
				=		4 22			
				¥4/		4.22 .86NS	•		
				S.E.X	67 • -	.310	•		
				L.S.D	. (.05)				
				C.V.	용	10.38			

- 1/ Post harvest application
  2/ Dormant application
  3/ Plot samples were separated into species on first cutting only and percent composition was determined by weight.
- 4/ F value for variety comparison

APPLICATION DATA:	lst	2nd
Date: Temperature: Humidity: Wind Velocity: Soil Temperature		3/29/78 47°F 67% 0-3 mph 48°F
Soil Type: Volume P.S.I.	Creston silt loam 16.0 gpa 40	Creston silt loam 25.6 gpa 40

Chemical Control of Weeds in Potatoes.

PROJECT:

Weed Investigations MS 754

YEAR:

1978

PERSONNEL:

Leader - Vern R. Stewart Technician - Todd Keener

Cooperators - Weed Research Committee MAES, Chemical Company Research

and Development Representatives

LOCATION:

James Treweek Farm, north of Kalispell, MT

OBJECTIVES:

1. To measure the effectiveness of certain herbicides for the control of weeds in potatoes.

2. To determine the effect of herbicides on potato yields.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS:

Three herbicides were used in these investigations. The experiment was conducted on a field basis, the rows were 1000 feet long and two rows per plot. Area harvested was 6000 square feet.

Weed species found in these studies were; wild oats (Avena fatua); green foxtail (Setaria viridis (L.)); pigweed (Amaranthus retroflexus L.); field penny cress (fanweed) (Thlaspi arvense (L.)).

Herbicides were applied in a aqueous solution post plant, pre-emergence of the potatoes. They were applied with a tractor mounted boom sprayer and incorporated 3-6 inches with a two row Lilliston rolling cultivator in one operation. Field conditions at application time were excellent for incorporations and moisture levels were high. Periodic observations were made during the growing season by the grower and the research staff.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

#### Growers Observations -

Prior to cultivation Mr. Treweek noted that wild oats was a predominate weed species present in this study. Effective control was obtained by all the herbicides used. He observed no injury at any time during the growing season.

## Researchers Observations -

Visual weed estimates were made two weeks following cultivation of the potatoes and these scores are found in Table 2. Vernolate had the highest weed score of 9.5. On July 15, 1978 the vines were more vigorous in the cycloate treatment than in the check or the adjacant treatments. The cycloate treatment was the highest yielding in the test (431.25 cwt per acre). This is about 21 percent above the check treatment which contained a high population of wild oats. The vernolate yields are less than the cycloate yields, however they are higher than the EPTC yields. The herbicide treatments as a group ran from 6 to 21 percent higher yield than the check plot.

Table 1 . Products used in the experiment.

Common Name	Trade Name or other	Chemical Name	Company
EPTC	Eptam	S-ethyl dipropylthiocarbamate	Stauffer
cycloate	Ro-Neet	S-ethyl cyclohexylethylthiocarbamate	Stauffer
vernolate	Vernam	S-propyl dipropylthiocarbamate	Stauffer

Table 2. Effects of certain herbicides on potato yields and weed control in Russet Burbank potatoes grown at the Jim Treweek farm, Kalispell, MT in 1978.

Date seeded: June 9, 1978 Plot size: 6000 sq. ft. Date harvested: October 6, 1978

Treatment		Yield		Weed Control 1-10=/			
Herbicide	Rate #/A	(1bs)	Cwt/A	Wild Oats	Pidgeon Grass		
Cycloate	4.0	5940	431.25	9.0	7.0		
Vernolate	6.0	5440	394.95	8.0	8.0		
Vernolate	4.0	5260	381.89	9.5	9.0		
EPTC	4.0	5220	378.97	9.0	8.0		
Check	0.0	4900	355.74	3.0	7.0		

Weed control score = 1 = no control, many weeds present; 10 = excellent control, no weed present.

Application Data: All treatments applied post plant pre emergence

6/**12/**78 64<sup>0</sup>F Date: Temperature: 438 Humidity: 2-5 mph Wind Velocity: P/C 62°F Cloud Cover: Soil Temp: silt loam Soil Type: 8006 Nozzle Size: 44 gpa GPA: PSI: 30

Weed Control in "Sod-Seeded" Legumes

PROJECT:

Weed Investigations MS 754

YEAR:

1978

PERSONNEL:

Leader - Vern R. Stewart Technician - Todd Keener

Cooperators - Weed Research Committee, MAES

Chemical Company Research & Development Representatives

LOCATION:

Northwestern Agricultural Research Center - Field No. P-2

OBJECTIVES:

- To determine what herbicide alone and in combination will effectively control exsisting vegetation and subsequent germinating weeds in sod-seeding of small legumes and grasses.
- To find a rate of glyphosate that will control exsisting vegetation and a reduced rate, thereby reducing the cost.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Herbicides were applied to an established stand of alfalfa-orchard-bluegrass pasture. Plots were 10' x 30' in the combination experiment and 10' x 16' in the glyphosate rate study. All herbicides we applied with a research type tractor mounted sprayer. Weed species in the study were predominately shepherdspurse (Capella bursa-pastoris); dandelion (Taraxacum officinale). Rates, water volume, and application data are found in tabular form for each of the appropriate experiments.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Combination Experiment: Buthidazole reduced the alfafa stand when used in combination with glyphosate and in the three way combination including napropamide. None of the other treatments had any effect on plant counts 48 days after seeding. R40244 gave the most effective broadleaf weed control. Table 1.

In Table 2 is given species composition data. The highest alfalfa percentages were obtained in the three way combination of glyphosate + R40244 + napropamide.

Yield of alfalfa from the glyphosate + R40244 + napropamide were the highest at 2.80 T/A, but not significantly higher than glyphosate + R40244 combination. Table 3.

Glyphosate Rate and Growth Stage Experiment: Glyphosate rates with and without a surfactant did not affect plant stands. Application at the third stage of growth did reduce plant stands significantly. Table 4.

Table 5 shows the percent of alfalfa for treatments and stage of growth. Higher alfalfa percentages were obtained when applications were made in the 7-8 inch stage (2nd).

Greater grass control was obtained when applications were made at 7-8 inch growth stage, rates and surfactant were not significantly different as relates to grass control. Table 6.

Weed percentages were higher when applications were made at the 10-14 inch stage of growth. Table 7.

Yields were not significantly different between glyphosate treatments except .75 lb/a of glyphosate which was significantly lower, exclusive of the check. There were not any significant yield differences between the first and second stages of growth, however the third stage was significantly lower in yield.

Table 1. Effect of glyphosate in combination with various herbicides on alfalfa stand establishment and number of broadleaves.

Treatment	Data The	Plants	Number 4/5/			
Herbicide	Rate Lbs AE/acre	5/25/783/5/	6/13/783/5/	Broadleaves/ft 6/29/78		
Clyphosate	1.5	28ab	21a	15.5ab		
lyphosate + EPTC1/	1.5+3.75	23b	19ab	17.0a		
lyphosate + $R40244^{2}$	1.5+0.50	23b	20a	0.9c		
lyphosate + napropamide2/	1.5+2.00	2^ab	22a	11.8b		
lyphosate + buthidazole2/	1.5+0.50	23b	10c	1.2c		
lyphosate + R40244 + napropamide2	1.5+0.50 2.0	27ab	1.9ab	3.0c		
lyphosate + buthidazole +	1.5+0.50					
napropamide <sup>2</sup> /	2.0	27ab	13bc	3.7c		
heck	0.0	32a	17ab	-		
Mean		26	17	7.6		

<sup>1/</sup> Granular, mixed with seed

2/ Tank mix

3/ Six, 3' counts/plot; mean of four replications

4/ Number of broadleaves occupying a 1' square - 3 counts/plot; mean of four replications

Treatment means in the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

## APPLICATION DATA

5/1/78 Date: 60°F Temperature: Humidity 50% 4-6 mph 68 F Wind Velocity: Soil Temperautre: Silt Loam Soil Type Volume G.P.A.: 29.2 P.S.I.: 40 Nozzle: 8003

Stage of Growth: Orchardgrass - 4-8" 3"

Bluegrass -

7" diameter Dandelion -

Table  $\underline{2}$ . Effect of glyphosate in combination with various herbicides on first year species composition.

Treatment			S	pecies Com	position	/	
		lşt H	arvest	8/24/78	2nd Harvest 10/10/78		
Herbicide	Rate 1bs AE/Acre	%ª/ Alfalfa	%ª/ Grass	% Broad- leaves-	Alfalfa	و <u>ع</u> Grass	% Broad- leaves-
Glyphosate	1.5	83.5bc	0.5c	16.0ab	94.5a	4.5c	1.0b
Glyphosate + EPTC1/	1.5+3.75	74.0c	1.5c	24.5a	92.5a	4.5c	3.0b
Glyphosate + R40244 <sup>2</sup> /	1.5+0.5	96.5ab	2.0c	1.0bc	96.0a	2.0c	1.5b
Slyphosate+napropamide2/	1.5+2.0	86.5abc	0.00	13.5abc	97.0a	1.0c	2.0b
Clyphosate+buthidazole2/	1.5+0.5	93.0ab	2.0c	5.0bc	84.0b	13.0b	3.0b
Glyphosate+R40244 <sup>2/</sup> + napropamide	1.5+0.5 2.0	99.5a	0.0c	0.0c	97.0a	1.0c	2.0b
Elyphosate+buthidazole <sup>2/</sup> + napropamide	2.0	89.0abc	5.5b	5.5bc	82.5b	14.5b	3.5b
Check	0.0	1.00	95.5a	3.5bc	4.0c	88.0a	7.5a
lean		77.9	13.4	8.6	80.9	16.1	2.9

<sup>1/</sup> Granular, mixed with seed

<sup>2/</sup> Tank mix

<sup>3/</sup> Dry weight basis: hand separation of 1000 gram sample first harvest; 500 gram sample second harvest.

<sup>4/</sup> Treatment means in the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Table 3. Effect of glyphosate in combination with various herbicides on first year sod-seeded alfalfa yields.

Treatment		Alfalfa Yield				
	Rate	(T/A at 12% Moisture)				
Herbicide	Pounds AE/Acre	1st=/ Harvest	2nd <sup>2</sup> / Harvest	Total <sup>2</sup> / Yield		
lyphosate	1.5	1.85bc	0.60a	2.45abc		
lyphosate + EPTC1	1.5+3.75	1.60c	0.54a	2.14c		
lyphosate + R40244 <sup>2</sup> /	1.5+0.5	2.23a	0.55a	2.78a		
lyphosate + napropamide <sup>2</sup> /	1.5+2.0	1.68c	0.6la	2.29bc		
lyphosate + buthidazole <sup>2</sup> /	1.5+0.5	2.28a	0.49a	2.76a		
Lyphosate + R40244 + napropamide $\frac{2}{}$	1.5+0.5+2	2.21a	0.60a	2.80a		
lyphosate + buthidazole + napropanide 2/	1.5+0.5+2	2.17ab	0.51a	2.68ab		
heck	0.0	0.02d	0.02b	0.04d		
Pean		1.76	0.49	2.24		

Granular, mixed with seed

<sup>2/</sup> Tank mix
3/ Percent alfalfa X total plot yield; mean of four replications.
4/ Means in the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level according to Duncan's Multple Range Test.

Table 4. Effect of glyphosate rates and growth stages on stand establishment of sod-seeded alfalfa.

Treatment		Plants/ft <sup>2</sup> 1/2/									
	Lbs Stage of Growth					Second Count Stage of Growth					
Herbicide	AE/A	2-3"	7-8"	10-14"	Mean <sup>3</sup> /	2-3"	7-8"	10-14"	Me an 3		
Glyphosate	0.75	40a	39a	33a	3 7a	35ab	29a	23a	29a		
Glyphosate + surfactant	0.75	4la	41a	33a	38a	37ab	29a	23a	30a		
Gl yphosa te	1.00	45a	40a	31a	39a	<b>3</b> 9a	29a	17b	28a		
Glyphosate + surfactant	1.00	40a	<b>3</b> 8a	30a	36a	36ab	28a	20ab	28a		
Glyphosate	2.00	40a	41a	31a	37a	36ab	29a	18ab	28a		
Glyphosate + surfactant	2.00	41a	42a	30a	38a	33b	30a	18ab	27a		
Check	0.0	38a	41a	29a	36a	28 c	29a	4c	20b		
Mean 3/		41a	40a	31b		35a	29a	18b	1		

<sup>1/</sup> Twelve 3 ft counts/plot; mean of four replications

<sup>3/</sup> Treatment means averaged across growth stage and growth stage means averaged across treatments followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Plant Count Dates:	Stage of Growth	First Count	Second Count
	2-3"	5/4/78	6/5/78
	7-8"	5/25/70	6/15/78
	10-14"	6/12/78	7/6/78
Application Data:	S	tage of Growth	
	2-3"	7-8"	10-14"
Date:	4/12/78 52 <sup>o</sup> F	5/1/78	5/18/78
Temperature:	52 <sup>0</sup> F	66°F	57°F
Humidity:	22%	448	55%
Wind Velocity:	2-5 mph 54°F	3-5 mph	dcm 0
Soil Temperature:	54°F	68°F	0 mph 56°F
Soil Type	Silt Loam	Silt Loam	Silt Loam
Volume G.P.A.	29.2	29.2	29.2
PSI:	40	40	40
Nozzle:	80 03	8003	8003

<sup>2/</sup> Treatment means within each growth stage (for each plant count) followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Table <u>5</u>. Effect of glyphosate rate, surfactant and sod growth stage on first year percent alfalfa.

Treatment			Percent Alfalfa 1/2/							
	Rate	Fir	st Harv	est 8/23	1/78			st 10/11	./78	
	Lbs	S	tage of	Growth		S	tage of	Growth		
Herbicide	AE/A	2-3"	7-0"	10-14"	Mean <sup>3</sup> /	2-3"	7-8 "	10-14"	Mean 3/	
Glyphosate	0.75	19.0c	82.5a	74.5a	53 <b>. 7c</b>	10.0d	37.5a	83.5a	60.3c	
Clyphosate + surf	0.75	70.0b	97.0a	72.0a	79.7b	59.0bc	95.0a	69.5a	74.5b	
Glyphosa te	1.00	70.5b	96.0a	74.0a	80.2ab	43.5c	96.5a	81.0a	75.3b	
Glyphosate + surf	1.00	84.0ab	95.5a	65.5a	81.7ab	78.5ab	82.5a	70.5a	77.2b	
Glyphosate	2.00	93.0a	94.0a	80.5a	89.2ab	87.5a	95.5a	88.0a	90.3a	
Glyphosate + surf	2.00	94.5a	96.5a	86.0a	92.3a	92.0a	93.0a	92.0a	92.3a	
Check	0.0	15.0c	5.0b	6.5b	8.8d	19.0d	7.0b	15.0b	13.7d	
Hean 3/		63.7a	80.9a	65.6a		56.4b	79.6a	71.4ab		

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>1</u>/ Dry weight basis: hand separation of 1000 gram sample first harvest; 500 gram sample second harvest; mean of two replications.

<sup>2/</sup> Treatment means within each growth stage (for each harvest) followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

<sup>3/</sup> Treatment means averaged across growth stage and growth stage means averaged across treatments (for the same harvest) followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Table 6. Effect of glyphosate rate, surfactant and growth stage on first year percent grass.

Treatment		Percent Grass 1/2/							
	Rate	Firs	t Harve	st 8/23/	78	Seco	ond Harv	est 10/1	1/78
	Lbs		tage of	Growth	~ ~ /			Growth	
Herbicide	AE/A	2-3"	7-8"	10-14"	Mean	2-3"	7-8"	10-14"	ilean-3/
Glyphosate	0.75	77.0a	9.5b	9.0bc	31.8b	88.5a	5.0b	10.5b	34.7b
Glyphosate + surf	0.75	22.5bc	1.0b	21.5b	15.0c	33.0bc	3.0b	25.0b	20.3c
Glyphosate	1.00	28.5b	0.0b	4.0bc	10.8cd	41.5b	0.5b	8.5b	16.8cd
Glyphosate + surf	1.00	9.0cd	2.0b	10.0bc	7.0cd	18.0cd	15.5b	21.5b	18.3cd
Glyphosa te	2.00	0.5d	1.5b	2.5bc	1.5d	8.5d	1.5b	7.0b	5.7de
Glyphosate + surf	2.00	1.5d	0.5b	0.5c	D8.0	4.0d	1.0b	1.5b	2.2e
Check	0.0	85.0a	93.5a	93.5a	90.7a	80.5a	91.0a	82.0a	84.5a
Mean <sup>3</sup> /		32.0a	15.4a	20.la		39.la	16.8a	22.3a	

Dry weight basis: Hand separation of 1000 gram sample first harvest; 500 gram sample second harvest; mean of two replications.

<sup>2/</sup> Treatment means within each growth stage (for each harvest) followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Treatment means averaged across growth stage and growth stage means averaged across treatments (for the same harvest) followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Table \_7. Effect of glyphosate rate, surfactant and growth stage on first year percent weeds.

		Percent Weeds 1/2/								
	Ra te	Fi	rst Ha	rvest 8/2	23/78	Secon	d Harv	est 10/1	1/78	
	Lbs		tage c	f Crowth	2/	St	age of	Growth	2 /	
Herbicide	AE/A	2-3"	7-3"	10-14"	Mean 3/	2-3"	7-8"	10-14"	Mean 3/	
Glyphosa te	0.75	4.0a	8.0a	16.0ab	9.3ab	2.0bc	7.0a	6.5ab	5.2abc	
Glyphosate + surf	0.75	7.0a	3.0a	6.0cd	5.3bc	7.5ab	2.0a	5.5ab	5.0abc	
Glyphosa te	1.00	1.0a	4.0a	21.5ab	8.8ab	10.0a	3.0a	10.0a	7.7a	
Glyphosate + surf	1.00	6.5a	3.0a	25.0a	11.5a	4.0bc	2.5a	8.5a	5.0abc	
Glyphosate	2.00	6.5a	4.5a	17.0ab	9.3ab	4.0bc	3.5a	4.5ab	4.0bc	
Glyphsoate + surf	2.00	4.0a	3.0a	13.5bc	6.8ab	4.0bc	6.0a	6.5ab	5.5ab	
Check	0.0	0.0a	2.0a	0.0d	0.7c	1.0c	2.5a	2.5b	2.0c	
Mean <sup>3</sup> /		4.1b	3.9b	14.1a		4.6a	3.6a	6.3a		

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>1</u>/ Dry weight basis: hand separation of 1000 gram sample first harvest; 500 gram sample second harvest; mean of two replications.

<sup>2/</sup> Treatment means within each growth stage (for each harvest) followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

<sup>3/</sup> Treatment means averaged across growth stage and growth stage means averaged across treatments (for the same harvest) followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level according to Duncan's Hultiple Range Test.

Table 8. Effect of glyphosate rates and growth stage on first year sod-seeded alfalfa yields.

Treatment			Alfalfa Yield (T/A at 12% moisture)					
	Rate Lbs		Sta	ge of Gro	th_2/	2/		
Herbicide	AE/A		2-3"=	7-8"=/	10-14"2/	Mean 3/		
Glyphosa te	0.75	First harvest Second harvest Total	0.57c 0.08c 0.65c	1.62b 0.53b 2.15b	1.64a 0.52a 2.16a	1.28c 0.38b 1.65c		
Glyphsoate + surf	0.75	First harvest Second harvest Total	1.63b 0.40b 2.08b	2.3la 0.70a 3.0la	1.23b 0.43a 1.66b	1.74b 0.51a 2.25b		
Glyphsoa te	1.00	First harvest Second harvest Total	1.89b 0.38b 2.26b	2.23a 0.67a 2.90a	1.25b 0.49a 1.74b	1.79ab 0.51a 2.30ab		
Glyphosate + surf	1.00	First harvest Second harvest Total	2.47a 0.58a 3.05a	2.09a 0.61ab 2.70a	1.10b 0.39a 1.49b	1.89ab 0.53a 2.41ab		
Glyphosate	2.00	First harvest Second harvest Total	2.46a 0.65a 3.11a	2.15a 0.63ab 2.78a	1.31ab 0.41a 1.72b	1.97a 0.56a 2.54a		
Glyphodate + surf	2.00	First harvest Second harvest Total	2.55a 0.64a 3.19a	2.15a 0.59ab 2.74a	1.19b 0.45a 1.65b	1.96a 0.56a 2.53a		
Check	0.0	First harvest Second harvest Total	0.37c 0.09c 0.46c	0.11c 0.04c 0.15c	0.18c 0.09b 0.27c	0.22d 0.07c 0.29c		
Hean 3/	′	First harvest Second harvest Total	1.71a 0.40a 2.11a	1.81a 0.54a 2.35a	1.13b 0.40a 1.53b			

<sup>1/</sup> Percent alfalfa X total plot yield; mean of four replications.

2/ Treatment means within each stage of growth (for the same harvest or for total yield) followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

3/ Treatment means averaged across growth stages and growth stage means averaged across treatments for the same harvest or for total harvest followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Irrigated Commercial Alfalfa Yield Trial

PROJECT:

Forage Investigations MS 755

PERSONNEL:

Project Leader - Leon E. Welty Cooperator - Ray Ditterline

Research Assistant - Patrick Hensleigh

LOCATION:

Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT.

DURATION:

Through 1979

**OBJECTIVE:** 

Evaluate alfalfa varieties and experimental lines for forage

production in northwestern Montana.

#### PROCEDURES:

The nursery was seeded in field Y-4 on May 10, 1976 utilizing a randomized complete block design with four replications. Plots consisted of four rows, 20 feet in length, spaced one foot apart. Thirty-two square feet was harvested from each plot on a common harvest date for all cuttings. One hundred and eighty pounds per acre of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> was broadcast prior to seeding in 1976. The nursery was irrigated two times in 1978 with two inches being applied per irrigation.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

In 1976 (year of seeding) four varieties (Apollo, Vanguard, Olympia and MS4) produced essentially the same amount of forage per acre as did the check variety, Thor. Washoe, Syn XX and Ladak 65 all produced substantially less forage than Thor.

In 1977 the highest producing variety in the nursery was Thor followed by MS4, Apollo, Vanguard and Olympia with no significant differences occurring among the five varieties.

In 1978 there were no significant yield differences among varieties (Table 1). Mean yields for the three year period were greatest for Thor followed by MS4. Washoe was the lowest yielding variety for the three year period (Table 2).

Table 1. Yield, plant height and vigor obtained from an irrigated commercial alfalfa yield trial, Kalispell, MT in 1978.

	Yield (Tons/	Acre at 12% Moi	sture)		. (Tueboa)	Vigor1/
Variety	1st Harvest 6/30/78	2nd Harvest 8/25/78	Total	Plant Heigh 6/30/78	9/28/78	9/23/78
Syn XX	2.53	1.91	4.44	30	12	2.6
lashoe	2.31	1.67	3.97	30	12	2.9
adak 65	2.81	1.55	4.36	20	8	4.4
hor	2.78	2.01	4.79	32	13	2.4
apollo	2.68	1.94	4.63	32	13	2.6
angard	2.86	1.82	4.68	29	12	2.9
Olympia	2.74	1.95	4.69	31	12	2.8
4S4	2.88	1.97	4.85	30	12	2.4
lean .	2.70	1.85	4.55	29	12	2.9
F-value for variety y compariso S.E.x S.E.d	yield	4.53** .08	1.69NS .22 .31	15.19** 1.05 1.48	16.12** .40 .56	23.48** .13 .18
C.V. 100s	% 12.6	8.3	9.6	7.2	6.9	9.3
L.S.D. at	0.05 .49	.22 .31	.63 .88	3.02 4.19	1.17 1.59	.38 .51

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{1}$ / Vigor = 1 - good; 5 - poor

NOTE: Thor and Ladak 65 are considered to be the check varieties for this nursery.

Table 2. Summary of yields obtained from an irrigated commercial alfalfa nursery at Kalispell, MT in 1976, 1977 and 1978.

		Tons per	acre	at 12	percent mo	isture			H-yea
Variety	1976	PM	1977		1978		Mean	1979	Total M
Syn XX	2.81	2,47	4.74	4.17	4.44	3.91	4.00		14.63 3.
Mashoe	1.95	1.72	4.35	3.83	3.97	3,49 -	3.42		13.14 3.
Ladak 65	2.48	2.18	5.44	4.79	4.36	3.84.	4.09	4,07	14.88 3.
Thor	3.08	2.71	6.19	5,45	4.79	4.221	4.69		16.40 4
Apollo	2.89	2.54	5.97	5.25	4.63	4.07	4.50	4.58	16-44- 4
Vangaad	3.18	2.80	5.90	5-19	4.68	4-12 .	4.59	4.34	1 16-45 14
Olympia	3.14	2.76	5.82	5-12	4.69	4.13	4.55		16-25 4.
MS4	2.99	2.63	6.16	5,42	4.85	4.27	4.67	4.22	16454-14
Mean yields (T/A)	2.81	2,47	5.57	4,90	4.55	4-00		4.21	15.59 3
F-value for variety yield comparison S.E.x (T/A)	23.0*		8.1** 0.24		1.691 0.22	is			
S.E.d (T/A)	0.122		0.340		0.31				
C.V. 100s	6.2		8.6		9.62				
L.S.D. at 0.05(T/A) L.S.D. at 0.01(T/A)			0.70	8 .623 3	0.63	-554		0,90	1,74 -

NOTE: Thor and Ladak 65 are considered to be the check varieties for this nursery.

Irrigated Commercial Alfalfa Yield Trial

PROJECT:

Forage Investigations MS 755

PERSONNEL:

Project Leader - Leon E. Welty Cooperator - Ray Ditterline

Research Assistant - Patrick Hensleigh

LOCATION:

Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT.

DURATION:

Through 1982

OBJECTIVE:

Evaluate alfalfa varieties and experimental lines for forage

production in northwestern Montana.

#### PROCEDURE:

The nursery was seeded in field Y-6 on June 7, 1978 utilizing a randomized complete block design with four replications. Plots consisted of eight rows, 20 feet in length, spaced six inches apart. One hundred and eighty pounds per acre of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> was broadcast prior to seeding. Thirty-two square feet was harvested from each plot on a common harvest date for all cuttings. The nursery was irrigated two times in 1978 with two inches being applied per irrigation.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Yield, plant height and vigor information are presented in Table 1.

Adequate stands were obtained but strong competition from broadleaves reduced yields.

No variety produced significantly more or less forage than Thor the check variety,
however, SC400, Iroquois, and Honeoye all produced significantly more forage than
the other check variety Ladak 65. The mean yield for the entire nursery was 1.78 T/A.

Table 1 . Yield, plant height, and vigor for an irrigated commercial alfalfa yield trial at Kalispell, NT in 1978.

		Plant	Vi	gor
Variety	Total Yield (Tons/Acre)	Height Inches	8/3/781/	9/27/781/
SC 400	2.17	28	2.4	2.1
Iroquois	2.17	20	2.5	2.1
Honeoye	2.11	29	2.8	1.9
Riley	1.82	28	3.3	2.3
520	1.80	27	2.6	2.5
Thor	1.78	28	2.3	2.4
Baker	1.77	27	2.9	2.6
Vernal	1.74	27	2.8	2.5
5C400A	1.72	28	1.9	2.4
Ladak 65	1.63	26	3.3	2.8
Ranger	1.52	27	3.3	3.1
Anchor	1.49	29	2.8	2.1
MK MW77-7	1.45	25	3.5	3.6
Mean Yield	1.78	27	2.8	2.5
F-value for variet yield comparison S.E.x S.E.d		2.01NS .8001 1.13	3.52** .25 .35	3.86** .24 .34
C.V. 100s	16.0	5.9	17.8	19.1
L.S.D. at 0.05 L.S.D. at 0.01	.41 .54	2.30 3.07	.70 .95	.68 .93

1/ Vigor = 1 -good; 5 - poor

Seeding Date: June 7, 1978

Harvest Date: September 27, 1978

NOTE: Thor and Ladak 65 are considered to be the check varieties for the nursery.

Determination of optimum seeding rates for maximum forage yields of

Regar bromegrass under irrigation and dryland.

PROJECT:

Forage Investigations MS 755

PERSONNEL:

Project Leader - Leon E. Welty

Cooperator - Scott Cooper

Research Assistant - Patrick Hensleigh

LOCATION:

Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT.

DURATIOM:

Through 1978

OBJECTIVE:

Determine the optimum seeding rates of Regar bromegrass needed to produce maximum stands and yields the seeding year and thereafter.

#### PROCEDURES:

An irrigated and a dryland nursery was seeded in field Y-5 on May 9, 1977. Regar bromegrass seeding rates were 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, and 18 lbs/a. Manchar smooth bromegrass and Chinook orchardgrass were seeded for yield comparison. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with four replications. Plots consisted of four rows 20 feet in length, spaced one foot apart. Harvest area was 32 square feet. The irrigated nursery was irrigated twice in 1978 with two inches being applied per irrigation.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

This nursery was part of an Interstate Trial that was planted throughout Montana and the results have been incorporated into Bulletin No. 702, "Evaluation of Regar Meadow Bromegrass in Montana".

Mean yields for 1978 were 6.32 T/A for the dryland nursery and 5.36 T/A for the irrigated nursery (Tables 1 & 2). The lower mean yields for the irrigated nursery was due to cattle grazing the nursery shortly after the first harvest. The cattle showed a definite preference for Chinook orchardgrass and Manchar smooth bromegrass over Regar bromegrass. In 1977 and 1978 under dryland conditions, Regar bromegrass at the recommended seeding rate (8 lbs/a) produced the same amount of forage as Manchar smooth bromegrass (Table 3). Under irrigated conditions, Regar bromegrass at the recommended seeding rate (10 lbs/a) produced less forage than Manchar in 1977, but in 1978 Regar produced significantly more forage than Manchar. Chinook orchardgrass, under both irrigated and dryland conditions, produced the same amount of forage in 1977 as Regar, however in 1978 Regar produced significantly more forage than Chinook.

Table  $\underline{\phantom{a}1}$  . Effect of seeding rate on forage yields of dryland Regar bromegrass.

	Tons pe	r acre at 12 percent moistu	ire
	First	Second	
Treatment	Harvest	Harvest	Total
Regar 4 1bs/a	3.77	2.46	6.23
Regar 6 1bs/a	4.07	2.49	6.56
Regar 8 1bs/a	3.77	2.47	6.24
Regar 10 1bs/a	4.01	2.59	6.60
Regar 12 1bs/a	4.07	2.66	6.73
Regar 14 1bs/a	3.93	2.65	6.58
Regar 16 lbs/a	3.84	2.49	6.33
Regar 18 1bs/a	3.95	2.54	6.49
anchar smooth bromegrass	4.06	2.49	6.55
Chinook orchardgrass	3.13	1.78	4.96
Harvest dates	6/21	8/30	
Mean yields (T/A)	3.86	2.46	6.32
-value for variety yield comparison	3.23**	2.09NS	3.20*
6.E. <u>x</u> (T/A)	0.148	0.172	0.282
S.E.d (T/A)	0.209	0.244	0.399
.V. 100s %	7.7	14.0	8.9
.S.D. 0.05 (T/A)	0.43	0.50	0.82
.S.D. 0.01 (T/A)	0.58	0.68	1.11

Table 2. Effect of seeding rate on forage yields of irrigated Regar bromegrass.

	Tons per acre	at 12 percent moisture	9
	First	Second	
Treatment	Harvest	Harvest	Total
Regar 4 1bs/a	3.79	2.07	5.86
Regar 6 1bs/a	3.58	1.97	5.55
Regar 8 1bs/a	3.64	1.89	5.53
Regar 10 1bs/a	3.63	2.11	5.74
Regar 12 1bs/a	3.55	2.06	5.61
Regar 14 1bs/a	3.50	2.00	5.50
Regar 16 1bs/a	3.39	2.19	5.58
Regar 18 1bs/a	3.37	2.26	5.63
Manchar smooth bromegrass	2.99	1.25	4.24
Chinook orchardgrass	3.06	1.31	4.37
Harvest dates	6/20/78	8/30/78	
Mean Yields (T/A)	3.45	1.91	5.36
F-value for variety yield comparison	3.68**	4.49**	4.55**
S.E.X (T/A)	0.133	0.164	0.266
S.E.d (T/A)	0.188	0.232	0.376
C.V. 100s	7.7	17.2	9.9
L.S.D. at 0.05 (T/A)	0.39	0.49	0.77
L.S.D. at 0.01 (T/A)	0.52	0.64	1.04

Table 3. Summary of yields for an irrigated and a dryland Regar bromegrass seeding rate nursery grown at Kalispell, MT in 1977 and 1978.

		Tons per	acre at 12	percent m	oisture	
		Dryland			Irrigated	
Treatment	1977	1978	Mean	1977	19 78	Near
Regar 4 1bs/a	2.67	6.23	4.45	3.41	5.86	4.64
Regar 6 lbs/a	2.75	6.56	4.66	3.67	5.55	4.61
Regar 8 lbs/a	3.01	6.24	4.63	3.87	5.53	4.70
Regar 10 1bs/a	3.19	6.60	4.90	3.86	5.74	4.80
Regar 12 1bs/a	3.18	6.73	4.96	4.06	5.61	4.84
Regar 14 lbs/a	3.21	6.58	4.90	4.07	5.50	4.79
Regar 16 lbs/a	3.42	6.33	4.88	4.00	5.58	4.79
Regar 18 1bs/a	3.46	6.49	4.98	4.25	5.63	4.94
Manchar smooth bromegrass	3.57	6.55	5.06	4.97	4.24	4.61
Chinook orchardgrass	3.07	4.96	4.02	3.65	4.37	4.01
Mean yield (T/A) F-value for variety yield	3.15	6.32		3.98	5.36	
_comparison S.E.x (T/A) S.E.d (T/A)	2.35* 0.190 0.268	3.20** 0.282 0.399		4.8** 0.194 0.274	4.55** 0.266 0.376	
C.V. 100s x	12.0	8.9		9.8	9.9	
L.S.D. at 0.05 (T/A) L.S.D. at 0.01 (T/A)	0.55	0.82		0.56	0.77	

Evaluation of experimental birdsfoot trefoil lines when grown in

mixtures with orchardgrass.

PROJECT:

Forage Investigations MS 755

PERSONNEL:

Project Leader - Leon E. Welty

Cooperators - Scott Cooper and Ray Ditterline

Research Assistant - Patrick Hensleigh

LOCATION:

Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT

DURATION:

Through 1979

OBJECTIVES:

Evaluate the compatibility of several experimental trefoil lines

with orchardgrass for forage production in western Montana.

#### PROCEDURES:

Seven experimental trefoil lines that were selected for seed size and seedling vigor, and three commercial trefoil varieties (Leo, Tretana and Empire) were seeded with orchardgrass in a randomized complete block design with four replications. The nursery was seeded in field Y-5 on May 9, 1977. Plots consisted of 8 rows spaced 6 inches apart (alternate trefoil and orchardgrass) and were 20 feet in length. One hundred and eighty pounds of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> was broadcast prior to seeding. No nitrogen fertilizer was applied to the nursery. The nursery was irrigated two times in 1978 with two inches being applied per irrigation. Vigor and two harvests were obtained in 1978.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

In 1978, as in 1977 there were no significant differences in yield among trefoil lines and varieties (Tables 1 & 2). This was due to the excellent orchardgrass vigor which was very competitive with all trefoil varieties. The mean yield for the entire nursery was 3.73 T/A in 1977 and 3.45 T/A in 1978. All lines and varieties produced more over the two year period than the check variety, Empire.  $S_2$  was the highest yielding line over the two year period.

Table  $\underline{1}$  . Vigor and yields for an irrigated trefoil-orchardgrass nursery at Kalispell, NT in 1978.

Trefoil	Yield (Ton		m-1-3 ***- 1.3		Vigor		
Line	12% Moist		Total Yield (Tons/Acre)	4/25/781/	8/3/781/	9/28/781/	
	6/19/78	8/25/78					
L <sub>1</sub>	2.54	1.12	3.65	2.8	3.5	4.0	
L <sub>2</sub>	2.47	1.18	3.65	3.3	2.9	3.9	
L <sub>3</sub>	2.41	1.17	3.58	3.8	2.8	3.6	
s <sub>1</sub>	2.11	1.19	3.30	3.0	3.3	4.4	
s <sub>2</sub>	2.48	1.33	3.81	3.6	2.9	3.6	
S <sub>3</sub>	2.25	1.08	3.33	3.8	3.4	4.0	
L <sub>3</sub> -10 clone	1.98	1.28	3.26	4.3	2.5	3.8	
Leo	2.04	1.12	3.17	3.1	3.1	3.8	
Tretana	2.30	1.02	3.32	3.1	4.0	4.3	
Empire	2.18	1.21	3.38	3.6	2.8	3.5	
lean	2.28	1.17	3.45	3.4	3.1	3.9	
F-value for t ment yield							
parison	1.03NS	.94HS	.79MS	2.92*	1.44NS	4.86**	
S.E.x	.19	.09	.24	. 26	.37	.13	
S.E.d	.27	.13	.33	.37	.52	.18	
$C.V. = \frac{100}{x}$	16.9	16.1	13.7	15.4	23.8	6.6	
L.S.D. (0.05)	.55	.27	.68	. 76	1.06	.37	
L.S.D. (0.01)	.75	.36	.91	1.03	1.44	.50	

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{1}/$  Vigor = 1 - good; 5 - poor MOTE: Empire is considered to be the check variety for this nursery.

Table 2. Summary of yields for an irrigated trefoil-orchardgrass nursery at Kalispell, NT, in 1977 and 1978.

	Tons per a	Tons per acre at 12 percent moisture				
Trefoil Line	1977	1978	Mean			
L <sub>1</sub>	3.84	3.65	3.75			
<sup>L</sup> 2	3.86	3.65	3.76			
	3.80	3.58	3.69			
L3 S1	3.69	3.30	3.50			
s <sub>2</sub>	3.86	3.81	3.84			
s <sub>3</sub>	3.65	3.33	3.49			
L <sub>3</sub> -10 clone	3.99	3.26	3.63			
Leo	3.65	3.17	3.41			
Tre tana	3.69	3.32	3.51			
Empire	3.31	3.38	3.35			
lean:	3.73	3.45				
F-value for variety yield compari		0.79 MS				
S.E.X	0.133	0.24				
	0.189	0.33				
C.V. = 100s x	7.1	13.7				
L.S.D. (0.05)	0.39	0.68				
L.S.D. (0.01)	0.52	0.91				

MOTE: Empire is considered to be the check variety for this nursery

Irrigated and dryland sainfoin yield trial

PROJECT:

Forage Investigations MS 755

PERSONNEL:

Project Leader - Leon E. Welty Cooperator - Ray Ditterline

Research Assistant - Patrick Hensleigh

LOCATION:

Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT

DURATION:

1982

OBJECTIVE:

Dvaluate sainfoin varieties and experimental lines for forage

production in Hontana.

### PROCEDURES:

The dryland nursery was seeded in field F-3, on May 8, 1978 and the irrigated nursery was seeded in field Y-6 on June 7, 1978. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with four replications. Plots consisted of eight rows, 20 feet long spaced 6 inches apart. Two hundred and twenty-five pounds of  $P_2O_5$  was broadcast prior to seeding. Harvest area was 32 square feet. The irrigated nursery was irrigated twice in 1978 with two inches being applied per irrigation.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS:

Occupancy, plant/ft<sup>2</sup>, vigor and yield for the dryland nursery are presented in Table 1; and plant height, vigor, plants/ft, and yield for the irrigated nursery are presented in Table 2. Adequate stands were obtained at both the irrigated and the dryland nurseries. There were no significant differences in yield among varieties at the dryland site. The mean yield for the entire dryland nursery was 1.25 T/A. At the irrigated site Melrose produced significantly more forage than both checks (Remont and Eski) and World Collection Bulk produced significantly more forage than Eski. Yields of Remont and Eski were similar. The mean yield for the entire irrigated nursery was 2.43 T/A.

Table 2. Yield, plant height and vigor for an irrigated sainfoin yield trial at Kalispell, MT in 1978.

Entry	Yield 1/2/ 12% Moisture Ton/Acre	Vigor <u>1/4</u> /	Plant Height <sup>3</sup> / (inches)	Plants/ft <sup>2</sup> ½/
Melrose	2.83	2.9	42	8
Morld Collection Bulk	2.62	3.0	44	7
Russian Bulk	2.36	2.9	37	8
Remont	2.34	1.9	42	9
Creston Composite	2.30	2.0	33	8
Eski	2.10	3.5	28	8
Date:	10/2	8/3	10/2	11/2
lean:	2.43	2.81	37.3	8.0
-value for variety yield				
comparison	5.04**	3.03*	5.18**	0.94N.S.
.E.x	.11	.30	2.79	0.62
.E.ā	.16	.42	3.95	0.87
2.V. 100s	9.44	21.43	10.58	15.48
.S.D. at (0.05)	•33	.88	10.15	1.86
.S.D. at (0.01)	.46	1.21	11.37	2.51

<sup>1/</sup> Mean of four replications
2/ Percent bloom (10/2/78): Melrose, World Collection Bulk, Remont, 55%; Russian Bulk, 25%; Creston Composite, 10%; Eski, 5%.

<sup>3/</sup> Mean of two replications

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{3}{4}$ / Vigor: 1 = good; 5 = poor

NOTE: Remont and Eski are considered to be the check varieties for this nursery.

Table 1. Occupancy, plants/ft<sup>2</sup>, vigor and yield for a dryland sainfoin variety trial at Kalispell, NT in 1978.

	Yield1/2/	1/	Plants	/ft <sup>2</sup> 1/	
Entry	12% Moisture Ton/Acre	Percent 1/Occupancy	First Count	Second Count	Vigor 1/3/
Russian Bulk	1.41	50	8	8	3.0
Eski	1.37	54	9	9	3.3
Melrose	1.34	46	8	8	3.4
World Collection Bulk	1.16	42	7	8	3.8
Creston Composite	1.12	53	9	10	2.5
Remont	1.11	48	8	9	2.1
Dates:	8/2,8/7,8/21	7/10	7/10	11/1	7/5
Mean:	1.25	49	8	9	3.0
F-value for variety yield comparison	1.11N.S.	1.61M.S.	1 .8717	.S. 3.14*	3.53*
S.E.X (T/A)	.13	3.57	.63	.48	.32
S.E.d (T/A)	.18	5.05	.89	.68	.45
C.V. 100s	20.9	14.7	15.7	10.9	21.6
L.S.D. at (0.05)	.38	10.44	1.83	1.40	.94
L.S.D. at (0.01)	.52	14.5	2.56	1.96	1.30

<sup>1/</sup> Mean of four replications. 2/ Harvest Dates: Remont - 8/2, World Collection Bulk and Melrose - 8/7, Creston Composite, Russian Bulk and Eski - 0/21. All varieties harvested at approximately 50% maturity.

<sup>3/</sup> Vigor: 1 = good; 5 = poor

MOTE: Remont and Eski are considered to be the check varieties for this nursery.

TITLE: Annual Forages-Another Alternative for Stockmen

PERSONNEL: Leon E. Welty

LOCATION: Northwestern Agricultural Research Center Field Nos. R-4 and R-13

Many Montana farmers and ranchers utilize small grains for annual forages to supplement their perennial hay crop. Recently, public and private plant breeders have emphasized forage yield and palatibility of small grain varieties in their breeding programs. Several new varieties from these breeding programs were tested statewide by the Montana Agricultural Experiment Station (MAES).

We evaluated three barley and two bat varieties at Ralispell, Bozeman, Huntley and Havre, Montana in 1976 and at Ralispell, Huntley and Bozeman, Montana in 1977. The two cat varieties were Otana and Cayuse. Otana, a new MAES variety, was released for grain production but also shows much promise for forage production. The three barley varieties were Ridawn, Horsford and Stepford. Ridawn, an awnless variety selected from Ingrid, was released for forage production by the MAES. Foundation seed of Ridawn will be available in spring of 1980. Stepford, an awnless variety from a Horsford x Steptoe cross, was released by Montana Seeds Inc., Conrad, Montana. We seeded barley varieties under dryland and irrigation at 67 and 100 pounds per acre, respectively; and oat varieties at 50 and 100 pounds per acre, respectively at all locations. We harvested forage at the soft to medium dough stage at all locations.

Varieties responded differently to location in 1976 (Table 1). Under irrigation at Kalispell both oat varieties produced more forage than all barley varieties; and Ridawn and Stepford produced more forage than Horsford. Forage protein content at Kalispell was negatively correlated to forage yield. As forage yield increased protein content decreased. Ridawn produced the most forage and Stepford produced the least forage at Huntley under irrigation. At the Huntley dryland location oats produced more forage than barley. Forage yields were greater on Huntley dryland than on Huntley irrigated because the irrigated nursery was seeded one month later than the dryland nursery. Varietal differences at Bozeman irrigated and Havre dryland were insignificant.

Annual forage yields were very high under irrigation at Kalispell as compared to other locations in 1977 (Table 2). Forage yields were 2.8 times greater for Kalispell irrigated than for Kalispell dryland because of an unusually dry spring. Otana produced more forage than Cayuse under irrigation. Both irrigated oat varieties yielded more forage than all irrigated barley varieties. However, under dryland conditions at Kalispell, Ridawn and Stepford barley produced more forage than either oat variety. Forage yields on Huntley dryland were very low although Otana oats produced more forage than all other varieties. Varietal differences at Huntley irrigated were insignificant. Horsford produced less forage than all other varieties under dryland at Bozeman.

Small grains grown for annual forages can produce very high yields when grown in pure stands. Unfortunately, forage protein levels are low. The addition of an annual legume to the small grain will increase total forage protein. An excellent summary of dryland annual forage production throughout Montana was prepared by Krall and Dubbs (Montana Agricultural Experiment Station Capsule Information Series No. 6). In their studies forage protein levels were increased when adding peas to either barley or oats; however, total forage yields were reduced. Because of renewed interest in annual forage production in western Montana, we evaluated small grain-annual legume mixtures under irrigation and dryland at the Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center.

We planted two small grain and two annual legume varieties in pure stands and in mixtures with each other in 1978. Small grains were Ridawn barley and Otana oats; and annual legumes were Fenn Austrian winter peas and Terra Verde Alfalfa. We seeded the dryland location on May 3 and the irrigated location on May 18. Two planting methods were investigated; (1) planting both legume and small grain in the same row (12 inch spacing) and (2) planting legume and small grain in alternate rows (6 inch spacing). We subjected the annual forages to two harvest management regimes; (1) a one harvest system where all forages were cut at hay stage and (2) a two harvest system where the first harvest was obtained pre-hay stage, and the second harvest was taken just prior to frost. Seeding rates for Otana, Ridawn, Fenn and Terra Verde planted in pure stands were 56, 76, 117 and 11 pounds per acre, respectively. Seeding rates for Otana, Ridawn, Fenn and Terra Verde planted in mixtures were 34, 46, 82 and 7 pounds per acre, respectively. We fertilized the irrigated nursery with 60 pounds N and 17 pounds P per acre, and the dryland nursery with 53 pounds N and 12 pounds P per acre. We broadcast the fertilizer post emergence on all treatments within each nursery.

Planting method (alternate vs same row) did not affect forage yield or protein content of the mixtures.

Harvesting monocultures (pure stands) and mixtures once during the growing season resulted in more forage production per acre and lower protein levels compared to harvesting twice during the growing season (Tables 3, 4, 5 and 6).

Total seasonal production for the irrigated nursery was about one ton per acre greater than for the dryland nursery (Tables 3, 4, 5 and 6). This difference was due primarily to better regrowth resulting from irrigation applications after the first harvest. In a normal crop year (19 inches precipitation) the yield difference between irrigated and dryland would be greater. During the 1977-78 crop year (September 1 through August 31), we received 29 inches of precipitation.

Generally, Otana monocultures produced more forage than Ridawn monocultures. However, Ridawn protein levels were greater than those of Otana (Tables 3, 4, 5 and 6). Fenn monocultures yielded more forage under dryland than Terra Verde monocultures, but protein levels were similar (Tables 3 and 4). Terra Verde emergence on the irrigated site was poor so no comparisons were made with Fenn at this location. Establishment failure of Terra Verde was due to seeding too deeply.

The addition of Fenn to Ridawn and Otana increased forage yields and protein levels of the mixtures, but the addition of Terra Verde did not increase either forage yields or protein contents when harvesting at hay stage on dryland (Table 3). When harvesting twice on dryland, neither Fenn nor Terra Verde increased yields of the Ridawn-legume mixtures. However, they did increase protein contents of the mixtures when harvested just prior to frost. The addition of Fenn to Otana increased forage yields, but Terra Verde did not. Both Fenn and Terra Verde increased protein levels of the mixtures, particularly in the second harvest (Table 4).

Under irrigation, when harvesting at hay stage, the addition of Fenn to Ridawn and Otana increased forage yields of mixtures by about 0.5 tons per acre and protein levels by 4-5 percent (Table 5). When harvesting twice under irrigation, Fenn-small grain mixtures produced more forage and protein than pure stands of Otana and Ridawn (Table 6).

Nitrate levels were low in monocultures and mixtures harvested at both the irrigated and dryland sites.

Maximizing annual forage yields takes careful planning and management. Results from the intrastate trials show a definite advantage of one small grain variety over another, depending upon location. Under irrigated conditions in northwestern Montana I would recommend planting Otana oats because they produce significantly more forage than other small grain varieties. Annual legume selection is also important. In our 1978 study Fenn additions increased yields and protein levels of mixtures more than did Terra Verde additions. Seeding rates for annual forages, whether in pure stands or in mixtures, should be based upon number of seed per square foot because number of seeds per pound for different species varies considerably. When planting under irrigation or high moisture conditions in pure stands, small grains should be seeded at 20-24 seeds per square foot and peas at 10-12 seeds per square foot. In mixtures small grains should be seeded at 10-12 and peas at 6-8 seeds per square foot. It appears that legume and small grain seed can be mixed and seeded in the same row without yield or protein reductions. This is based upon one year's data so you should be cautious about accepting this without some question. Fertilizer applications on small grains seeded in pure stands should include up to 30 pounds of actual nitrogen per acre and up to 17 pounds P per acre. If an annual legume comprises from 30-50 percent of an annual legume-small grain mixture, little or no nitrogen should be added because inoculated peas will provide themselves and adjacent grass plants with nitrogen. Phosphorous levels for mixtures should be quite high (17-22 pounds P per acre). All fertilizer applications should be based upon a reliable soils test. Weed control options for annual forage mixtures are limited. If possible, plant annual forage mixtures in clean, weed-free fields. For chemical control of weeds consult your county agent or local research center. Annual forages can be cut for hay or silage, or can be pastured. If annual forages are pastured or harvested twice during the season, protein levels will be high; but dry matter yields will be reduced. Harvesting once for hay will result in high dry matter production with lower protein levels. Before grazing or haying any annual forage mixture, analyze the forage for nitrates to make sure it is safe for animal consumption. This is very important if you plant a small grain in pure stand and use high rates of nitrogen fertilizer.

Table 1. Statewide summary of small grains grown for forage production in 1976.

		Tons per acre	at 12% mois	sture		
Variety	Kalispell Irrigated	Bozeman Irrigated	Huntley Irrigated	Huntley Dryland	Havre Dryland	Hean
tana oats	6.63 (5.0)	3.14	3.09	4.65	2.71	4.04
ayuse Oats	6.45 (5.2)	3.08	3.08	4.34	2.81	3.95
idawn barley	4.61 (6.7)	3.23	3.89	3.89	3.12	3.75
orsford barley	3.78 (0.4)	3.29	_	3.19	2.63	3.22
tepford barley	4.83 (6.8)	3.38	2.53	2.98	2.81	3.31
Hean	5.26	3.22	3.15	3.81	2.82	

<sup>1</sup> Values in parenthesis are precent proteins.

Table 2. Statewide summary of small grains grown for forage production in 1977.

Tons per acre at 12% moisture								
Kalispell Irrigated	Kalispell Dryland	Huntley Irrigated	Huntley Dryland	Bozeman Dryland	Hean			
	1.58	2.55	1.66	2.52	3.24			
	1.85	2.57	0.97	2.48	2.76			
3.83	2.05	2.76	1.17	2.16	2.39			
3.05	1.20	2.50	0.84	1.27	1.77			
4.00	2.00	2.98	1.03	2.41	2.48			
4.94	1.74	2.67	1.13	2.17				
	7.88 5.95 3.83 3.05 4.00	Kalispell       Kalispell         1rrigated       Dryland         7.88       1.58         5.95       1.85         3.83       2.05         3.05       1.20         4.00       2.00	Kalispell         Kalispell         Huntley           Irrigated         Dryland         1.58         2.55           5.95         1.85         2.57           3.83         2.05         2.76           3.05         1.20         2.50           4.00         2.00         2.98	Kalispell Irrigated         Kalispell Dryland         Huntley Irrigated         Huntley Dryland           7.80         1.58         2.55         1.66           5.95         1.85         2.57         0.97           3.83         2.05         2.76         1.17           3.05         1.20         2.50         0.84           4.00         2.00         2.98         1.03	Kalispell Irrigated         Kalispell Dryland         Huntley Dryland         Huntley Dryland         Bozeman Dryland           7.80         1.58         2.55         1.66         2.52           5.95         1.85         2.57         0.97         2.48           3.83         2.05         2.76         1.17         2.16           3.05         1.20         2.50         0.84         1.27           4.00         2.00         2.98         1.03         2.41			

Table 3. Forage yields and protein levels of dryland annual forages grown at Kalispell, NT in 1978 under simulated haying (one harvest).

Treatment	Harvest	Maturity at Harvest	Tons/Acre (12% Noisture)	Protein	Total Crude Protein/A (lbs)
Ridawn barley	7/26	SD	2.03	5.5	223
Genn Aust Wint. peas	7/26	M-SD	1.74	19.0	662
Terra Verde alfalfa	7/26	5% bloom	1.04	17.1	356
Ridawn + Fenn	7/26	SD + II-SD	2.71	7.8	424
Ridawn + Terra Verde	7/26	SD+5% bloom	2.19	5.7	250
Otana oats	8/3	SD	3.03	3.8	231
Fenn Aust Wint. peas	8/.3	SD	1.70	16.0	543
Terra Verde alfalfa	8/3	25% bloom	1.03	15.5	320
Otana + Fenn	8/3	SD + SD	3.49	6.2	433
Otana + Terra Verde	8/3	SD+25% bloom	3.03	4.3	261

<sup>1/</sup> II = milk stage; SD = soft dough stage

Table 4. Forage yields and protein levels of dryland annual forages grown at Kalispell, HT in 1978 under simulated pasture management (two harvests).

	1st Har	vest(7/11)	2nd Harvest (9/8)		Total	Total Crude	
Treatment	Tons/A	% Protein	Tons/A	% Protein	T/A	Protein/A (1bs)	
Ridawn barley	1.49	9.4	0.38	10.4	1.87	359	
i∂awn + Fenn	1.63	10.6	0.47	1.2 .3	2.10	466	
idawn + Terra Verde	1.42	10.5	0.75	15.8	2.17	535	
tana oats	1.42	3.6	0.63	5.7	2.05	316	
tana + Fenn	1.74	11.6	0.79	8.6	2.53	540	
tana + Terra Verde	1.20	9.9	0.95	11.0	2.15	446	
enn Aust Wint. peas	0.72	21.6	1.16	16.2	1.83	683	
erra Verde alfalfa	0.36	20.9	0.75	15.8	1.11	386	

### Haturity at Harvest

<sup>7/11 -</sup> Ridawn = 10% headed; Otana = 60% headed; Fenn = 40% bloom and Terra Verde = pre bud stage

<sup>9/8 -</sup> Ridawn = soft dough; Otana = milk to soft dough; Fenn = ripe in pod and alfalfa = 5% bloom

Table 5. Forage yields and protein levels of irrigated annual forages grown at Kalispell, MT in 1978 under simulated haying (one harvest).

Harvest Date	Haturity at Harvest	Tons/Acre (12% Moisture)	Protein	Total Crude Protein/A (1bs)
8/9	SD	3.06	6.1	373
8/9	HD	1.63	20.1	6 75
8/9	SC + HD	3.53	11.2	79 0
8/21	IID	3.97	4.6	365
8/21	HD	2.81	17.6	988
8/21	MD + HD	4.58	3.9	816
	Date  8/9 8/9 8/9 8/21 8/21	Date at Harvest  8/9 SD  8/9 HD  3/9 SC + HD  8/21 HD  8/21 HD	Date         at Harvest         (12% Moisture)           8/9         SD         3.06           8/9         HD         1.63           3/9         SD + HD         3.53           8/21         HD         3.97           8/21         HD         2.81	Date         at Harvest         (12% Moisture)         Protein           8/9         SD         3.06         6.1           8/9         HD         1.63         20.1           3/9         SD + HD         3.53         11.2           8/21         HD         3.97         4.6           8/21         HD         2.81         17.6

<sup>1/</sup> SD = soft dough; MD = medium dough; and MD = hard dough

Table 6 . Forage yields and protein levels of irrigated annual forages grown at Kalispell, NT in 1978 under simulated pasture management (two harvests).

	1st Harv	rest (7/13)	2nd Harvest (9/14)		Total	Total Crude	
Treatment	Tons/A	% Protein	Tons/A	% Protein	T/A	Protein/A (1bs)	
Ridawn barley	1.14	16.0	0.66	11.2	1.80	513	
Ridawn + Fenn	0.99	21.4	2.50	17.8	3.49	1313	
Otana oats	1.18	14.3	1.42	7.3	2.60	544	
Otana + Fenn	1.03	17.9	2.68	14.4	3.71	1139	
Fenn Aust Wint. peas	0.49	27.9	1.33	19.6	1.82	79 4	

### Maturity at Harvest

<sup>7/13 -</sup> Ridawn = boot stage; Otana = boot stage and Fenn = pre bloom

<sup>9/14 -</sup> Ridawn = soft dough; Otana = milk stage and Fenn = ripe in pod

Alternatives for Set-Aside Acres

PERSONNEL:

Leon E. Welty Kevin Kephart

LOCATION:

Northwestern Agricultural Research Center Field No. Y-9

The summers of 1976 and 1977 were very productive for small grain producers in the United States. Unfortunately, a wheat and barley surplus was created which lowered the price of these commodities. In response to this surplus the set-aside program was initiated to reduce wheat and barley production and to maintain or increase price levels.

According to set-aside regulations small grains may be seeded on set-aside acres if they are not allowed to mature. These small grains can, however, be grazed after September 1 or October 1 depending upon county regulations. Since small grains can be planted on set-aside, we need to know what varieties will be adapted to this culture and when they should be planted.

To answer these questions we seeded three small grain species (Otana oats, Ingrid barley and Crest winter wheat) on four dates (6/28, 7/14, 7/28 and 8/10) on a wetland site at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT. The three varieties were seeded at a rate of 100 pounds per acre. The soil was a silty, clay loam with a blocky structure. The water table was about four feet below the soil surface in mid-summer. Wetland areas will most likely be used for set-aside acreage in western Nortana because they are the least accessible for spring planting.

Fertility requirements of small grains planted in mid-summer could be expected to vary from those of spring-planted small grains. We broadcasted 50 and 100 pounds of actual nitrogen per acre after the crop emerged for each planting date. No phosphorous fertilizer was applied because soil P levels were high.

One-half of the nursery was harvested on September 7 to coincide with the September 1 grazing date. The other half was harvested on September 29 to coincide with the October 1 grazing date.

Only Otana produced enough forage to make this practice economical. Crest and Ingrid produced very low yields for all planting dates and both harvest dates. Evidently Crest was not adapted to mid-summer plantings because growth was stunted during the entire growing season. Ingrid planted on June 30 and July 14 was yellow and stunted. This was apparently due to a nitrogen tie-up caused by the saturated soil condition.

The influence of planting date, harvest date and nitrogen treatment on Otana forage yields is presented in Table 2. Early planting produced more forage per acre than late planting. Harvesting Otana on September 29 produced more forage than when harvesting on September 7. The addition of nitrogen increased Otana forage yields for both harvest dates when planted on June 30 and July 14. However, yield response to nitrogen was not apparent when Otana was planted on July 28 and August 10 for the September 7 harvest date. The later seeding dates did not allow the crop sufficient time to respond to the nitrogen applications. When considering forage yield only, maximum production was obtained when planting on June 30 and harvesting on September 29.

Protein response to nitrogen application was opposite of yield response (Table 3). Protein levels of Otana increased as planting date was delayed. This could be expected because protein levels are negatively correlated with forage yields. Generally, protein concentrations of Otana forage were greater when harvested on September 7 than on September 29.

Livestock producers should be concerned with both forage yield and protein content of the forage crop. Total crude protein as affected by nitrogen rate, planting date and harvest date is presented in Table 4. For the September 7 harvest total crude protein was greatest when Otana was planted on June 30. When harvest was delayed until September 29, Otana crude protein levels were greatest with the July 28 planting date.

When utilizing small grain varieties for forage production, one has to be aware and concerned of high nitrate levels. A nitrate level of 0.68 percent (6760 ppm) was measured when 100 pounds nitrogen per acre was applied to Otana seeded on July 28 and harvested on September 7. At this nitrate level we would suggest a controlled feeding or grazing program. The nitrate level for the same treatment, when harvested on September 29, was reduced to 0.26 percent (2600 ppm). Forage with this nitrate level can be grazed without toxicity problems.

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service regulations state, "Set-aside must be land that has been tilled in at least one of the last three years for a small grain or row crop, is in a rotation that includes a small grain or row crop, or was accepted set-aside on voluntary diversion in 1978". Planting annual forages on set-aside acreages is desireable because they will not interfere with planting of small grains the following spring.

If you plan to seed a small grain variety on set-aside for fall grazing, plant an oat variety, preferably Otana, if seed can be obtained. Date of planting will depend upon the allowable grazing date for your county. If this date is September 1, seed Otana in the latter part of June or early part of July. If the allowable grazing date is October 1, Otana should be seeded in the latter part of July. We recommend broadcasting 50 pounds per acre of actual nitrogen after the crop emerges. If phosphorous levels are low an appropriate application should be drilled with the seed. Regardless of variety selected, planting date or harvest date; the forage should be analyzed for nitrate content before grazing. Your local county extension agent can make a spot check for nitrates at no charge.

Table 1. Effect of planting date, harvest date and nitrogen rate on growth stage of Otana oats prior to harvest.

Nitrogen	6/3	0	7/1	4	7/2	28	8/1	.0
Lbs/A	9/7	9/29	9/7	9/29	9/7	9/29	9/7	9/29
0	SD	SD	IB	SD	VS	VS	VS	VS
	(37") <sup>2</sup>	(39")	(23")	(28")	(21")	(35")	(9")	(15")
50	SD	SD	IB	SD	VS	VS	VS	VS
	(37")	(43")	(27")	(32")	(25")	(33")	(11")	(21")
100	SD	SD	IB	SD	VS	VS	VS	VS
	(41")	(41")	(33")	(36")	(28")	(40")	(11")	(22")

<sup>1</sup> SD = soft dough stage, IB = in the boot and VS = vegetative stage

Table 2. Effect of planting date, harvest date and nitrogen rate on Otana forage production.

			Tor	ns per aci	re at 12%	moisture		
Mitrogen	6/	30	7/3		7/3		8/	10
Lbs/A	9/7	9/29	9/7	9/29	9/7	9/29	9/7	9/29
0	1.30	2.04	0.71	0.83	0.61	1.40	0/96	0.36
50	1.94	2.69	1.04	2.04	0.76	1.39	0.08	0.59
100	2.52	2.91	1.30	2.67	0.81	2.15	0.08	0.67

<sup>2</sup> Height of forage in inches just prior to harvest

Table 3. Effect of planting date, harvest date and nitrogen rate on percent protein of Otana oats.

Nitrogen	6/:	30	7/	14	7/:	28	8/	10
Lbs/A	9/7	9/29	9/7	9/29	9/7	9/29	9/7	9/29
0	12.7	9.2	17.5	8.2	19.3	9.7	22.0	14.1
50	12.2	10.3	15.2	9.2	24.4	13.3	33.3	23.2
100	12.9	10.4	18.0	11.6	28.9	16.9	36.1	28.3

Table 4. Effect of planting date, harvest date and nitrogen rate on crude protein of Otana oats.

		Total r	ounds crue	de protein	/acre		
6/3	0	7/3	Ţ	7/2	8	8	/10
9/7	9/29	9/7	9/29	9/7	9/29	9/7	9/29
331.0	375.8	248.6	135.8	235.3	271.4	26.4	101.4
474.6	555.8	315.6	373.4	370.6	522.4	53.2	273.8
651.2	604.2	466.4	616.2	468.2	727.4	57.8	358.6
	9/7 331.0 474.6	331.0 375.8 474.6 555.8	6/30     7/3       9/7     9/29     9/7       331.0     375.8     248.6       474.6     555.8     315.6	6/30     7/14       9/7     9/29       331.0     375.8       248.6     135.8       474.6     555.8       315.6     373.4	6/30     7/14     7/2       9/7     9/29     9/7     9/29     9/7       331.0     375.8     248.6     135.8     235.8       474.6     555.8     315.6     373.4     370.6	9/7     9/29     9/7     9/29     9/7     9/29       331.0     375.8     248.6     135.8     235.8     271.4       474.6     555.8     315.6     373.4     370.6     522.4	6/30     7/14     7/28     8       9/7     9/29     9/7     9/29     9/7     9/29     9/7       331.0     375.8     248.6     135.8     235.8     271.4     26.4       474.6     555.8     315.6     373.4     370.6     522.4     53.2

<sup>1</sup> Total crude protein = T/A (12% moisture) x % protein x 2000 lbs.

Spring Barley

PROJECT:

Small Grains Investigations MS 756

YEAR:

1978

PERSONNEL:

Leader - Vern R. Stewart Technician - Todd Keener

Cooperating Agencies - Montana Agricultural Experiment Station

Field Crops Branch ARS USDA

LOCATION:

Northwestern Agricultural Research Center and off-station locations.

DURATION:

Indefinite

OBJECTIVES:

- 1. To determine the adapatibility of new and introduced barley varieties in western Montana.
- To assist in the state breeding program for the development of varieties with increased straw strength and disease resistance.

### 1978 EXPERIMENTS:

1. Dryland Intrastate Yield Nursery

2. Irrigated Intrastate Yield Nursery

3. Off-Station Yield Nurseries, located in -

a. Ravalli County (Bill Strange farm)

b. Lake County (Art Mangles farm)

c. Missoula County (Harvey Clouse farm)

4. Hector Klages, F6 Yield Nursery, dryland and irrigated

5. White Lemma and Awn Yield Nursery, dryland and irrigated

6. Leaf Width Yield Nursery

7. Tall-Short Barley Nursery

8. Root Maturity Barley Nursery

Experiments five through eight are not a part of this report, but were run as a cooperative effort for R. F. Eslick, E. A. Hockett and some of their graduate students.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

1. Dryland Intrastate - Thirteen varieties in the dryland intrastate nursery produced higher yields than the check, but none of these yields were significantly greater when compared statistically. Purcell, which was the check in this test had a yield of 88.91 bu/a. The highest yield was obtained from Steve (98.36 bu/a). Five entries yielded significantly lower than the check, the lowest being Waxy Titan (64.95 bu/a).

Test weight varied from 46.9 lb/bu for Waxy Titan to 54.7 lb/bu for MT 842148. Purcell was 52.7 lb/bu.

Severe lodging was seen in Washonupana and MT 842148, Klondike, Compana, Dekap and Shabet.

Sixteen entries were significantly taller than the check. The tallest was Morex at 43.3 inches. Two varieties were significantly shorter than the check. They were both VDH varieties. The height range was 26.8 inches to 43.3 inches.

Percent plumpness did not vary significantly from the check except in the cases of Washonupana and Summit which were significantly less.

Spring Barley (con't)

Heading occurred between June 21, and June 30, 1978. Several varieties differed significantly from Purcell, which is a mid-season maturing variety.

A ten year yield summary for the dryland intrastate spring barley yields is given in Table 2. Piroline was used as a check. Steptoe was 17.7% higher, Purcell 13.1%; UT 1009 11.5%; Unitan 10.9%; and Freja 10.8%.

2. Irrigated Intrastate Yield Nursery - The range of the yields for the Irrigated Intrastate Nursery varied from 51.8 bu/a to 120.3 bu/a. Freja was the highest yielding variety (120.28 bu/a). UT 1009 and Purcell along with Freja were significantly greater than Ingrid, the check. Sixty-six percent of varieties tested yielded higher than the check. Washonupana and MT 842148 which were significantly less than the check at 52.3 bu/a and 51.8 bu/a respectively.

Lodging was prevalant throughout the test. Plots in which lodging was very noticable were Dekap (89.0%), MT 842148 (Shonupana)(87.0%), and Washonupana (82.0%).

Test weights ranged from 42.1 lb/bu for WA11312 to 53.59 lb/bu for UD 4674. The rather low test weights on some varieties was caused by sprouting of grain prior to harvest. Lodging was severe in some varieties causing the grain to come into contact with the soil resulting in sprouting, causing a reduction in the test weight. This condition was also responsible for variations in yield between plots and resulted in a high C.V.. The varieties UD 22872, Blazer and Park had the lowest lodging rating in the experiment.

Ninety-eight percent of the entries tested had earlier heading dates than Ingrid which is a late maturing variety. Kimberly was the only variety which headed later than Ingrid.

The abnormally high rainfall for this season can be directly related to the high incidence of leaf scald (Rynchosporium secalis (Oud.) J.J. Davis) and powdery mildew (Erysiphe graminis DC). Severity ratings are on a 1-10 scale, 1 indicating very slight disease pressure, 10 indicating very severe pressure. Varieties very susceptible to scald in this trial were MT 726 (96.4%) and Lud (94.8%). Other varieties with somewhat less severe symptions were CB 7523, 77.6%; VD 26772, 68.2%; Menuet, 60.0%; VD 7272, 56.0%; Freja, 55.0%; VD 4674, 50.8% and Summit, 43.0%. The foregoing listed varieties showed significantly more disease when compared to Ingrid (6%).

Varieties with a high incidence of powdery mildew were Manker, 93.0%; Morex, 69.0%; Glenn, 65.2%; Klondike, 62.8% and Blazer, 61.8%. There were no varieties that were entirely free of either powdery mildew or leaf scald in the irrigated nursery.

Seven entries were significantly lower in percent plump than Ingrid. No variety was found to be significantly greater. The hulless varieties were less as would be expected. Table 3.

A ten year summary for irrigated intrastate spring barley yields is given in Table 4. Sixty-six percent of all varieties tested in the 10 year summary have higher yields than Ingrid.

3. Off-Station Nurseries - Ravalli County - Yields from the Ravalli County Nursery were lower this year when compared to last year. The mean was 80.87 bu/a and the yields ranged from 67.65 bu/a to 92.33 bu/a. The highest yielding entry was Klondike which was the only variety to yield greater than Ingrid, the check. The mean for test weights was 53.31 lb/bu which was higher than last years 48.99 lb/bu. Lodging was severe in the Klondike plots. Slight lodging was observed in Unitan. The percent plump was high for all varieties. The mean was 96.46%. Table 5.

Spring Barley (con't)

Lake County - Yields were abnormally low for Lake County nursery due to a late harvest date and prevalent stem lodging. The mean yield was 32.51 bu/a with the highest yielding variety being Summit with 36.33 bu/a. Ingrid, the check, had a yield of 29.57 bu/a. Test weights varied very little and were all very close to Ingrid which was 50.04 lb/bu. Lodging was most prevalent in Klondike, Manker and Unitan varieties. Slight lodging was observed with Steve, Steptoe, and Fairfield varieties. The mean for percent plump was 96.34%. Fairfield, Freja, Piroline, Manker and Purcell all had significantly greater plump percentages than Ingrid. Table 6.

Missoula County - The nursery in Missoula again showed excellent yields with Steptoe being the highest at 117.07 bu/a. This was the only variety significantly higher in yield than Ingrid (94.65 bu/a) the check. Manker and Klondike yields were significantly lower than the check. Steptoe, Unitan, and Steve all had test weights significant ly less than Ingrid (54.76 lb/bu). The mean test weight was 54.10 lb/bu. Lodging percentages were high in the varieties; Hector, Summit, Fairfield, Piroline, and Klondike. Percent plump was excellent with a mean of 97.6%. Table 7.

Tables 8 and 9 show data for fourteen spring barley varieties grown at four irrigated locations throughout western Montana. Freja had the highest yield at Kalispell and the highest yield average when compared at all four locations. Steptoe, which had the highest yield in Missoula County had the second highest average yield for all locations. Kalispell and Ravalli locations had individual test weights noticably higher than the other two nurseries. The highest average test wieght was Hector at 52.83 lb/bu and 47.37 lb/bu was the lowest average for Steve. Plant heights were greatest at Kalispell. The four location averages for height did not vary greatly. Klondike had the tallest average at 33.83 inches and Purcell the shortest at 29.40 inches. Highest percent plump average was Steptoe at 97.25%. Steptoe was very consistant at all four locations in regard to percent plump. Kimberly had the low plump figure at 94.78%.

4. Hector Klages, F6 Yield Nursery - This test was conducted under both dry-land and irrigated conditions. Yields were non significant under irrigated conditions, and the C.V. was high in the test. The yield range was 100.91 bu/a to 77.54 bu/a of the pedigree material. Only two lines exceeded either parent in this test. Table 11.

In the dryland test yield differences were statistically significant with a range of 119.24 to 90.03 bu/a. No line exceeded the female parent (Klages). Eight lines were not significantly different in yield from the female parent. Five lines were significantly less in yield. Table 10.

Agronomic data from the intrastate barley yield nursery grown at the Northwestern Agriculutral Research Center, Kalispell, MT in 1978. Field No. Al, dryland. Random block design, four replications. Date seeded: April 14, 1977 Table 1.

		Date seeded: April 14, 1977	Date	harvested:	August 25,	1978 S	Size of plot:	16 sq. f	ft.	
C.I. State	C.I. or State No.	Variety	Yield Bu/A	Test Wt	Heading	Plant	Maturity	Lodging	ng	dp [
	00000						2000	p	• ^ ~	Tamb
O. E.	1000	Steve	98,36	48.48	173,75b	32.27	237,00	00*	00°	97.97
5 5	1001	SOOT-TOOS	38 °T2	47,33	175.00	36.53a	237,00	00.	00.	97,75
2 5	0 0	Firouette	68.96	53,59	178.75a	29.52	237.00	2.50	,75	98,22
5 5	15229	Steptoe	96,61	48.48	172,00b	33,27	237.00	00*	00*	97,50
J :	10421	Unitan	67.46	43,48	172,50b	38.03a	237,00	5.00	1.00	97.75
NA	92 019	N2SB 610-76	94.41	53.48	176.00	35.27a	237,00	00.	00.	98.00
C	15478	Klages	93,43	51,08	177,75	35.27a	237.00	5.00	.75	97.75
CI	15687	Kimberly	91,75	50.56	181,50a	34.77a	237.00	00	00	97.75
C	15514	Hector	91,36	52.64	174,50b	35,53a	237.00	20.00	1.00	97.25
8	26772	VDH 267-72	00.06	52,12	178,50a	30.52	237,00	00"	00	97.00
8 8	22872	VDH 228-72	89.82	52,33	179.75a	29.77	237,00	00.	00	97.75
5 8	T0083	Ingrid	86.63	51,34	179.75a	32,77	-	00*	00.	98.72
I d	7130	Freja 1/	89.20	51,81	177,25	30,52	237,00	15.00	1.25	98.00
5 5	19191	Purcell=	88.91	52,75	176.50	31,77	237,00	12.50	.75	98.00
5 6	13827	Shabet	88.72	51,60	178.25a	33.77	237.00	61.25a	2.50	98.00
5 !	9228	Piroline	88.13	52,85	175,50	34.77a	237,00	26.25	1.50	98.00
2 5	154/2	VDH 154-72	87.94	52.64	180.75a	28.77b	237,00	00	000	97.50
2 5	5 6	Menuet	87,44	52,64	179,00a	30.02	237.00	00	00	97.75
W.	11312	6194-63/Blazer	87.20	47,53	170.00 L	30,52	237.00	00	00	97.50
TAT	67/	Summit	86.28	51,77	177,25	32.02	237.00	00	00	96.75h
11.	00/	KPB 268-70	85.65	52,12	179,25a	33.53	237.00	00.	00.	97.50
2	5/977	VDH 118-74	85.63	52,12	180,00a	29.52 a	237.00	00.	00.	97,75
RP I	45672	RPB 456-72	85.00	52,12	175.75	29,77	237.00	00*	00.	98,00
ij	332T	Dekap	84.63	50,56	173,50b	33,03	237,00	68 .50a	4.50	97.25
CI	15768	Park	84,22	49.00	175.25	42,28a	237,00	24.75	1.75	98.22
MT.	726	Ind	84.07	53,37	179,50a	32.02	237,00	00°	000	-
	25	Morex	83.81	48.79	174.00b	43,28a	237.00	00.	00	98.25
2 4	77.77	VDH 072-72	83.63	52,12	178.75a	30.77	237,00	00°	00.	97.75
5 !	15549	Manker	83,12	50,35	173,50b	40.03a	237,00	5.00	-75	α
ON C	4674	VDH 046-74	82.90	52,12	179.25a	26.77b	237.00	00	00	98.25
3 !	5438	Compana	82.90	51,29	173.25b	31.52	237.00	69.75a	6.259	97 75
T.L.	755	Cornel, Cebeco 7291	81.97	52,12	178,50a	30.02	237.00		00	· 00
NA C	46176	MZSB 461-76	81.69	52,85	176,50	32.77	237.00	00		) 1
9 ;	323	Klondike	80,50	49.41	176.00	38.78a	237.00	56.008	2 25	07.10
AT	206	Fairfield	79.87	52,12	176.75	32.52	237.00	00.00	8.50	00.00
-								•	•	00.00

Table 1 . (con't)

## Sev.  ## 1.55/Bu. Date Ht.In. Date % Sev.  ## 1.72.50b 37.28a 237.00 7.50 1.00  \$11.18 179.00a 29.52 237.00 .00 .00  ## 1.73 174.50b 32.52 237.00 73.25a 5.25a  \$1.74.50b 32.52 237.00 73.25a 5.25a  \$1.75.50 32.52 237.00 98.00a 7.00a  ## 1.73.00b 38.28a 237.00 .00  ## 2.056 173.25b 42.53a 237.00 .00  ## 2.00 23.60 15.31** .00 6.47** 6.15**  ## 2.00 23.60 15.31** .00 27.63 1.97  ## 2.05 level  ## at .05 level				Viola	Test Wt	Heading	Plant	Maturity	Lodging	19	ηρ
Glenn  VDH 261-70A  VDH 271-70A  VDH 271-70A	O T	or to Mo.	Variety	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu.	Date	Ht.In.	Date	6/0	Sev.	Plump
15769   Glenn   78,18   49,17   17,000   27,504   27,00   .00						402	27 202	037 00	7.50	1.00	98.00
15230   1261-70A   78.81   51.18   179.00a   29.52   237.00   .0	1	15769	Glenn	78.98	48.3/	T / 7 * 20D	2/.200	00.100	000		100
15.20   1.00	1 5	07.130	470 - 170 HOTE	78.81	51.18	179.00a	29,52	237.00	00.	00.	01.10
10 brived Titan  11 To.74b  12.530  12.530  12.530  12.530  12.530  13.25a  13.25a  13.25a  13.25a  13.25a  13.25a  13.25a  13.25a  13.25a  13.00a  13.25a  13.00a  13.00a  13.00b  13	2 5	07107	ADI TOT LO	78.49	47.33	177.00	38,28a	237,00	00.	00°	00.86
73518 Hypana/Unitan, F12		15230	DIAZEL	74 LL	54.73	174.50b	32,52	237,00	73,25a	5.25a	97.00
1		842148	Sermo/ /*Comp and Awa MAD	70 74b	51.92	175.50	32.52	237,00	98,00a	7.00a	96.25b
Table Hypana/Unitan,Fl2	TIM	/3331	Washonupana	410.07	47.74	173.00b	38.28a	237,00	00*	00.	97,75
1,3518	E E	-1 0	Derived Litain	A 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	50.75	173.25b	42.53a	237,00	00.	00.	98.47
X	ES	73518	Maxy Titan	64.95b	46.91	173,00b	39.03a	237.00	7,50	* 50	00
The control of the co	1		5	, n	[ [	176 37	33.77	237.00	12.97	.92	77.76
F=7			X2/	4	100	1	4 7 ( ) 4		6 47**	6.15**	1.33
S.E.x   S.54   .00   .57   1.02   .00   2.07   .00   2.07   1.97   1   1.05   2.10				2,00 **	00.	23.00	12,50		0 0	10	30
Check variety  Check variety  Check variety  Value for variety comparison  Statistically significant at the .01 level  Statistically significant at the .01 level  Values significantly greater than the check at .05 level  Values significantly less than the check at .05 level  Values significantly less than the check at .05 level			N. M. W.	5.54	00.	.57	T .02	00.	200		000
Check variety  Check variety  Value for variety  Value for variety  Values significant at the .05 level  Statistically significant at the .01 level  Values significantly greater than the check at .05 level  Values significantly less than the check at .05 level				15,55	00.	1.60	2.87	00.	27.63	1.97	1.10
Check variety  Value for variety comparison  Statistically significant at the .05 level  Statistically significant at the .01 level  Values significantly greater than the check at .05 level  Values significantly less than the check at .05 level				6.52	00.	.32	3.03	00.	76.08	76.22	.40
Check variety Value for variety comparison Statistically significant at the .05 level Statistically significant at the .01 level Values significantly greater than the check at Values significantly less than the check at .05											
Value for variety comparison Statistically significant at the .05 level Statistically significant at the .01 level Values significantly greater than the check at Values significantly less than the check at .05	T	Check	variety								
Statistically significant at the .05 level Statistically significant at the .01 level Values significantly greater than the check at Values significantly less than the check at .05	(2)	Value	for variety comparison								
Statistically significant at the .01 level / Values significantly greater than the check at / Values significantly less than the check at .05	-14		tically significant at the .	05 level							
Values significantly greater than the check at .05	-\$t -\$t		tically significant at the .								
Values significantly rest cities sands	اره	Values	significantly greater than the		LC						
	्रो	Values	arguittement tess circ								

Ten year summary of yields for the spring dryland intrastate barley nursery grown at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT 1969-1978. ان Table

956 Parcolaine 64-5 86.2 78.5 68-9 101-9 55.6 94-5 77-0 10 110  3351 Dekap	Variety	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	Ave.	Sta. Years	% Piroline
Joine 50.4 78.7 67.7 57.1 61.8 87.1 61.2 80.8 61.9 86.1 69.5 10 pana ap bath 66.2 81.4 78.7 67.7 57.1 61.8 87.1 61.2 80.8 61.9 81.1 69.5 10 pana 44.9 66.2 81.4 73.4 68.4 62.6 61.4 84.2 43.7 73.5 88.9 82.6 60.2 10 pana 57.8 73.4 68.4 62.6 61.4 84.2 43.7 87.2 55.8 82.9 60.2 10 pana 57.8 73.4 68.4 62.6 61.4 84.2 43.7 87.2 55.8 82.9 60.2 10 pana pana 57.8 75.9 61.4 81.2 57.1 91.4 66.2 10 pana pana pana pana 57.8 75.9 61.4 81.2 57.1 91.4 65.2 10 pana pana pana pana pana pana 57.8 75.9 61.4 81.2 57.1 91.4 65.2 10 pana pana pana pana pana pana pana pan	Unitan	64.5	86.2	8	00	à	5	o,		10	94.5		10	
ap 53.8 74.8 73.4 68.6 63.9 73.4 52.3 80.9 53.8 84.6 68.0 10  beat 44.2 50.3 76.8 49.7 72.7 55.8 82.9 60.2 10  broth 43.1 74.0 77.5 68.1 59.4 80.8 52.1 78.2 56.3 88.7 86.2 10  broth 43.1 74.0 77.5 68.1 59.4 80.8 52.1 78.2 56.3 88.7 86.2 10  broth 43.1 74.0 77.5 68.1 59.4 80.8 52.1 78.2 56.3 88.7 86.2 10  mit ges  mit ges  mit ges  rid  ell  89.6 82.2 83.2 51.0 93.3 67.6 88.3 71.8 7  55.3 80.9 56.3 84.1 71.1 6  55.3 80.9 56.3 86.4 88.9 6  62.1 82.2 51.0 93.0 77.8 4.0 71.1 6  55.3 80.9 56.3 86.4 88.9 6  62.1 82.2 51.0 90.7 63.9 84.1 71.1 6  15.4 72  15.5 72  15.5 87.2 87.3 72  15.5 87.2 87.9 72  15.5 87.9 72  16.5 88.2 83.6 72  17.8 70.0 90.0 90.0 1 1 10  18.74  18.74  18.74  18.74  18.74  18.74  18.77	Piroline	50.4	78.7	7.	7.	-	-	-	0	-	000			
pana 44,9 66.2 58.6 44.2 50.3 76.8 49.7 72.7 55.8 82.9 60.2 10 bet tor bet 57.8 73.4 68.4 62.6 61.4 84.2 43.7 87.2 56.3 88.7 68.4 10 bet 57.8 73.4 68.4 62.6 61.4 84.2 43.7 87.2 56.3 88.7 68.4 10 bet est 57.8 73.4 68.4 62.6 61.4 84.2 43.7 87.2 56.3 88.7 68.4 10 ges mit 70.0 62.9 77.8 44.6 93.3 67.6 86.3 71.8 7 11 6 62.9 77.8 44.6 93.3 67.6 86.3 71.8 7 11 6 62.9 77.8 44.6 93.3 67.6 86.3 71.8 7 11 6 62.9 77.8 44.6 93.3 67.6 86.3 71.8 7 11 6 62.9 77.8 44.6 93.3 67.6 86.3 71.8 7 11 6 62.9 77.8 44.6 93.3 67.6 86.3 71.8 7 11 6 62.9 77.8 44.6 93.3 67.6 86.3 71.8 7 11 6 62.9 77.8 72 82.9 71.8 7 82.5 76.3 86.6 68.9 81.8 6 77.8 72 82.9 71.8 7 82.9 71.8 7 72 82.9 71.8 7 82.9 71.8 7 82.9 71.8 7 82.9 71.8 72.9 72.1 72 82.9 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72	Dekap	53.8	74.8	3	00	(~	- ("	· 0		- ~	200		2 5	
bet too bet to	Compana	6 77	66.2	00	. 7	0	) U	ic		2 1	0 0		2 (	
process of the control of the contro	Ababa +		1 00	. 0			0 -	200	vi i	0	200		10	
process of the control of the contro	unabec unoton	0.0	7.0	0 1	· v	-	4	n		9	88.7		10	
process of the state of the sta	nector	43.1	0.4/		00	6	0	o.	oʻ		91.4		10	
mit fight (100 62.9 77.8 44.6 93.3 67.6 86.3 71.8 7 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	Steptoe			7.	5	6	3	9	10	0	9.96		α	-
Fee Frid Sec. 1 82.2 51.0 96.0 63.1 93.4 74.6 6 10 65.3 86.4 63.5 84.1 71.1 6 6 62.1 82.2 51.0 96.0 63.1 93.4 74.6 6 10 65.3 80.9 56.3 86.4 63.5 84.1 71.1 6 6 62.1 82.6 62.3 86.4 63.5 84.1 71.1 6 6 62.8 82.0 45.4 83.5 62.3 86.4 63.5 84.1 71.1 6 6 62.8 82.0 45.4 83.5 62.3 86.4 63.5 81.8 6 11.8 6 11.8 62.1 82.0 67.1 4 11.8 62.1 82.0 67.1 4 11.8 62.1 82.0 67.1 4 11.8 62.1 82.0 67.1 4 11.8 62.1 82.0 67.1 4 11.8 62.1 82.0 67.1 4 11.8 62.1 82.0 67.1 4 11.8 62.0 82.1 82.0 67.1 4 11.8 62.0 82.1 82.0 67.1 4 11.8 62.0 82.1 82.0 82.1 82.0 82.1 82.0 82.1 82.0 82.1 82.0 82.1 82.0 82.0 82.0 82.0 82.0 82.0 82.0 82.0	Summit				0	oi	1	4	m	7	86.3		7	- 6
Fid S5.3 80.9 56.3 86.4 63.5 84.1 71.1 6  268-70  268-70  268-70  268-70  268-70  26.5 82.0 45.4 83.5 62.3 86.6 68.9 6  268-70  268-70  26.5 90.7 65.4 88.9 81.8 6  27.1 60.5 90.7 62.1 82.0 67.1 4  27.2 87.1 52.4 79.9 73.1 3  28.1 66.5 87.4 75.9 2  28.2 87.4 75.9 2  28.2 87.4 75.9 2  28.2 87.4 75.9 2  28.2 87.4 75.9 2  28.2 87.9 72.5 2  28.3 83.6 70.7 2  28.3 83.6 70.7 2  28.3 83.6 70.7 2  28.3 83.6 70.7 2  28.3 83.6 70.7 2  28.3 83.6 70.7 2  28.3 83.6 70.7 2  28.3 83.6 70.7 2  28.3 83.6 70.7 2  28.3 83.6 70.7 2  28.3 83.6 70.0 56.9 2  1118-74  28.4 94.4 1 10  28.7 78 83.8 89.8 1 10	Klages					o.	S	÷.	vo.	e o	93.4		. 9	$\sim$
Coll	rng					5	0	9	.0	m.	84.1		9	96
268-70 26	Ingrid					m	CV	5	m	oi.	9.98		9	
nel, Cebeco 7291  fileld  45.7 78.7 62.1 82.0 67.1 4 9 9 73.1 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	rurcell		89.6	ci.	m				oi.	10	88.9		9	
rfield bell, Cebeco 7291 rfield articled bell, Cebeco 7291 rfield articled articled bell 87.1 52.4 79.9 73.1 3 5 72.8 76.1 67.7 85.0 76.3 3 5 76.1 67.7 85.0 76.3 3 5 71 89.2 78.3 5 71 89.2 78.3 5 71 89.2 78.3 5 71 89.2 78.3 5 71 89.2 78.3 5 71 89.2 78.3 87.4 75.9 2 70 72.2 5 78.8 83.6 70.7 2 5 72.8 83.6 70.7 2 5 72.8 83.6 70.7 2 5 72.8 83.6 70.7 2 5 72.8 70.0 56.9 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7								0	0	0	85.7		4	
87.1 52.4 79.9 73.1 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		3.1						5	~	o.	82.0		4	
76.1 67.7 85.0 76.3 3 5 11    uet  uet  uet  uet  uet  uet  uet  u	rairileid								7	o.i	6.62		m	
uette ouette total	H DI C		0							7	85.0		m	
ouette  ouette  ouette  ouette  ouette  ouette  ouette  or  ouette  or  ouette  or  ouette  or  ouette  or  ouette  or  or  ouette  ou	Mennet		03.							2	89.5		N	2
61.5 96.9 79.2 2 10  072-72  072-72  072-72  072-72  072-72  072-72  072-72  072-72  072-72  072-72  072-72  072-72  072-72  072-72  073-72  0	Pinonette									.+	87.4		N	0
072-72 57.8 83.6 70.7 2 57.8 83.6 70.7 2 57.2 87.9 72.5 2 57.2 87.9 72.5 2 57.2 87.9 72.5 2 57.2 87.9 72.5 2 57.2 87.9 72.5 2 57.2 87.9 72.5 2 57.8 83.6 70.0 56.9 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	State										6.96		CJ	05
57.8 83.6 70.7 2 57.2 87.9 72.5 2 57.2 87.9 72.5 2 57.2 87.9 72.5 2 57.2 87.9 72.5 2 57.2 87.9 72.5 2 57.2 87.0 56.9 2 77.0 56	VDH 072_72									_:	98.4		CJ	90
57.2 87.9 72.5 2 9  2 v Titan  1 ved Titan  44.8 65.0 54.9 2 7  1-1009  3 610-76  98.2 98.2 1 11  98.4 94.4 1 10  228-72  228-72  118-74  85.6 85.6 1 9	VDH 154=72									-	83.6		N	
Fitan  Y Titan  Y Tit	Blazer										87.9		CJ	
ived Titan  1-1009  3 610-76  3 610-76  98.2 98.2 1 11  94.4 94.4 1 10  91.8 91.8 1 10  228-72  118-74  85.6 85.6 1 9	Waxy Titan										78.5		N	
1-1009 3 610-76 3 610-76 94.4 94.4 1 10 94.4 94.4 1 10 267-72 228-72 1-63/Blazer 1 10 87.2 87.2 1 9 118-74 85.6 85.6 1 9	Derived Titan									.:	65.0		CJ	
98.2 98.2 1 11  96.14 94.4 94.4 1 10  267-72  228-72  228-72  4-63/Blazer  118-74  85.6 85.6 1 9	SDB1-1009									·	70.0		C)	
94.4 94.4 1 10 267-72 228-72 228-72 4-63/Blazer 118-74 85.6 85.6 1 9	N2SB 610-76										98.2		-	-
91.8 91.8 1 10 267-72 228-72 +-63/Blazer 118-74 85.6 85.6 1 9	Kimberly										4.46		<del></del>	07
90.0 90.0 1 10 228-72 89.8 89.8 1 10 87.2 87.2 1 9 118-74 85.6 85.6 1 9											91.8		-	90
89.8 89.8 1 10 87.2 87.2 1 9 118-74 85.6 85.6 1 9											0.06		<del></del>	02
87.2 87.2 1 9 85.6 85.6 1 9	6194-63/Blazer										89.8		-	0
85.6 85.6 1 9	VDH 118-74										87.2		-	
											85.6		-	

4
(con
Table

State No.	Variety	1969 1970	1970	1971	1971 1972 1973 1974	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	Ave.	Years	Priolene
15768	D 25 7											0	.70	1
											0.4°	04.7	_	35.6
MN 25	Morex										83.8	83.8	-	95.1
15549	Manker										200	000		
												000	-	24.3
											25.0	82.9	-	94.1
NA 46176	M2SB 461-76										81.7	81.7	-	00 7
323	Klondike										00		- 7	1 60
7											0.00	000	-	ナ・ー ハ
											79.0	79.0	<del>-</del>	89.7
	VDH 261-70A										78.8	78.8	-	80 4
842148	Sermo/7" Comp Sht Awn Nkd	Awn Mkd									71 7	17 (	- 7	
		7,777									1 . 1	:	_	4.
MI (3331											70.7	70.7	-	80.3
73518	Hypana/Unitan, F12	•									7	S S	-	0 6
												2	_	0.

Agronomic data from the interstate barley yield nursery grown at the Morthwestern Agriculutral Research Center, Kalispell, Mt. in 1978. Field No. Y-2 irrigated. Random block design, four replications. Size of plot: 32 sq. ft. Date harvested: September 19, 1978 Date seeded: May 5, 1978 Table 3.

180.   Variety   Bu/A   Lbs/Pu.   Date   Ht.In.   Date   100   SDB1-1009   107.02a   44.51   189.40b   37.03   262.0	C.I.	I. or		Yield	Test Wt	Heading	Diont	100							
1130 Freja   120.28a   49.51   199.00b 37.03   262.00   6.2   5.0a 4.6   6.0   0.0     10.009 SBBL-1009   107.02a   44.51   199.00b 37.03   262.00   6.2   5.0a 4.6   0.0   0.0     10.1529 Steptoe   106.68a   47.33   180.00b 37.43   262.00   32.0   5.6   5.0   4.0   0.0     10.1529 Steptoe   103.67   24.51   193.00b 37.43   262.00   32.0   5.6   5.0   1.0   0.0     10.1529 Steptoe   103.67   24.51   193.00b 37.43   262.00   32.0   5.6   5.0   1.0   0.0     10.1520 Steptoe   103.67   24.51   193.00b 37.43   262.00   33.0   4.0   21.8   1.6   3.0   0.0     10.2527 VUH 228-72   99.03   45.18   191.00   39.43   262.00   12.0b 2.0   2.0   1.2   0.0     15.252 Steptoe   103.67   24.63   191.00   39.43   262.00   12.0b 2.0   2.0   1.0   0.0     15.252 Steptoe   103.67   24.63   103.60   24.03   262.00   12.0b 2.0   2.0   2.0   0.0     15.252 Steptoe   29.03   49.41   103.00   30.23   262.00   25.8   6.0   1.2   0.0   0.0     15.252 Steptoe   29.03   24.43   24.11   100.00   36.23   262.00   29.0   6.2   7.0   2.0   0.0     15.253 Charact   29.43   49.41   103.00   36.23   262.00   29.0   6.2   7.0   2.0   0.0     15.253 Charact   29.43   49.41   103.00   39.3   262.00   29.0   6.2   7.0   2.0   0.0     15.253 Charact   29.23   24.43   24.45   24.45   24.6   24.6   2.0   0.0     15.253 Charact   29.23   24.44   29.11   20.00   25.00   24.0   24.0   24.0   24.0   24.0   24.0   24.0   24.0     15.254 Charact   29.24   29.04   29.02   29.03   262.00   29.0   6.2   7.0   2.0   24	St	ate No.	Variety	Bu/A	Lbs /Bn	Date	Franc Ut To	Dete	Lod	ging	Sca	ld	P Mi	ldew	ďР
1009   2011-1004   100, 228   49,51   199,40b   37,03   262.00   60.0   6.2   55.0   4.6   6.0   6.5   100,50   1.0   6.0   1.0   6.0	5	7130	( ) ( )		50	2000	nr o TII o	Date	qu	Sev.	ф	Sev.	ďР	Sev.	Plump
15229 Steptoco	J E	0000	reja	120,28a	49.51	189,40b	7.0	62			LC			4	
15229   Steptone   106.58a   47.33   188 0.0b   38.03   252.00   57.0   57.0   57.0   70.0   1.0   6.5   1.0   1.0   6.5   1.0   1.0   6.5   1.0   1.0   6.5   1	5 6	1009	SOOT-TEMS	107.02a	44,51	187,80b	39,83	62			)			an.	93.40
15229 Steptoco	5	16181	Purcell	106.58a	47,33	188.006	38 03	20	9 6	0 1	- 1	0	T.0	9	94.60
11874   Vill III   V	CI	15229	Steptoe	104.36		183 805	0 0	0 0	0.26	2.6	3	4.0	0.8	1.6	92,60
1847   1848   1848   1849	8	11874	VDH 118-74	103.38		200	2 0	70	49.0	2.6	2 0	1.0	°.	0°	97.00
1 15230 Blazer	AD	26170		102 67		100.00	39,43	62	32.0	4	0.9		0.	40°	93.20
15220 Blazer   99.03   97.41   92.47   192.40   37.23   262.00   12.0b   2.0b   2.0   .8   .0   .0   .0   .0   .0   .0	VD	228 72		0000		190.600	36.03	62	33.0	4				00	) V
15472   VDH 154-72   57.41   49.41   188.60b 42.83a   262.00   18.0b 5.2   15.0   .8 6.18a 3.4     26772   VDH 154-72   97.41   99.40b 31.73   262.00   24.0   24.0   2.0b 0.0     3.523   CEBECO 7523   94.49   42.11   180.00b 36.23   262.00   39.0   5.2   0.0   0.0     45672   Ribbert	CI	15230	Blaz	3 0		192.40	37.2	62	12,0b	2	2.0	ω,		40	y c
1312   6194-63/Pilazer   49.41   191.20   37.03   262.00   55.8   6.4   26.0   2.9   0.0	VD	15472	WDH	50.00		188 .60b	42,83	62	18.0b	10	15.0	α	61,00		0 1
13.12   13.12   13.12   13.13   13.1	CIV.	26773		7.41		191,20	37.0	62	55.8	6	0.90		90.40		- 1
Second State   Seco	E72	21102	C104 C2 /22	96.94		190.40b		62	24.0		2000	2 4	,	αn.	93.40
1573   Charlest   1573   Charlest   1573   Charlest   1574   151.20   17.63   262.00   29.0   6.0   7.0	9 8	11012	ory4-03/Blazer	94.49		180.00b		5	20.00		00.00				96.40
5 Pircuette         93.58         47.95         190.20         35.03         262.00         39.0         77.6a         4.6         .0	3 5	1523	CEBECO 7523	94.04		191.20		262.00	0,00	7.0	0.				93.80
1568   1568	۵ ×	Ω	Pirouette	93.58		190.204	י ה	262.00	0.62	0.0	77.6a		0,	90°	93.60
15769   Steve   91.62   43.06   19.00   37.43   262.00   44.0   6.4   .0   .0   2.0   .4   .4   .4   .4   .4   .4   .4	KP.	45672	RPB 456-72	93,33		188 405	0 0	00.202	39.0	6.2	7.0	2.0	0.	90°	92.20b
15769 Glenn   90.40   45.40   40.40   40.43   262.00   33.0   5.4   .0   .0   35.0a   2.4     15887 Kimberly   90.40   49.41   193.00   41.63a   262.00   50.0   5.4   .0   .0   65.2a   4.2a     15887 Kimberly   90.40   49.41   193.00   41.63a   262.00   50.0   5.4   .0   .0   65.2a   4.2a     15887 Kimberly   90.40   49.41   193.00   41.63a   262.00   50.0   7.2   4.0   1.2   9.0   2.2     15814 Hercor   89.09   51.18   189.00   41.63a   262.00   55.0   7.8   60.0a   4.0   .0   .0     15514 Hercor   90.40   87.77   51.08   188.40b   42.03a   262.00   44.0   6.0   24.0   3.0   .0   .0     1578 Park   86.76   47.74   187.60b   42.23a   262.00   45.0   6.4   43.0a   4.6   .0   .0     15549 Manker   84.52   49.41   186.60b   42.23a   262.00   45.0   6.4   43.0a   4.4   .0   .0     1578 Park   85.75   46.91   185.60b   42.23a   262.00   45.0   6.4   43.0a   4.4   .0   .0     1579 NDH 072-72   83.74   49.41   186.60b   42.23a   262.00   45.0   6.0   4.4   .0   .0   .0     1578 Morea   84.52   49.00   185.00b   38.23   262.00   45.0   6.0   4.4   .0   .0     1588 461-76   82.86   46.18   190.40b   38.83   262.00   47.0   6.6   16.0   1.8   .0   .0     1588 461-76   82.86   46.18   190.40b   37.83   262.00   47.0   6.8   6.0   2.4   7.2   2.0     1688 461-76   80.80   49.41   188.80b   37.83   262.00   47.0   6.8   6.2   3.8   0.0   .0     1588 461-76   80.80   49.41   188.80b   37.83   262.00   47.0   6.6   14.0   0.0     1588 461-76   80.80   49.41   188.80b   37.83   262.00   67.0   67.0   4.6   1.0   0.0     1588 461-76   80.80   49.41   188.80b   37.83   262.00   67.0   67.0   4.6   1.0   0.0     1589 Morea   74.38   46.81   184.80b   37.83   262.00   67.	ID	702378	Steve	97.62		100.400		262.00	44.0	6.4	0,	0	2.0	η.	93.40
15687   Kimberly   90.40   49.41   193.80   41.63a   262.00   5.4   .0   .0   65.2a   4.2a   1.24/8   Kiages   99.84   44.83   191.40   39.53   262.00   48.0   7.2   4.0   1.2   9.0   2.2   3.0   14.0   .0   90.40   90.40   99.84   44.83   191.40   39.53   262.00   45.0   5.8   19.0   3.0   14.0   .0   .0   .0   .0   .0   .0   .0	CI	15769	Glenn	90.53		104 001		262,00	33.0	5.4	0,	0.	35.0a		04.00
15514   Hector   159.00   191.40   39.63   262.00   48.0   7.2   4.0   1.2   9.0   2.2     15514   Hector   159.00   211.8   199.00   38.93   262.00   45.0   55.0   19.0   3.0   14.0   .0     15514   Hector   159.00   211.8   199.00   211.8   262.00   44.0   6.0   24.0   3.0   14.0   .0     15514   Hector   159.00   211.8   189.00   211.8   262.00   44.0   6.0   24.0   3.0   14.0   .0     15514   Hector   29.04   51.39   187.60   41.83a   262.00   44.0   6.0   24.0   3.0   .0     1556   Park   1576   Park   185.75   46.91   185.60   42.23a   262.00   45.0   4.4   6.0   24.0   3.0   .0     1557   Manker   25.75   46.91   185.60   41.33   262.00   42.0   3.8   10.0   1.6   .0     1558   Manker   25.75   46.91   185.60   41.33   262.00   42.0   3.8   10.0   1.6   .0     10083   Ingrida   10083   Ingrida   190.80   38.23   262.00   42.0   6.6   1.0   1.6   .0     10083   Ingrida   190.80   35.83   262.00   42.0   6.6   1.0   1.6   .0     10083   Ingrida   190.80   35.83   262.00   42.0   6.6   1.0   1.6   .0     10083   Ingrida   190.80   35.83   262.00   42.0   42.0   6.6   1.0   1.6   .0     10083   Ingrida   190.80   35.83   262.00   42.0   42.0   42.0   1.6   .0   .0     10083   Ingrida   190.80   35.83   262.00   42.0	CI	15687	Kimberly	90.40		103 00 T		262,00	50.0	5.4	0.	0.	65.2a	4.29	00.96
3   Hennet   89.09   51.18   189.00b   38.93   262.00   45.0   5.8   19.0   3.0   4.0   .0   .0   .0   .0   .0   .0	CI	15478	Klages	V 0 0 0		193.80	41.63	262,00	48.0		4.0	1.2	0	2 2	000
15514   Hector   151.08   187.60b   41.83a   262.00   64.0   7.6   6.0   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .6   .8   .2   .6   .9   .8   .2   .6   .9   .9   .8   .2   .9   .9   .8   .9   .9   .9   .9   .9	VD	3	Henuet	10000		191.40		262,00	45.0	5,8	19.0	3.0	0.01	* 6	00.50
1576   Numit   187,00   41.83a   262.00   64.0   7.6   6.0   8   2   6   6   6   6   6   6   6   6   6	CI	15514	Hector	0000		189 .00b	38.93	262,00	55.0	7.8	60.0a	4.0			02.50
729 Summit   86.76   47.74   188.40b   42.03a   262.00   44.0   6.0   24.0   3.0   2.0   6.0	NA	61076	N2SB 610-76	27.78		187,60b	41.83a	262.00	64.0	7.6	0.9	0			95.80
323 Klondike 85.78 49.41 186.60b 38.63 262.00 57.0 6.4 43.0a 4.6 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	MT	729	Summit	71. 70		188 .40b	42.03a	262,00	44.0	0.9	24.0	3.0			93.40
15768   Park   85.75   46.91   186.60b   42.23a   262.00   45.0   4.4	IB	323	W. Dandiko	9/ * 00		187.60b	38,63	262.00	57.0	V 9	13.00	2 5	0 0		24.50
15549   Manker   84.52   46.91   185.60b   43.43a   262.00   22.0b   3.8b   10.0   1.6   .0   .0b   .0b   .7272   VDH 072-72   83.74   49.41   190.80b   38.23   262.00   44.0   6.6   .0   .0   .0   .0b   .0b	CI	15768	Dark	82.78		186.60b	42,23	262,00	45.0	A A	20.0	0 0	9 9	900	91.40b
10083   Ingrid=1	C	15510		85.75		185,60b	43	262.00	22 Oh	40		2 '	es. To	, 4a	95.80
755 Cornel Cebeco 7291 83.74 49.41 190.80b 38.23 262.00 69.0 8.0 56.0a 4.4 .0 93.0a 5.6a 10083 Ingrid   2674 VDH 046-74 82.83 53.59 190.00b 38.23 262.00 69.0 8.0 56.0a 4.4 .0 .0 b   2674 VDH 046-74 82.83 53.59 190.60b 35.83b 262.00 56.0 7.2 6.0 2.4 7.2 2.0   726 Lud   82.56 46.18 190.40b 37.63 262.00 47.0 4.6 94.8a 6.2a .0 .0b   506 Fairfield   80.05 48.68 188.80b 37.83 262.00 67.0 6.6 16.0 1.0 .0 .0b   25 Morex   74.38 46.81 184.80b 43.83a 262.00 61.0 6.8 4.0 .8 69.0a 4.0a   13827 Shabet   73.99 47.43 190.40b 38.43 262.00 63.0 6.8 1.0 .6 13.0 2.6	D D	72.72	When or an	84.52		185.00b	41	262.00	42.0	20.0	0.01	7 °0		90°	96.20
10083 Ingridation /291 83.49 49.62 190.00b 38.23 262.00 44.0 6.8 16.0 1.8 .0 .0b 4674 VDH 046-74 82.83 53.59 190.60b 35.83 262.00 56.0 7.2 6.0 2.4 7.2 2.0 7.6 Lud 82.83 53.59 190.60b 35.83b 262.00 56.0 7.2 6.0 2.4 7.2 2.0 7.2 Lud 82.56 46.18 190.40b 37.63 262.00 47.0 4.6 94.8a 6.2a .0 .0b 506 Fairfield 80.05 48.68 188.80b 37.83 262.00 67.0 6.6 16.0 4.0 1.0 .0b 25 Morex 74.38 46.81 184.80b 43.83a 262.00 61.0 6.8 4.0 .8 69.0a 4.0a 1.4 138.27 Shabet 73.99 47.43 190.40b 38.43 262.00 63.0 6.8 1.0 .6 13.0 2.6	M	755				190.80b	38	262.00	0.69		0.0		$\sim$	· ea	96.20
4674 VDH 046-74 82.83 53.59 192.60 38.83 262.00 56.0 7.2 6.0 2.4 7.2 2.0 7.0 Lud  82.83 53.59 190.60b 35.83b 262.00 56.0 7.2 6.0 2.4 7.2 2.0 7.2 Lud  82.56 46.18 190.40b 37.63 262.00 47.0 4.6 94.8a 6.2a .0 .0b 506 Fairfield  80.05 48.68 188.80b 37.83 262.00 67.0 6.6 16.0 4.0 1.0 .0b 1.4 188.7 Shabet  73.99 47.43 190.40b 38.43 262.00 63.0 6.8 4.0 .8 69.0a 4.0a	C	10083				190.00L	38.23	262.00	0. 77		20.00	7 • 7	0.		93,00
726 Lud 82.83 53.59 190.60b 35.83b 262.00 33.0 3.6b 50.8a 3.8 7.2 2.0 726 Lud 82.56 46.18 190.40b 37.63 262.00 47.0 4.6 94.8a 6.2a .0 .0b 506 Fairfield 80.05 48.68 188.80b 37.83 262.00 67.0 6.0 4.0 1.0 .0 .0b 25 Morex 74.38 46.81 184.80b 43.83a 262.00 61.0 6.8 4.0 .8 69.0a 4.0a 13.0 2.6	5	4674	TOPE OAC 24	82,90		192.60	38,83	262.00		0 0	0,01	F. 8	0	40°	00.96
46176 NZSB 461-76 80.80 49.41 188.00b 37.63 262.00 47.0 4.6 94.8a 6.2a .0 .0b 506 Fairfield 80.05 48.68 188.80b 37.83 262.00 67.0 6.6 16.0 4.0 1.0 .0b 25 Morex 74.38 46.81 184.80b 43.83a 262.00 61.0 6.8 4.0 .8 69.0a 4.0a 1.4 73.99 47.43 190.40b 38.43 262.00 63.0 6.8 1.0 .6 13.0 2.6	FIL	7 7 7	VDH 046-/4	82.83	.59	190,60b	35.83h	262.00	2 0	7:0	0.0	2.4	7.2	0.	95.80
506 Fairfield 80.80 49.41 188.00b 38.43 262.00 4.6 94.8a 6.2a .0 .0b 506 Fairfield 80.05 48.68 188.80b 37.83 262.00 67.0 6.6 16.0 4.6 16.0 1.4 13827 Shabet 73.99 47.43 190.40b 38.43 262.00 63.0 6.8 4.0 .8 69.0a 4.0a	MA	07/	Png Png	82.56	.18	190.40b	37.63	262.00	33.0	3.6b	0	3,8	0,	0	96.40
25 Morex 74.38 46.81 184.80b 37.83 262.00 67.0 6.6 16.0 4.6 1.0 .0 .0b 91 25 Morex 74.38 46.81 184.80b 43.83a 262.00 61.0 6.8 4.0 .8 69.0a 4.0a 95 73.99 47.43 190.40b 38.43 262.00 63.0 6.8 1.0 .6 13.0 2.6 92	G K	0/10	MZSB 461-76	80,80	.41	188.00b	38 43	-	0.74			6,2a	0.		94.40
13827 Shabet 73.99 47.43 190.40b 38.43 262.00 63.0 6.8 16.0 4.6 16.0 1.4 94	TW	200	Fairfield	80.05	.68	188.80b	27.0		07.70			1,0	0.	d0.	91.40b
1382/ Shabet 73,99 47.43 190.40b 38,43 262.00 63.0 6.8 4.0 .8 69.0a 4.0a 95.	MI C	12001	Morex	74.38	.81	184.80b	43.8	00.202	67.0	9.9	16.0		16.0		94.40
202.00 63.0 6.8 1.0 .6 13.0 2.6 93	j	T387/	Shabet	73,99	.43	190.40b	28.05	202,000	61.0			တ		4.0a	L.
-							*	707	63.0			9.			, ,

Table 3 . (con't)

C.I. or		Yield	Test Wt	Heading	Plant	Maturity	Lodging	ing	Scald	14	p Mildew	dew	d
State No.	. Variety	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu.	Date	Ht.In.	Date	40	Sev.	qp	Sev.	ರೂ	Sev.	Plump
MT 73518	8 Hypana/Unitan'F12	72,80	47.12	184.60b	42.83a	262.00	49.0	6.2	C	C	44 03	0 V	00 90
CI 9558		71,39	48.63	187,60b	39.23	262.00	57.0	. 60	24.0	0 00		2 · C	00.00
ES	1 Derived Titan	69,73	46.81	184,20b	39.82	262,00	40.0	4.2	1.0	0.1	31.08	ο α	95.00
		67.83	45.04	183,60b	38.23	262.00	0.69	9.9	23.0	2 8	0		מייים מייים
		65,19	49,20	191.40	39,43	262,00	8.97	8.0	96.48	7.0a	0	00°	86.60b
		64.10	45.04	189,00b	31.02b	262,00	77.0	8.0	23.0	1.8	1.0	9.	95.80
CI 3351	troten.	63,63	45.45	189,40b	32.82b	262,00	89.0a	7.8	0,8	1.6	4.0	9	91.80h
	1 Unitan	62,57	45.76	184.60b	38.23	262.00	47.0	5.0	0.	0	20.0	2.0	95.60
		52,34b	52,33	186.20b	33.23b	262,00	82.0	7.4	3.0	2.0	0.01		89 805
MT 842148	8 Sermo/7*Comp SHT								•	2		1	•
	AWN MKD	51.83b	52.95	187.60b	32.78b	262.00	87.0	7.2	14.0	2.2	2.0	8	88,80b
	1												
	X 2.	3 07**	48	188.28	m	262.00	50.06	5,94	20.76	2.14	-	1.20	(7)
	S.E.S.	8.26	00.	.52		00.	11.68	1.68 1.15	10 32	*4.01 FF		8.51**5.47**	
	L.S.D05:23.14	5.23,14	00.	1,45	2.62	00.	32.70	3,23	28.89	2.60	22.87	1.86	3,49
	#P ** > ** > ** > ** > ** > ** > ** > **	9.72	00.	.27	2,44	00*	23,33	19.42	49.68	43,46	57.58	55.11	1.32

1/ Check variety
2/ Value for variety comparison
a/ Values significantly greater than the check at the .05 level
b/ Values significantly less than the check at the .05 level
\* Statistically significant at the .05 level
\*\* Statistically significant at the .01 level

Ten year summary of yields for the spring irrigated intrastate barley nursery grown at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT 1969-1978 4 Table

CT	or.															
State	Le e	Variety	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1976	1977	1978	AVA	Sta.	Trania	I
CI	10421	Unitan			0	N	CA	1.9	15.	7.0	3	9	00 7	100	101 1	
C	9558	Piroline	93,3		4	0	m	1.7	05.		2	7	000	2 5	- 0	
3 5	10083	Ingrid	9		5	4.	5	1.2	6	6.6	0	0	000	2 0	0.00	
J 5	5438	Compana	63.4		0	71.	3	7.9	89	00.			70.3	2 0	78.0	
35	10014	Hector		82.1	62,4	101.6	0	6.0	089	8 2	6.9	0.6	82.5	0	0.70	
3 5	12007	Shabet				93.	0		15.	7.8	0.1	0.	82.2	0	2.00	
J ≥	7007	Steptoe				9	111.3	4.76	145.7	92.4	105.3	104.4	110.4		122.3	
: 5	16181	Puncell					4.	6.5	14.	3.6	1.7	8.0	88.4	. 9	0	
I L	15478	KIDS SO			2.10	106.9	4			2.1	3.7	9.9	0.06	9	Õ	
I	726	Lud						87.0	114.8	0.7	0.	89	93.3	Ŋ	105.6	
CI	3351	Dekan						2.0	<u>0</u>	0	0	9.5	7.76	IJ	7	
MI	756	RPB 268-70						•	œ.			3.6	75.0	2	84.9	
M	755	Cornel, Cebeco 7201								2.0	0.	.5	81.1	m	0	
AT	506	Fairfield								0.0	4.	5.	90.3	m	112.5	
RP	45672	RPB 456-72								m .	0.	.1	80.8	m	ŏ	
ND VD	m	Menuet								0	1.7	m.	90,3	m	-	
A	702378	Steve									4.0		97.6	N	7	
VD	Ŋ	Pirouette									0	9.	98.2	CJ	17	
ND ND	15472	VDH 154-72									0	9.0	89.5	CJ	10	
VD	7272	VDH 072-72								1	6.	. 4.	00.2	N	-	
CI	7130	ja									1.	2.7	7.06	N	106.1	
ES	CU	Waxy Titan									0.	m,	9.70	N	C	
ES	1	Derived Titan									•	0	74.47	N	87.1	
CI	15230	Blazer									9	.7	74.2	N	8.98	
IU	1009	SDB1-1009									9.	0.66	ထ	N	03	
ND ND	11874	VDH 118-74									-	07.0	07	_	23	
ND ND	26170	VDH 261-70 A									-	03.4	03	_	24	
M	22872										_	02.7 1	02	-	123.9	
ND ND	26772	VDH 267-72										6	6.66	<b>-</b>	20	
MA	11312	6194-63/Blazer										6.	6.96	-	16	
	7523	Cebeco 7523										5	94.5	<del></del>	14	
CI	15769											0	0.46	-	13	
CI	15687	Kimberly									=00	ů.	90.5	-	109.2	
												4.	4.06	_	60	

Table 4 . (con't)

7.I. or													245	70
State No.	Variety	1968	1968 1969	1970	197.1	1972	1973	1974	1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1976 1977	1977	1978	Ave.	Years	Ingrid
A 61076	N2SB 610-76										0	t C		
											0.	0.00	-	105.9
1	Donly										82.8	85.8	<del>-</del>	103.5
											85.8	85.8	<del>-</del>	103.5
											84.5	84.5	<b>-</b>	101.9
1017											85.8	82.8	-	6.66
MN 45.16	elo.de.										80.8	80.8	-	97.5
											74.4	74.4	_	89.8
73331											72.8	72.8	-	87.8
		CAN:									52,3	52,3	-	63.1
	ONLY THE QUICE. LOWER WILL	I INVD									2	510	*	13

Table 5 . Agronomic data from the irrigated off station spring barley nursery grown at the Bill Strange farm, Stevensville, MT in 1978.

Date seeded: April 26, 1978

Date harvested: August 26, 1978

Size of plot: 32 sq. ft.

	I. or		Yield	Test Wt	Maturity	Plant	Lodgi	ng	e e
Sta	ate No.	Variety	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu.	Date	Ht.In.	8	Sev.	Plump
MB	323	Klondike	92.33	53.19	238.00	31.27	92.25a	3.00a	96.25
CI	10083	Ingrid <sup>±</sup>	86.75	54.60	238.00	30.77	.00	.00	96.50
ID	702378	Steve	86.27	49.08	238.00	28.27	.00	.00	97.00
CI	7130	Freja	85.54	54.34	238.00	30.52	.00	.00	96.50
CI	15229	Steptoe	85.28	55.00	238.00	25.02b	.00	.00	97.50
MT	729	Summit	83.94	54.89	238.00	29.02	.00	.00	96.50
CI	15478	Klages	81.15	53.84	238.00	29.77	.00	.00	95.50
CI	15514	Hector	80.05	54.26	238.00	31.02	.00	.00	95.50
CI	10421	Unitan	77.82	50.40	238.00	27.77	2.50	.75a	96.75
CI	16181	Purcell	77.58	53.37	238.00	27.77	.00	.00	96.25
CI	15687	Kimberly	77.58	52.23	238.00	32.52	.00	.00	95.50
CI	9558	Piroline	76.84	52.31	238.00	28.52	.00	.00	97.75
$\mathbf{T}$	506	Fairfield	73.66	54.39	238.00	28.02	.00	.00	96.25
CI	15549	Manker	67.65	53.69	238.00	29.02	.00	.00	96.75
	- x <sub>2</sub> /		80.87	53.31	238.00	29.23	6.77	.27	96.46
	FE/		.92	1.32	.00	2.18	580.33**	12.01**	1.50
	S.E.x		6.60	1.41	.00	1.30	1.02	.23	.56
	L.S.D.	(.05)	18.90	4.04	.00	3.71	2.92	.67	1.60
	C.V. %		8.17	2.65	.00	4.44	15.10	87.42	.58

<sup>1/</sup> Check Variety 2/ Value for variety comparison

a/ Significantly greater than the check at the .05 level

b/ Significantly less than the check at the .05 level \* Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level

<sup>\*\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level

Table 6 . Agronomic data from the irrigated off station spring barley nursery grown at the Art Mangles farm, Polson, MT in 1978. Random block design, four replications.

Date seeded: May 9, 1978

Date harvested: September 14, 1978

Size of plot: 32 sq. ft.

	C.I. or		Yield	Test Wt	Maturity	Plant	Lodgi	ng	8
Sta	ate No.	Variety	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu.	Date	Ht.In.	8	Sev.	Plump
TI	729	Summit	36.33a	51.08	257.00	21.02	.00	.00	96.75
AT	506	Fairfield	35.77a	50.35	257.00	22.02a	12.50	1.75	98.00a
CI	15478	Klages	35.5la	49.94	257.00	21.26	.00	.00	95.75
CI	7130	Freja	34.91a	50.15	257.00	19.76	.00	-00	97.25a
CI	15229	Steptoe	34.69a	46.39	257.00	21.52	27.50	3.00	97.00
CI	15687	Kimberly	34.06a	49.00	257.00	21.52	.00	.00	93.50b
CI	10421	Unitan	32.75	46.39	257.00	22.02a	65.00a	6.50a	95.00
CI	9558	Piroline	32.75	51.50	257.00	23.02a	.00	.00	97.50a
B	323	Klondike	32.56	48.58	257.00	22.02a	95.00a	9.00a	95.75
D	702378	Steve	30.21	45.66	257.00	19.51	20.00	2.00	97.00
ΞI	15549	Manker	29.65	48.27	257.00	23.27a	81.25a	7.50a	97.25a
I	10083	Ingrid1/	29.57	50.04	257.00	19.51	.00	.00	95.50
ΞI	16181	Purcell	29.29	50.56	257.00	19.51	.00	.00	97.50a
CI	15514	Hector	27.01	50.04	257.00	22.26a	.00	.00	95.00
	2	2/	32,51	49.14	257.00	21.30	21.52	2.13	96.34
	I	_	3.9200	.00	.00	2.95**	11.05**	8.91**	5.03**
	5	S.E.X	1.47	.00	.00	.75	10.11	1.07	.57
	I	.S.D.(.05)	4.20	.00	.00	2.14	28.89	3.06	1.63
	(	.V. %	4.52	.00	.00	3.51	46.98	50.31	.59

<sup>1/</sup> Check Variety

<sup>2/</sup> Value for variety comparison a/ Significantly greater than the check at the .05 level

b/ Significnatly less than the check at the .05 level

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level

<sup>\*\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level

Table  $\underline{7}$ . Agronomic data from the irrigated off station spring barley nursery grown at the Harvey Clouse farm, Missoula, MT in 1978. Random block design, four replications.

Date seeded: April 26, 1978 Date harvested: August 26, 1978 Size of plot: 32 sq. ft.

	I. or ate No.	Variety	Yield	Test Wt	Maturity		Lod	ging	<u>8</u>
	ACC IVOS	variety	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu.	Date	Ht. In.	용	Sev.	Plump
CI CI MT CI CI CI CI CI CI CI CI CI MB	15229 15514 729 7130 1568 7 10421 506 9558 10083 15478 702378 16181 15549 323	Steptoe Hector Summit Freja Kimberly Unitan Fairfield Piroline Ingrid Klages Steve Purcell Manker Klondike	117.07a 107.53 107.02 103.99 102.78 100.63 99.23 95.14 94.65 93.39 92.20 91.18 75.87b 75.42b	50.95b 55.64 55.43 54.86 54.10 52.12b 55.25 55.38 54.76 54.81 51.08 54.52 54.18 54.58	238.00 238.00 238.00 238.00 238.00 238.00 238.00 238.00 238.00 238.00 238.00 238.00 238.00	34.27b 34.77b 33.77b 32.77b 37.03 38.28 34.53b 35.78 37.03 35.53 34.27b 32.27b 38.53 39.78a	20.00 69.50a 69.50a 54.50 .00 44.75 74.25a 79.25a 20.00 .00 62.00 .00 69.50a	1.00 2.00 2.00 2.50 .00 1.50 2.00 4.25a 1.25 .00 .00 1.75 .00	97.50 98.97 97.00 97.25 96.50 97.25 97.97 98.72 97.67 98.00 97.75 97.00 97.50 97.25
	L.	E.X S.D.(.05) V. %	96.87 6.44*** 4.52 12.89 4.66	54.10 24.29** .32 .90 .59	238.00 .00 .00 .00	35.62 8.4200 .77 2.20 2.16	40.23 4.42** 15.17 43.37 37.72	1.52 4.55** .59 1.70 39.19	97.60 1.90 .49 1.39

<sup>1/</sup> Check variety 2/ Value for variety comparison

a/ Values significantly greater than the check at the .05 level

b/ Values significantly less than the check at the .05 level
\* Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level

<sup>\*\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level

Table 8. Summary of yield and test weight data from irrigated spring barley varieties grown at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center and off-station plots in Lake, Missoula and Ravalli Counties in 1978.

	C.I. or				ld (bu	/a)			Test W	eight	(lbs/b	u)
Sta	ate No.	Variety	1/	2/	3/	4/	Ave.	1/	2/	3/	4/	Ave.
MB		Klondike	85.78	92.33	32.56	75.42	71.52	49.41	51.19	48.58	54.58	50.94
CI		Ingrid	82.90	86.75	29.57	94.65	73.47			50.04		
ID	702378		91.62	86.27	30.21	92.20	75.08			45.66		
CI	7130	Freja	120.28	85.54	34.91	103.99	86.18			50.15		
CI		Steptoe	104.36	85.28	34.69	117.07	85.35			46.39		
MT		Summit	86.78	83.94	36.33	107.02	78.52			51.08		
CI	15478	Klages	89.84	81.15	35.51	93.39	74.97			49.94		
CI	15514	Hector	89.04	80.05	27.01	107.53	75.91			50.04		
CI	10421	Unitan	62.57	77.82	32.75	100.63	68.44			46.39		
CI	16181	Purcell	106.58	77.58	29.29	91.18	76.16			50.56		
CI	15687	Kimberly	90.40	77.58	34.06	102.78	76.21			49.00		
CI		Piroline	71.39	76.84	32.75	95.14	69.03			51.50		
AT	506	Fairfield	80.05	73.66	35.77	99.23	72.18			50.35		
CI	15549	Manker	84.52	67.65	29.65	75.87	64.42			48.27		

Table 9. Summary of height and percent plump data from irrigated spring barley varieties grown at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center and off-station plots in Lake, Missoula and Ravalli Counties in 1978.

C.	. or			Hei	ght (i	nches)		% Plump				
Sta	ate No.	Variety	1/	2/	3/	4/	Ave.	1/	2/	3/	4/	Ave.
MB	323	Klondike	42.23	31.27	22.02	39.78	33.83	95.80	96.25	95.75	97.25	96.26
CI	10083	Ingrid	38.83	30.77	19.51	37.03	31.54	95.80	96.50	95.50	97.67	96.37
ID	702378	Steve	37.43	28.27	19.51	34.27	29.87	95.60	97.00	97.00	97.75	96.84
CI	7130	Freja	37.03	30.52	19.76	32.77	30.02	93.40	96.50	97.25	97.25	96.10
CI	15229	Steptoe	37.43	25.02	21.52	34.27	29.56	97.00	97.50	97.00	97.50	97.25
MT	729	Summit	38.63	29.02	21.02	33.77	30.61	91.40	96.50	96.75	97.00	95.41
CI	15478	Klages	39.63	29.77	21.26	35.53	31.55	93.40	95.50	95.75	98.00	95.66
CI	15514	Hector	41.83	31.02	22.26	34.77	32.47	93.40	95.50	95.00	98.97	95.72
CI	10421	Unitan	38.23	27.77	22.02	38.28	31.58	95.60	96.75	95.00	97.25	96.15
CI	16181	Purcell	38.03	27.77	19.51	32.27	29.40	92.60	96.25	97.50	97.00	95.84
CI	15687	Kimberly	41.63	32.52	21.52	37.03	33.18	93.60	95.50	93.50	96.50	94.78
CI	9558	Piroline	39.23	28.52	23.02	35.78	31.64	93.60	97.75	97.50	98.72	96.89
AT	506	Fairfield	37.83	28.02	22.02	34.53	30.60	94.40	96.25	98.00	97.97	96.66
CI	15549	Manker	41.23	29.02	23.27	39.78	33.33			97.25		

<sup>1/</sup> Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell

<sup>2/</sup> Ravalli County

<sup>3/</sup> Lake County

<sup>4/</sup> Missoula County

Table 10 . Agronomic data from the Hector/Klages dryland nursery grown at the Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT in 1978. Field No. Y-2. Random block design, four replications.

Date seeded: May 5, 1978

Date harvested: August 28, 1978

Plot size; 32 sq. ft.

C.I.	or		Yield	Test Mt.	Heading	Height	ş
Stat	e No.	Variety	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu.	Date	Inches	Plump
CI	15229	Steptoe1/	129.39	48.15	185.00	36.00	98.00
CI	15478	Klages	119.24	52.48a	193.33a	40.67a	99.00
T 5	47276	Hector/Klages	114.27	52.17a	193.00a	41.33a	98.67
T 5	47123	Hector/Klages	110.42	52.37a	189.67a	40.00a	99.33
T 5	47270	Hector/Klages	107.80b	50.51a	192.00a	38.33	99.00
T 5	47103	Hector/Klages	107.67b	51.10a	193.67a	43.33a	97.00
IT 5	47255	Hector/Klages	104.40b	52.00a	188.67a	41.67a	97.67
IT 5	47234	Hector/Klages	104.23b	52.96a	191.33a	41.67a	98.67
T 5	47354	Hector/Klages	103.97b	51.82a	188.67a	41.67a	98.33
T 5	47143	Hector/Klages	102.78b	52.27a	191.67a	41.33a	99.00
CI.	15514	Hector	101.91b	52.82a	139.00a	41.00a	97.67
T 5	47125	Hector/Klages	97.49b	52.24a	190.00a	39.33	99.33
IT 5	47236	Hector/Klages	95.98b	51.89a	192.67a	38.00	97.33
IT 5	47263	Hector/Klages	95.72b	51.99a	189.67a	38.67	97.33
I 5	47242	Hector/Klages,	% 95.13b	52.34a	189.67a	39.67a	98.33
IT 5	47316	Hector/Klages	90.03b	52.55a	188.67a	40.33a	97.67
			105.03	51.85	190.42	40.19	98.27
		FZ/	2.120	6.6200	16.28**	2.04%	1.44MS
		S .E. x	6.84	.45	.57	1.27	.64
		L.S.D.(.05)	19.76	1.30	1.63	3.66	1.83
		C.V. %	6.52	.87	.30	3.15	.65

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{1}{2}$ / Check variety  $\frac{1}{2}$ / F-value for variety comparison

a/ Value significantly greater than the check (.05) level.

b/ Value significantly less than the check (.05) level.
\* Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level.

Table 11 . Agronomic data for the Hector/Klages irrigated nursery grown at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, NT in 1978. Field No. Y-2. Random block design, four replications.

Date seeded: May 4, 1978 Plot size; 32 sq. ft.

Date harvested: August 28, 1978

C.I. or State No.	Variety	Yield Bu/A	Test Wt. Lbs/Bu.	Heading Date	Height Inches	% Plump
MT 547270 MT 547263 CI 15478 CI 15514 MT 547234 CI 15229 MT 547276 MT 547125 MT 547242 MT 547354 MT 547236 MT 547236 MT 547255 MT 547238	Hector/Klages Hector/Klages Klages Hector Hector/Klages Steptoe Hector/Klages	100.91 100.39 98.79 98.01 96.91 96.24 96.22 93.66 91.80 91.69 91.61 91.26 89.98 89.86 85.25	52.57a 52.00a 52.96a 53.12a 53.56a 48.00 52.24a 53.01a 52.96a 52.36a 52.75a 52.94a 52.94a 52.65a 52.36a 53.48a	191.50a 188.25a 192.25a 189.00a 190.50a 185.00 192.00a 190.50a 189.50a 189.50a 189.50a 192.75a 189.25a 191.50a 193.00a 189.25a	40.25a 38.00 38.75 42.00a 39.25a 34.25 39.00a 38.50 37.50 40.00a 37.50 38.25 37.25 38.50 36.75	99.50a 97.50 96.50 97.50 98.25 97.25 96.75 98.00 97.50 97.75 98.75 97.75
AT 547316	Hector/Klages	77.54	53.17a	189.50a	38.00	97.50
	x F2/ S.E.x L.S.D. (.05) C.V. %	93.14 .43NS 9.11 25.95 9.78	52.51 9.26 .42 1.20 .80	190.14 15.12** .53 1.50	38.36 1.15NS 1.58 4.51 4.13	97.75 1.30NS .67 1.89

<sup>1/</sup> Check variety
2/ F-value for variety comparison

a/ Value significantly greater than the check at the .05 level.

b/ Value significantly less than the check at the .05 level.

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level.

Winter Barley

PROJECT:

Small Grains Investigations MS756

YEAR:

1978

PERSONNEL:

Leader - Vern R. Stewart Technician - Todd Keener

Cooperators - Feed Crops Committee, MSU

LOCATION:

Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell

**OBJECTIVES:** 

- 1. To determine the adaptability of new and introduced barley lines for western Montana.
- 2. To select from the world population new promising lines for use in western Montana.

#### 1978 EXPERIMENTS:

- 1. Uniform Winter Barley Nursery (Hardy Varieties)
- 2. Western Winter Barley Nursery

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

# 1. Uniform Winter Barley Nursery (Hardy Varieties) -

This year winter barley yields were extremely low. This is believed to be due to the high incidence of snow mold and extreme wind erosion in the spring. The highest yield for the Uniform Nursery was 29.7 bu/a which was Michigan 69-534-8. The check variety was Hudson which yielded 22.6 bu/a. The overall mean yield was 18.0 bu/a. Five varieties had yields significantly less than the check, the lowest being 3.9 bu/a for OK 77401. The test weights obtained did not vary from the expected. The mean was 48 lbs/bu.

Slight lodging was seen in the varieties PA 76-49 and Post. Winter survival ranged from 4% in the OK 77401 variety to 59% in Michigan 69-534-8.

Winter kill was so severe in two varieties that no test weight data could be obtained. The mean survival for the test was 26.8%.

Table 2 gives a summary of yields for winter barley nurseries grown at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center from 1968 to 1978, excluding 1973. Hudson is used as a check. There are no varieties which equal Hudson over the 10 year period.

# 2. Western Winter Barley Nursery -

Yields were very low due to snow mold and wind erosion. The highest yield 36.8 bu/a was obtained from 73 Ab169 and the lowest of 18.6 bu/a from WA 2196-68. WA 2464-70 and WA 2196-68 and Ackerman's 989 yielded significantly less than the check (31.3 bu/a). Test weights were slightly higher but did not vary greatly between varieties. The mean test weight was 50.2 lbs/bu. Three varieties headed at a significantly earlier date than the check. These were Hudson, Kamiak and NY 6005-18. Winter survival percentage ranged from 14% (WA 2196-68) to 68% (73 Ab489). The mean was 33% and the check variety, Alpine, had a survival rating of 44%. Three varieties were significantly greater in survival than the check. Nine were significantly less. Table 3.

Table \_\_\_\_\_. Agronomic data from the Uniform Winter Barley Nursery grown at Kalispell, MT in 1978. Random block design, four replications. Field No. R-6a. Date harvested: August 1, 1978 Date seeded: September 21, 1977 Size of plot: 44 sq. ft.

Variety	Yield Bu/A	Test Wt Lbs/Bu.	Heading Date	Plant Ht.In.	Lodging %	% Survival
Maury (VA 70-44-213)	22.9	47.1	160	25	1.00	25
MO B2126	20.1	50.9	160	30	.00	45a
MO 2487	20.4	47.5	157	22	.00	39
Post	10.3b	46.2	164	22	6.00	6b
Hudson 1	22.6	49.5	160	27	.00	26
NE 73264	18.9	48.0	167	25	3.00	26
Kamiak	27.6	50.5	157	26	.00	32
PA 76-6	10.5b	48.0	162	25	5.00	6b
PA 76-32	14.4b	42.9	165	25	3.00	7b
PA 76-49	10.9b	46.5	156	27	8.00	12
Michigan 69-523-5	26.0	48.6	154	28	.00	47a
Michigan 69-534-8	29.7a	48.6	163	32	1.00	59a
OK 77401	3.9b	_	165	26	3.00	4b
MO B 2632	22.9	50.5	158	28	.00	44a
MO B 2633	7.9b	_	163	28	.00	6b
MO B 2639	18.8	49.6	156	28	.00	39
10 B 2690	17.8	50.0	157	25	.00	32
VA 73-42-19	18.8	44.0	161	23	1.00	24
Ž.	18.0	48.0	160.3	26.0	-	26.8
x <sub>2</sub> /	16.53**	.0	.308N	s .770 N	S	7.65**
S.E.X	1.74	.0	6.64	2.89		6.07
L.S.D. (.05)	4.96	.0	18.86	8.21		17.24
C.V. %	9.67	.0	5.85	15.74		32.00

<sup>1/</sup> Check variety
2/ Value for variety comparison

a/ Significantly greater than the check at the .05 level

b/ Significantly less than the check at the .05 level

Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level

<sup>\*\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level

Table 2. Summary of yields for the Uniform Barley Nursery grown at Kalispell, MT 1968-1978.

C.I. or													Sta.	R
State No.	Variety	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	Ave.	Yrs.	Hudson
CI 8067	Hudson 10	109.7	45.8	74.2	107.4	61.1	53.1	78.1	73.7	70.07	22.6	69.3	10	100.0
CI 15197	Kamiak					57.8	57.7	77.0	9.69	6.49	27.6	59.1	9	98.9
	MO B 2126						39.0	51.0	38.6	60,3	20.1	41.8	Ŋ	70.3
NE 72637	Nebr. Sel.							53.8	44.3	54.2	18.9	42.8	4	70.1
	MO.2487								56.2	58.5	20.4	45.0	m	81.2
VA 70-44-213	Maury								51.7	91.4	22.9	55.3	n	8.66
CI 15695	Post (OK 7110566)									62,3	10.3	36.3	N	78.4
	PA 76-6 Larker x (Pennrad x Wong)	(Suo								66.1	10.5	38,3	N	82.7
	PA 76-32 Larker x (Pennrad x Wong	Wong)								53.1	14.4	33.8	N	72.9
	PA 76-49 Larker x (Pennrad x Wong)	Wong)								47.2	10.9	29.1	N	62.7
	Michigan 69-523-5										26.0	26.0	-	115.0
	Michigan 69-534-8										29.7	29.7	-	131.4
											3.9	3.9	-	17.3
											22.9		-	101,3
											7.9	7.9	-	35.0
											18.8		-	
	MO B 2690										17.8		<b>~</b>	77.8
	VA 73-42-19										Ω ∞		-	

Table 3 . Agronomic data from the Western Winter Barley Nursery grown at Kalispell MT in 1978. Random block design, four replications. Field No. R-6a. Date seeded: September 21, 1977 Date harvested: August 9, 1978 Size of plot: 44 sq. ft.

	. or	Variety	Yield Bu/A	Test Wt Lbs/Bu.	Heading Date	Plant Ht.In.	Lodging	% Survival
CI	13340	Luther	28.7	49.9	174	22	.00	16b
CI	8067	Hudson	24.2	51.5	161b	25	.00	25
CI	15197	Kamiak	24.2	51.0	160b	24	2.50	20b
CI	11887	Schuyler	27.2	50.0	174	23	.00	16b
CI	15559	Boyer	24.9	50.3	173	20	.00	21b
		Ackerman's 989	20.8b	51.0	163	24	.00	24b
		WA 2464-70	18.9b	50.7	166	24	.00	36
		WA 2196-68	18.6b	49.7	173	18	.00	14b
		WA 3021-70	23.2	49.9	176	19	.00	28
		NY 6005-18	35.9	49.7	160b	27	.00	35
		WA 1245-68	22.4	51.0	168	20	1.00	18b
		WA 1331-68	29.4	49.6	176	21	. 00	20b
		OR-FB 73123	28.4	51.4	171	21	.00	26
		OR-FB 73130	30.6	50.3	173	21	.00	36
		OR-FB 73186	24.4	49.7	174	20	.00	21b
		OR-FB 73594 D15	33.7	50.2	166	22	.00	64a
		OR-FB 73596 D26	25.6	46.8	164	21	.00	60
		73Ab169	36.8	51.5	178	21	.00	64a
		73Ab1.76	31.6	48.6	174	20	.00	52
		73Ab489 ,	35.7	49.8	177	20	.00	68a
CI	9478	Alpine <sup>1</sup>	31.3	50.5	181	25	2.50	44
	2	2/	27.45	50.20	162.43	20,57		33.0
	F	2/	2.622		32.188		5**	7.68**
	5	S.E.X	3.37	.00	6.65	2.46		6.79
		S.S.D. (.05)	9.53	.00	19.00	6.96		19.22
		.v. %	17.35	.00	11.19	16.91		29.09

<sup>1/</sup> Check variety

<sup>2/</sup> Value for variety comparison

a/ Significantly greater than the check .05 level

b/ Significantly less than the check .05 level
\* Indicates statistically significance at the .05 level

<sup>\*\*</sup> Indicates statistically significance at the .01 level

TITLE:

Spring Oats

PROJECT:

Small Grains Investigations MS 756

YEAR:

1978

PERSONNEL:

Leader - Vern R. Stewart Technician - Todd Keener

Cooperators - Feed Crops Committee, MAES, MSU

LOCATION:

Northwestern Agricultural Research Center. Field No. Y-2

DURATION:

Indefinite

OBJECTIVE:

To determine the adaptability of new or introduced oat varieties.

### SUMMARY OF 1978 RESULTS:

This year yields were above average. Table 1. The mean yield was 147.26 bu/a. Kelsey was the highest yielding variety with 198.98 bu/a. The two varieties, Basin and Terra had the only yields that were significantly less than the check. Their yields were 119.94 bu/a and 90.68 bu/a respectively. The yield for Otana, which was the check, was 160.82 bu/a.

The mean test weight was 38.64 lb/bu. The highest yielding variety Kelsey had a test weight of 40.75 lb/bu and the check was 36.99 lb/bu. The lowest test weight was WA6391 at 34.69 lb/bu and the highest was 42.42 lb/bu for Terra, which also had the lowest yield.

Some lodging was observed in Otana, Russell and WA6394 varieties. Twelve varieties headed out earlier than Otana, six later and ten varieties headed approximately the same time.

A ten year summary for oat yields is given in Table 2. Park is compared to all varieties as a check. Compared on a ten year basis both Basin and Cayuse out produced Park by 2.6 and 13.0% respectively. Yields for Random were greater by 15.7% over an eight year period. Otana was 25% greater in yield over a seven year period than Park.

Table 1 . Agronomic data from the Uniform Northwestern States Oat Nursery grown on the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center at Kalispell, MT in 1978. Random block design, three replications.

Date seeded: May 5, 1978 Size of plot: 16 sq. ft.

Date harvested: August 30, 1978

C.I. or State No.	Variety	Yield Bu/A	Test Wt. Lbs/Bu	Heading Date	Height Inches
CI 8171	Kelsey	198.98	40.75	191.00b	55.0a
OT 726	Random/Forward	179.65	40.12	194.00Ъ	54.67
CI 9081	Random	177.08	37.51	190.00b	50.67
CI 9264	9	177.00	39.39	195.67	48.00
OT 719	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	175.13	39.60	191.67b	51.33
WA 6014		165.31	38.03	196.33	47.67
ID 742300	Otana//Cokerx848-1-1-2/	160.82	36.99	196.33	48.33
ID 742608	Cayuse/Otana	157.05	38.23	194.00b	47.01
WA 6393	Minn.II-22-220/Cayuse	155.35	37.41	201.00a	48.67
WA 6161	CI 2874/Cayuse	153.06	37.82	200.00a	48.33
CI 8263	Cayuse	152.31	38.76	194.00b	46.67
WA 6394	Minn.II-22-220/Cayuse	150.97	35.73	203.33a	49.01
ID 721729	Minn.II-22-220/Cayuse	148.34	38.03	199.33a	47.67
WA 6160	CI2874/Cayuse	144.27	36.99	196.67	45.33
WA 6391	Minn.II-22-220/Cayuse	143.10	34.69	190.67b	47.67
CI 7557	Russell	141.10	39.50	192.67b	53.67
WA 6392	Minn.II-22-220/Cayuse	140.64	38.66	198.67a	47.01
CI 9266	Cayuse/Orbit	135.65	38.66	195.33	45.67
CI 6611	Park	135.45	39.60	196.33	52.34
ID 721723	Minn.II-22-220/Cayuse	134.90	35.83	201.00a	45.33
ID 741952	Cokerx848-1-B-1/Cayuse	132.33	35.63	196.00	41.67b
WA 6159	CI2874/Cayuse	131.52	36.05	197.33	47.67
CI 2053	Markton	128.09	39.18	192.00b	57.33a
ID 712506	CI5345/Zanster	124.63	41.59	193.67b	50.00
CI 9252	Otana (63AB5280-7)	122.65	41.38	195.00Ъ	50.67
CI 5346	Basin	119.94b	39.91	195.67	50.34
OT 195	Terra	90.68Ъ	42.42	190.67b	45.67
	x	147.26	38.46	195.49	49.01
	F - value for variety com-				
	parison	2.5200	.00	57.79**	2.39**
	S.E. x	4.34	.00	.46	2.23
	L.S.D. (.05)	40.68	.00	1.30	6.34
	C.V. %	9.73	.00	.23	4.56

Summary of oat yield data from the Uniform Oat Nursery, Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, Mt, 1969-78. Table 2.

5346 6611 8263 2053 2053 8171 9081 9252 6014 6159 6160 6161 721723 742300 742300 742300	151.5 171.4 1738.1 120.2 142.5	148.7 127.1 120.5 127.6	177.0 190.6 195.9 175.1 195.3	144.2 67.8 140.7		-	11.011				1		
6611 8263 2053 8171 9081 9252 6014 6159 6160 72723 72773 727723 7557 742300 9266 741952		127.1 158.7 120.5 127.6	190.6 195.9 175.1 195.3	140.7			40.4		C/I	119.9	37.4	10	102.6
8263 2053 2053 8171 9081 9252 6014 6159 6160 712506 721723 72773 742300 9266 741952 741952		158.7 120.5 127.6	195.9 175.1 195.3	140.7		e	170.0			135.5	133.9	10	
2053 2053 8171 9081 9252 6014 6159 6160 6161 721723 721723 7557 742300 9266 741952 741952		127.6	175.1 195.3 197.7	77 5		oi.	171.8		-	152.3	151.3	10	
8171 9081 9252 6014 6159 6160 6161 721723 721723 721723 7557 742300 9266 741952		127.6	195.3	2		7	130.1		25	128.1	118.6	10	
9081 9252 6014 6159 6160 6161 712506 721723 721723 7257 195 9266 742300 9264 741952	<b>v v a</b>		197.7	89.3		'n	1		5	199.0	142.9	0	
9252 6014 6159 6160 6161 7250 721723 721723 721723 721723 721723 742300 9266 741952 741952	ψ ψ <b>φ</b>			106.9		m	9		52	177.1	150.5	0	
6159 6160 6160 6161 721723 721723 7557 742300 9266 742300 9264 741952	0 0 0			145.9	127.6	183.6	180.4	142.8	162,2	122.7	151.7	7	125.0
6160 6160 6161 712506 721723 7557 195 9266 742300 9264 741952 741952	0 0 0					er.	00		43	165,3	143.8	9	
712506 721723 721723 7557 195 9266 742300 9264 741952	<b>a</b>						S		56	131.5	36	4	
712506 721723 721723 7557 195 9266 742300 9264 741952	Q.						-		58	144,3	150.6	4	
721723 721723 7557 195 9266 742300 9264 741952 741952							S		67	153,1	153.1	4	
7557 1957 1958 9266 742300 9264 741952 742608	er.						~		_	124.6	144.4	4	
195 9266 742300 9264 741952 742608	zev/cayuse						5		48	134.9	37	4	
9266 742300 9264 741952 742608							0		10	141.1	129.9	4	
742300 9264 741952 742608									10	7.06	88.2	m	
9264 741952 742608	0/0 1 1 0/0									135.7	155.2	N	
741952 (742608	0/2-1-1-040x.								~	160.8	162.2	N	
742608	B. 1/Comingo								AI.	177.0	164.6	2	
	De l'Cayaba								0	132,3	139.2	2	
ID 721729 Minn. II-22-220/Cawise	20/Camse								0	157.1	151.2	N	
	and cayano									148.3	148.3	_	
719	13/Glan)									179.7	179.7	-	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	20/Cavise									175.1	175.1	-	
	20/Cavise									155.4	155.4	-	
	20/Cause									151.0	151.0	_	
	20/Cavise									143.1	143.1	_	
										140.6	140.6	-	

TITLE:

Spring Wheat

PROJECT:

Small Grain Investigations MS 756

YEAR:

1978

PERSONNEL:

Leader - Vern R. Stewart Technician - Todd Keener

Cooperators - Montana Agricultural Experiment Station

Field Crops Branch ARS USDA

Montana Research and Marketing Committee

**OBJECTIVES:** 

 To determine the adaptability of new and introduced spring wheat varieties and selections.

2. To aid in the basic genetic research programs in spring wheat.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS:

The mean this year for spring wheat yields was down slightly from last year. The mean this year was 75.55 bu/a. The range of yields was from 43.90 bu/a to the high yield of MP-122 which was 92.91 bu/a. The check, Newana, had a yield of 82.59 bu/a which was 15% less than last year. Test weights varied little from the mean which was 57.31 lbs/bu. Six varieties had significantly early heading dates as compared with the check and five others were significantly later in heading. Table 1. Stem lodging was severe in varieties MP-122, NHS 183-74, Fortuna, and Thatcher. Leaf rust was severe in Cebeco 1024 and Thatcher. Five additional varieties demonstrated moderate leaf rust susceptibility. Table 1.

Table \_ 1 . Agronomic data from the Private Variety Yield Mursery grown on the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT in 1978. Field No. Y-2. Random block design, four replications.

Date seeded: May 5, 1978

Date harvested: September 23, 1978

Plot size: 32 sq. ft.

	. or	Variety	Yield Bu/A	Test Wt Lbs/Bu.	Heading Date	Plant Ht.In.	Lodging &	Leaf Rust
WS	122	MP-122	92.91	59.00	196.75a		61.33	.00
AG	1	Solar	92.83	58.80	194.25a	37.25a	33.33	.00
CI	13986	Era	90.19	58.60	194.00a	36.25	8.33	8.33
TR	3	Octo Bulk-Bush						
		(Triticale)	88.50	49.40	188.00b	39.00a	.00	5.00
MK	55114	75S 5511-4	83.40	58.00	192.00	38.75a	.00	.00
CI	17430	Newana, MT 7156±/	82.59	59.00	192.25	35.00	40.00	5.00
NK	55112	75S 5511-2	81.88	59.60	192.25	38.00a	30.00	20.00
NK	5509	75S 5509	81.36	57.90	190.00b	37.50a	1.67	-00
NK	55111	75S 5511-1	77.44	59.50	191.75	37.50a	8.33	15.00
TR	1	Navojoa (Triticale)	74.17	53.90	188.25b	35.75	.00	20.00
NA	18374	NHS 183-74	73.61	57.50	188.25b	34.50	78.33	20.00
CI	13596	Fortuna	71.55	57.60	191.25b	44.25a	60.00	6.67
MT	34	Prodax	64.36b	56.10	192.25	36.75a	.00	28.33a
NK	5508	75 S 5508	62.95b	57.40	194.25a	32.75b	30.00	21.67
CI	10003	Thatcher	47.20b	56.90	189.75b	48.50a	61.67	96.00a
CE	1024	Cebeco 1024	43.90b	57.70	196.50a	41.75a	43.33	80.00a
	x	2/	75.55	57.31	191.98	38.30	28.52	20.38
	F	4/	6.91**	.00	73.13**	40.04**	1.86NS	19.78**
		.E.x	5.63	.00	.32	.61	19.72	6.32
		.S.D. (.05)	16.03	.00	.91	1.74	56.16	17.99
		.V. %	7.45	.00	.17	1.60	69.13	31.00

<sup>1/</sup> Check variety
2/ Value for variety comparison
a/ Value significantly greater than the check at the .05 level
b/ Value significantly less than the eheck at the .05 level
\* Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level

<sup>\*\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level

TITLE:

Winter Wheat

PROJECT:

Small Grains Investigations MS756

YEAR:

1978

PERSONMEL:

Leader - Vern R. Stewart

Research Technician - Todd Keener

Cooperator - G. A. Taylor

Cooperating Agencies - Montana Agricultural Experiment Station

Montana Wheat Research & Marketing Committee

LOCATION:

Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, L. B. Claridge farm, Kalispell, MT

**OBJECTIVES:** 

- To obtain information necessary to make varietal recommendations and evaluate new varieties and selections.
- 2. To cooperate in the breeding program in northwestern Montana designed to produce a high yielding variety with particular emphasis on quality, disease resistance to dwarf smut and stripe rust. Other agronomic characteristics such as straw strength and winter hardiness will be evaluated.

#### 1978 EXPERIMENTS:

- 1. Western Regional Hard Red Winter Wheat Mursery
- 2. Western Regional White Winter Wheat Hursery
- 3. Elite Yellow Rust Mursery
- 4. Seed Treatment Study
- 5. Special lines from Sunderman

#### 1978 RESULTS:

#### Western Regional Hard Red Winter Wheat Nursery - Kalispell

Yields in 1978 were very low with a mean of 25.07 bu/a. This low yield was caused by a high level of snow mold. Crest, the check variety, was about equal in yield to the other varieties and had a better than average survival level. ID745520 was the highest yielding entry but not statistically significant. The high C.V. can be accounted for in part because of the uneven stands. Test weights were all below standard in the test.

High levels of dwarf smut were found in this test. Only one variety IDL54 was free of this disease. ID745520 the highest yielding line, had only 1.25% smut which does indicate some resistance. Table 1.

### Western Regional Hard Red Winter Wheat Mursery - Stillwater

This was seeded later than the Creston location. This delay in seeding resulted significantly in better stands and little or no loss due to snow mold. There was a relatively high level of dwarf smut infection, therefore giving a significant reading as to resistance. The highest yielding entry is UT89099 with 97.29 bu/a. It had a relatively low level of dwarf smut - .75%. Three Utah lines were the highest yielding lines in this study.

Test weights were low and can be accounted for because of the heavy rainfall and delayed harvest. UT890152 showed no evidence of dwarf smut and was second in yield. ID126 also showed no dwarf smut, but had a very weak straw and a low yield.

Kharkof, one of the more susceptable checks had a dwarf smut level of 21.25%. Therefore, we feel this is a pretty good test of the varieties in the nursery. The mean yield for the nursery was 65.37 bu/a. The C.V. is somewhat higher than we would like to see, but this is due in part to lack of uniformity in the field. Table 2.

### 1978 Results (con't)

## Western Regional White Winter Wheat Mursery - Kalispell

In 1978 we had the lowest yields of white wheats ever grown in this location. There was a very high level of dwarf smut. The mean yield for the nursery was 25 bu/a and we would anticipate a mean yield of 60 to 70 bu/a. Hyslop was the highest yielding entry at 36.94 bu/a. It had a smut reading of 5.75%. McDermid the check variety, was found to be significantly lower in yield than other lines and had a smut reading of 9.75%. There were no lines with 0.0% smut readings.

Stand loss is due to high levels of snow mold. The soft white variety survival level is much lower than the hard red winter varieties. In 1978 there are few or no promising lines in the white wheats. Luke had a smut reading of 7.25%, which is much higher than we can tolerate. Table 3.

# Western Regional White Winter Wheat Mursery - Stillwater

Yields were above average for the Stillwater location. We had a mean yield of 69 bu/a, which ordinarily we would expect at the Kalispell location. McDermid was used as the check variety.

Smut levels were not as high as at Malispell. We had excellent stand as seen by the yields. There are no varieties that have what I consider an acceptable dwarf bunt resistance level, however ID755312 was quite low at 1.25% and ID745318 at 1%. Luke, a smut resistant variety had a dwarf smut reading of 1.25%. Kharkof, one of the checks had a reading of 8.25%. Table 4.

In Table 5 is a summary of the yields for the Western Regional White Wheat Nurwery at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center for 1968-78. Nugaines is used as the check. Hyslop compared over the 11 years is 11.4% higher in yield.

## Elite Yellow Rust Nursery - Kalispell

The hard red wheat lines in this nursery are being evaluated for resistance to stripe rust and dwarf smut. There were differences in survival due to snow mold. MT7789 is the highest yielding entry in the test, but it is not significantly higher in yield than Crest which we used as a check. There were no lines in this test that were completely immuned to dwarf smut, however there were six lines that were less than 1% dwarf smut. Some varieties had very good straw strength. MT77077 and MT 77079 have some resistance to dwarf smut. MT77079 does not have as strong a straw, but probably would be acceptable. MT77066 has good straw but has a high dwarf smut reading. Westmont, which is a dwarf smut susceptable variety, has a smut reading of 30.75% which indicates that many of the lines in this test do show some promise for resistance. Table 6.

### Elite Yellow Rust Mursery - Stillwater

This nursery has the same objectives as the previous one. Mean yield of 51.72 bu/a, is very high for this location for hard reds. The dwarf smut level was not as high as the Kalispell location, however high enough to give us information on the resistance of most lines. MT77056 showed no smut, had good straw strength and yielded 66.97 bu/a. MT77069 also had a 0.0% reading on dwarf smut. C.V.'s are very high, this can be accounted for in part because of the unevenness in stand at this location which was due to water standing in the field in early spring. Table 7.

### Special Lines from Sunderman

Six hard red wheat lines from the breeding program at Aberdeen, Idaho were evaluated for yield and dwarf smut resistance. Two lines were found to be free of dwarf smut. A7014W-16-1 was the highest yielding line, had good straw strength, fair test weight and .0% smut. Two of these hard red lines need to be evaluated further. Table 8.

## 1978 Results (con't)

## Seed Treatment Study

Dwarf smut levels were quite high in this study. Westmont, a very susceptable variety, had a reading of 38%. Stands were uneven throughout the study. An error made in harvesting made it impossible to obtain a statistical analysis of the yield data. Thus the yield data shown is the average of the number of plots harvested from each treatment, which may vary from one to three.

The major information from this study is the smut data for each of the fungicide treatments. Thiabendazole (TBZ) at two and four ounces per bush provide fairly effective control of dwarf smut in all varieties. Resistant varieties treated with TBZ decreased smut levels some. The variety Crest when treated with TBZ at two ounces had a reading of 0%, 4 oz., .7% and the check 3.3%. The two and four ounce rates of TBZ on Luke provided 100% control of the dwarf smut.

Benomyl did not give effective control of dwarf smut in Westmont and McDermid, but did reduce smut readings as much as 50%. However, this is not an acceptable smut level for commercial production. Benomyl and Uniroyal H719 did not increase the dwarf smut control in the resistant varieties Luke, Hansel and Crest.

In summary we conclude that TBZ did give us effective control of dwarf smut in 1978, which we have seen in our work since 1972. The combination of resistant varieties and seed treatment should give us an effective tool for controlling this disease. Table 9.

Table 1. Agronomic data from the western regional hard red winter wheat nursery grown at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT in 1978. Field No. E-1. Random block design, four replications.

Date seeded: September 15, 1977

Date harvested: August 29, 1978

Size of plot: 16 sq. ft.

	I. or		Yield	Test Wt	Heading	Height	8	Dwarf
St	ate No.	Variety	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu	Date	Inches	Survival	Smut
ID	745520	Bezo//Burt/PI178383	34.74	59.00	163.25a	39.00a	83.75	1.25
ID	75537	MA4765//Burt/PI						2,00
		178383	31.51	55.10	167.00a	31.00b	76.25	2.00
UŢ	890152	Utah Sel. 890152	31.41	57.60	169.00a	34.00	78.75	1.25
ID	156	A7037W-3-3-1	29.71	56.60	165.00a	36.50a	70.00	6.00
UT	890123	Utah \$91. 890123	28.71	57.40	164.00a	35.00	80.00	2.25
CI	13880	Crest <sup>±</sup>	28.68	56.50	160.75	33.25	82.50	1.00
ID	745130	Ark Sib//MRN 10-						
		ST/2*CND	27.91	57.50	169.00a	32.75	75.00	.75
MA	6364	Koelz 7941/2*McCall	27.73	58.40	166.50a	34.25	75.00	38.00a
JT	890143	Utah Sel. 890143	27.01	57.60	167.00a	35.00	70.00	. 75
ID	74747	Ark Sib	26.08	59.40	176.00a	39.75a	68.75	1.25
ID	154	Bsn//KO/CI#3/						
		II60/CI#	25.88	57.50	169.00a	36.00a	56.25b	.00
[D	114	CI14106/McCall,Sel.2	25.38	57.20	167.50a	34.75	68.75	1.25
JT	89033	Utah Sel 89033	25.23	57.70	168.50a	35.50a	57.50b	.50
7A	6365	Koelz 7941/2*McCall	25.16	58.10	170.00a	36.25a	67.50	36.50a
ID	745102	Bex//Burt/178383/3/						
		Ark	25.13	57.00	165.00a	39.00a	71.25	4.25
IA	6473	14484/3B1/BK1205//						
		13438	23.88	57,40	168.00a	29.50b	61.25b	41.50a
D	158	Heglar/ID 5006	23.51	58.00	169.00a	33.25	76.25	50 .0 0a
D	157	14106/MC/3/WR//						
		KO/1 78383	23.13	57.50	167.00a	34.75	62.50b	1.75
D	155	Heglar/Ranger	23.06	57.20	162.50	34.25	67.50	.25
ï	13844	Wanser	22.78	56.50	165.00a	36.25a	51.25b	34.75a
T	89099	Utah Sel. 89099	22.71	57.10	161.50	31.50	48.75b	.50
D	126	A68227W-B-7-14-3-1	22.03b	57.50	160.75	35.00	80.00	.25
T	7216	YG/CNN1155//YG4662-						****
		20411	21.16b	58.20	166.00a	33.00	75.00	25.75a
Ι	12933	Itana	20.01b	57.20	168.00a	38.25a	56.25b	35.25a
I	1442	Kharkof	15.36b	53.60	172.50a	41.50a	58.75b	44.50a
A	6367	Suwon 92/Burt//						
		Wanser	13.80b	55.50	165.00a	27.50b	27.50b	38.75a
		- x <u>2</u> /	25.07	57.24	166.64	34.88	67.16	14.24
		_	3.93**	.00	29.82**	18.38**	3.53**	33.33*
		S.E.X	2.34	.00	.64	.73	6.72	3.17
		L.S.D.(.05)	6.58	.00	1.80	2.06	18.89	8.93
		C.V. %	9.33	.00	.38	2.10	10.00	22.29

<sup>1/</sup> Check variety

<sup>2/</sup> F-value for variety comparison

a/ Values significantly greater than the check .05 level

b/ Values significantly less than the check .05 level

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level.

Table 2. Agronomic data from the western regional hard red winter wheat nursery grown on the Lance Claridge farm, Kalispell, MT in 1978. Random block design, four replications.

Date seeded: September 27, 1977 Date harvested: September 21, 1978 Size of plot: 32 sq. ft.

c.	I. or		Yield	Test Wt	Height	I	odging		Dwarf
	ate No.	Variety	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu	Inches	Туре	9	Sev	Smut
UT	89099	Utah Sel. 89099	87.29a	58.60	36.00	.00b	.00b	.00b	.75
UT	890152	Utah Sel. 890152	84.48a	57.80	38.50	.00b	.00b	.00b	.00
	890123	Utah Sel. 890123	80.99a	58.60	41.00a	.00b	.00b	.00b	.50
WA	6364	Koelz7941/2*McCall	80.38a	59.30	37.50	.00b	.00b	.00b	15.00a
UT	890143	Utah Sel. 890143	78.04a	57.70	37.00	.00b	d00.	.00b	1.25
ID	155	Heglar/Ranger	77.00a	59.60	41.50a	4.33	33.33	6.00	.75
ID	75537	WA4765//Burt/PI							
		178383	75.76a	53.50	33.00	.00b	.00b	.00b	1.00
ID	114	CI14106/McCall, Sel.2	73.39a	57.60	40.00a	.00b	19.67	1.67b	1.50
WA	6365	Koelz7941/2*McCall	70.39	57.80	42.25a	.00b	.00b	.00b	14.75a
ID	745130	Ark Sib//MRM 10-							
		ST/2*CNN	69.34	56.60	35.50	.00b	.00b	.00b	4.00
WA	6473	14484/3/B1/BK1205//							
		13438	68.42	57.70	28.75b	.00b	.00b	.00b	17.75a
UT	89033	Utah Sel 89033	67.42	57.50	40.00a	.00b	.00b	.00b	2.25
WA	6367	Suwon 92/Burt//Wanser	61.76	55.70	30.50	,00b	.00b	.00b	8.50a
ID	745102	Bez//Burt/178383/3/							
		Ark	60.62	57.00	43.50a	2.33	16.67	3.00	2.75
ID	154	BSM//KO/CI#/3/II 60/							
		CI#	60.37	59.40	39.25	.00b	.00b	.00b	.75
ID	157	14106/MC/3/WR//KO/							
		178383	60.32	57.20	38.25	2.00	43.33	6.00	1.50
ID	745520	Bezo//Burt/PI178383	59.99	60.00	38.75	.00b	.00b	.00b	1.00
ID	156	A7037W-3-3-1	58.91	56.80	41.25a	9.00	60.00	9.00	5.00
ID	74747	ARK SIB	58.71	57.70	47.25a	.00b	.00b	.00b	2.75
CI	12933	Itana	57.72	57.50	42.25a	2.33	3.33	3.00	12.25a
MT	7216	YG/CM11155//YG4662-							
		20411	55.67	58.40	38.50	.00b	.00b	.00b	11.50a
CI	13844	Wanser	55.24	56.60	37.50	.00b	.00b	.00b	16.00a
ID	158	Heglar/ID 5006	52.82	58.50	34.75	.00b	.00b	.00b	18.00a
ID	126	A6822,7VJ-B-7-14-3-1	51.74	57.20	40.25a	5.33	46.67	6.00	.00
CI	13880	Crest1	48.98	57.20	35.50	5.33	33.33	6.00	2.75
CI	1442	Kharkof	43.80	53.00	46.00a	6.00	31.67	6.00	21.25a
		- x	65.37	57.48	38.63	1.41	11.08	1.79	6.29
		× <sub>E</sub> 2/	2.10**	.00		3.99**		* 3.46**	
		S.E.X	8.02	.00	1.44	1.25	11.43	1.51	1.81
		L.S.D.(.05)	22.55	.00	4.04	3.52	32.14	4.26	5.10
		C.V.%	12.26	.00	3.72		103.15	84.31	28.82
		C. V. 0		-00					

<sup>1/</sup> Check variety

<sup>2/</sup> F-value for variety comparison

a/ Values significantly greater than the check at .05 level

b/ Values significantly less than the check at .05 level

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level

<sup>\*\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level

Table 3 . Agronomic data from the western regional white winter wheat nursery grown at the Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT in 1978. Field No. E-1. Random block design, four replications.

Date seeded: September 15, 1977 Size of plot: 16 sq. ft.

Date harvested: August 29, 1978

C. I. or	Wani aku	Yield Bu/A	Test Wt Lbs/Bu	Heading Date	Height Inches	% Survival	Dwarf Smut
State No.	Variety						
CI 14564	Hyslop	36.94a	55.50	171.50	29.25	42.50	8.75
WA 6363	Luke/WA5829	34.16a	57.00	172.25	29.25	77.50a	2.00
ID 755312	WA4765//Burt/PI178383	30.21a	56.00	172.00	31.00	63.75a	1.00
WA 6472	Semidwarf Multiline						
	Club	30.11a	53.50	172.00	29.25	58.75a	8.50
WA 6362	Luke Mutant, LMI14	29.98a	57.40	174.25a	28.25	83.75a	1.25
WA 6470	Luke/Norco, VH 74333	29.76a	56.20	173.25a	30.25	90.00a	3.75
CI 14586	Luke	29.66a	56.80	173.50a	29.75	78.75a	7.25
ID 755314	WA4765//Burt/PI178383	29.13a	55.70	172.00	36.75a	70.00a	2.50
OR 680073	Yamhill/Hyslop	29.11a	53.40	172.25	32.75a	55.00a	24.00a
ID 775323	WA4765//Burt/PI178383	28.66a	54.80	171.75	29.75	56.25a	1.25
OR 74131	Pendleton 1-372	28.41	54.50	171.75	29.25	66.25a	5.25
OR 7142	C.I.13748/Moro,142	28.33	53.70	168.75	30.25	60.00a	3.50
CI 13740	Moro	27.78	53.80	170.50	34.25a	75.00a	3.75
CI 17590	Faro	25.41	52.40	171.50	27.50	31.25	4.50
ID 745318	WA4765//Burt/PI178383	25.28	54.50	170.25	29.25	62.50a	1.25
OR 68007	Yamhill/Hyslop	25.11	53.60	1.72.25	31.00	58.75a	10.25
WA 6242	Luke//Itana/CI 13431	23.51	55.10	170.25	28.00	41.25	5.50
CI 17596	Stephens	23.43	53.70	166.50b	30.00	42.50	17.25
OR 7141	CI13748/Moro, Sel. 38	23.01	54.00	170.00	28.25	48.75	1.50
CI 17419	Daws	22.91	56.60	1.70.00	28.75	61.25a	15.25
OR 67237	CD/101//55-1744/3/DC	21.41	53.80	170.25	30.50	48.75	21.25a
CI 11755	Elgin	21.23	55.40	172.00	34.25a	52.50a	40.25a
WA 6471	CI 15923//M D/2*101	19.46	54.40	170.50	29.75	32.50	25.00a
CI 13968	Nugaines,	18.93	56.50	171.50	28.50	27.50	17.00
CI 14565	McDermid 1	18.63	53.70	170.25	29.25	33.75	9.75
CI 1442	Kharkof	16.88	56.10	169.75	37.25a	52.50a	13.00
OR 739401	Oregon Sel. R73-9401	15.31	52.70	171.75	29.00	26.25	17.50
OR 7493	Pendleton I-607	10.40	52.20	172.75a	28.25	33.75	11.75
	- x F2/	25.11	54.75	171.26	30.34	54.69 10.08**	10.13
	_	3.50	.00	.75	.69	5.50	3.25
	S.E.X	9.85	.00	2.10	1.93	15.48	9.13
	L.S.D.(.05) C.V.%	13.94	.00	.44	2.26	10.06	32.04

<sup>1/</sup> Check Variety

<sup>2/</sup> F-value for variety comparison

a/ Values significantly greater than the check at .05 level

b/ Values significantly less than the check at .05 level \* Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level

<sup>\*\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level

Agronomic data from the western regional white winter wheat nursery grown on the Lance Claridge farm, Kalispell, NT in 1978. Random block design, four replications.

Date harvested: September 21, 1978 Date seeded: September 27, 1977 32 sq. ft. Plot size:

C.I. or		Yield	Test Wt	Height	Dwarf
State No.	Variety	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu	Inches	Smut
WA 6471	CI 15923//N D/2*101	99.37a	54.30	30.00	2.00
OR 680073	Yamhill/Hyslop	86.28	50.60	33.00	6.50a
WA 6242	Luke//Itana/CI13431	86.14	50.70	30.50	4.00
ID 755312	WA 4765//Burt/PI 178383	83.88	54.00	37.00a	1.25
ID 775323	WA 4765//Burt/PI 187383	83.60	51.20	32.00	1.50
WA 6363	Luke/WA 5829	82.93	55.70	28.50	2.75
ID 745318	WA 4765//Burt/PI 178383	82.05	52.60	31.25	1.00
OR 67237	CD/101//55-1744/3/DC	79.70	53.90	30.50	8.25a
OR 7493	Pendleton I-607	78.33	5130	28.50	2.00
OR 7142	C.I. 13748/Noro,142	76.64	52.20	32.25	5.00
7A 6472	Semidwarf Multiline Club	74.22	52.50	32.50	5.75
CI 17419	Daws	73.25	55.50	32.00	5.75
CI 17596	Stephens	72.82	54.20	32.25	5.75
OR 74131	Pendleton I-372	72.15	53.60	32.00	6.75a
TA 6470	Luke/Norco, VH 74333	71.54	56.00	28.50	6.25a
VA 6362	Luke Mutant, LM-14	69.79	56.70	25.75	3.00
ID 755314	WA 4765//Burt/PI 178383	68.75	57.40	38.00a	1.25
CI 14586	Luke	67.62	52.70	29,25	1.25
OR 7141	CI 13748/Moro, Sel. 38	64.38	53.30	31.25	2.25
CI 13968	Mugaines,	61.53	55.60	33.00	4.25
CI 14565	NcDermid 1	60.76	54.00	29.50	2.25
CI 17590	Faro	59.76	51.60	30.75	3.00
CI 14564	Hyslop	59.43	54.50	28.50	5.50
CI 11755	Elgin	59.24	54.50	37.25a	9.50a
OR 68007	Yamhill/Hyslop	50.28	49.70	27.50	7.50a
CI 13740	Moro	47.84	49.40	32.50	1.25
CI 1442	Kharkof	47.08	55.20	38.50a	8.25a
OR 739401	Oregon Sel. R73-9401	37.89	52.30	24.75	4.25
		69.90	53.40	31.33	4.21
	F <sup>2</sup> /	1.38	.00	2.22	3.87
	S.E.x	11.93	.00	2.26	1.28
	L.S.D.(.05)	33.55	.00	6.36	3.61
	C.V.8	17.06	.00	7.22	30.43

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{1}$ / Check variety  $\underline{2}$ / F-test for variety comparison

 $<sup>\</sup>bar{a}/$  Values significantly greater than the check at the .05 level  $\bar{b}/$  Values significantly less than the check at the .05 level

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level

<sup>\*\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level

Summary for yields for the western regional white winter wheat nursery grown at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, NT 1968-78. Table 5.

C.I.	or														Sta.	de
State	State No.	Variety	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1275	1976	1977	1973	Ave.	Yrs.	Tugaines
	1442	jo	58.5	53.9	56.4				7	7	61.1	50.7	S	43.6	11	
CI	11755	Elgin	30.5	51.2	74.1	73.0	20 *3	50.0	0	2	67.6	57.3	-	59.0	11	
	13740	loro	86.3	65.7	75.4				0	4	8.69	57.0	1	62.6	11	,
	13968	Mugaines	85.8	63.2		02			-	-1	80.2	0.99	00	9.69	11	00
	14564	Hyslop	1.06	62.7	87.3				0	9	87.7	68.3	S	77.5	11	
	14565	McDermid			88.8	H			V	7	93.3	72.9	100	76.3	0	0
	17596	Stephens (OR 65116)							-1	2	82.1	9.09	3	60.2	0	00.4
	17419	Daws							89 .0	56.3	95.8	68.7	N	65.9	ı.c	-
	17590	Faro							5	'n	74.9	65.2	5	6.09	ហ	03
	7142	C.I. 13748/Noro,142								-i	74.1	6.99	00	55.2	4	10
	53007	Yamhill/Hyslop									92.1	75.5	S	64.2	~	2
23 25.00	67237	CD/101//55-1744/3/DC									89.9	68.8	-1	0.09	m	60
	755312	WA 4765/Burt/PI 178383	-								88.4	6.99	0	61.8	~	0
	755314	VA 4765/Burt/PI 178383	~								36.5	9.09	0	58.7	· ~	1 0
	739401	Oregon Sel. E73-9401									03 03	66.4	10	55.2	· "	2
MA	6242	Luke//Itana/CI 13431									83.2	61.7	23.5	56.1	) m	102.0
O. F	7141										76.3	59.4	3	52.9	3	96
MA	6362	Li-14,	VH74629									66.1	0	48.0	2	13
	5363	Luke/MA 5529											V.	52.2	2	23
A C	74131	Pendleton Sel. No. I-372	372										28.4	45.8	7	107.9
	1993	Fendleton Sel . 10. 1-607	100										0	36.3	2	86
	173523	A4 /05//Burt/PI 1/8383	~										8	28.7	Н	51
1 417	07750/	MA4 /05//Burt/PI 178383	~										S	25,3	٦	33
17.7	04.70	Luke/Morco, VH 74333											29.8	29 .8	Н	157,7
777	T/ 50												0	19.5	٦	03
	2120	Table	CTub										30.1	30,1	Н	159.3
C	680073	Vambill /Himlon					•						0	30.0	F	5
	2	dorsky/rammar											0	29.1	ы	4.

Table 6 . Agronomic data from the Elite Yellow Rust Smut winter wheat nursery grown at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT in 1978. Field E-1. Random block design, four replications.

Date seeded: September 16, 1977

Date harvested: August 30, 1978

Plot size: 16 sq. ft.

C.I. or State No	Variety	Yield Bu/A	Test Wt Lbs/Bu	Heading Date	Height Inches	% Survival	% Lodging	Dwarf Smut
MT 77089	DM/CRT	33.99	59.46	167.00a	37.78a	70.00	.00	2.00
HT 77068	C61-9/WLT//CRT	32.36	60.40	172.50a	37.28a	55,00b	.00	1.50
MT 77080	DII/CRT	32.03	60.40	168.00a	40.28a	43.75b	.00	. 75
MT 77070	VT27500/CRT	30.48	59.98	165,50a	36.78a	63.75	.00	1.50
MT 77090	DH/CRT	30.33	60.60	165.50a	38.03a	37.50b	.00	.50
HT 77077	C61-9/TLT//CRT	30.33	60.29	171.75a	38.03a	55.00b	.00	. 75
MT 77079	C61-9/WLT//CRT	30.07	60.29	171.50a	37.53a	51.25b	13.33	.25
HT 77066	C61-9/WLT//CRT	29.61	60.29	172.75a	36.03	53.75b	.00	2.00
CI 17296	Hansel	20.76	59.77	167.00a	41.78a	61.25	46.33	1.75
MT 77091	DII/CRT,	27.51	60.29	164.00	37.03a	57.50	3.33	9.00a
CI 13880	Crest_	27,33	57.72	161.75	34.53	76.25	23.33	3.00
MT 77056	C61-9/WLT//CRT	27.21	59.67	170.25a	37.78a	57.50	.00	2.00
CI 15317	Franklin	26.04	60.29	170.25a	42.53a	60.00	16.67	. 75
CI 17295	Cardon	24.86	60.40	168.50a	36.03	50.00b	40.00	1.50
MT 77057	DH/CRT	22.99b	58.15	169.00a	38.78a	48.75b	.00	3.00
HT 77092	VT27500/CRT	22.88b	58.74	162.50	36.53	70.00	.00	1.75
MT 77084	C61-9/WLT//DM	21,73b	57.30	164.50a	39.03a	56.25	.00	33.00a
CI 12930	Westmont	21.20b	58.74	162.50	35.53	57.50	.00	38.75a
HT 77069	DM/CRT	20.88b	56.27	172.75a	38.53a	18.75b	8.33	1.00
	x <sub>2</sub> /	27,41	59.42	167.76	37.88	54.93	7.96	4.99
	E-	1.12 MS		21.37**		3.18**	2.17*	51.68**
	S.E.x	3.81	.00	.80	.71	7.10	9.64	1.35
	L.S.D. (.05)	10.80	.00	2.26	2.01	20.12	27.31	3.81
	C.V. %	13.90	.00	.48	1.87	12.93	120.99	26.99

<sup>1/</sup> Check variety

<sup>2/</sup> F-value for variety comparison

a/ Values significantly greater than the check at the .05 level.

b/ Values significantly less than the check at the .05 level

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level.

Table \_\_7. Agronomic data from the Elite Yellow Rust Smut Winter Wheat Mursery grown on the Lance Claridge Farm, Kalispell, MT in 1978. Random block design, four replications.

Date seeded: September 27, 1977

Date harvested: September 22, 1978

Plot size: 32 sq. ft.

C.I. or		Yield	Test Wt	Height		Lodging		Dwarf	
State No.	Variety	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu	Inches	Angle		Stage	Smut	
MT 77077	C61-9/WLT//CRT	87.29	59.16	41.53	.00	.00	.00	2.00	
MT 77080	DM/CRT,	80.60	60.81	39.53	.00	.00	.00	3.50	
CI 13880	Crest	73.22	57.82	34.77	1.67	16.67	3.00	2.50	
MT 77089	DM/CRT	71.42	59,36	41.03	.00	.00	.00	4.25	
MT 77056	C61-9/WLT//CRT	66.97	58.23	40,03	.00	.00	.00	.00	
CI 17295	Cardon	66.45	59.16	40.78	.00	.00	.00	2.50	
T 77066	C61-9/WLT//CRT	59.27	57.72	39.03	.00	.00	.00	1.75	
77091	DM/CRT	55.49	59.36	36.78	.00	.00	.00	18.50a	
MT 77069	DM/CRT	53.50	56.07	41.03	.00	.00	.00	.00	
MT 77057	DM/CRT	52,58	56.07	38.53	.00	.00	.00	6.25	
77090	DM/CRT	50.94	60.29	35.27	.00	.00	.00	.75	
77079	C61-9/WLT//CRT	50.14	58.64	37.03	.00	.00	.00	1.00	
177 77084	C61-9/WLT//DM	48.51	57.20	36.03	.00	.00	.00	11.75a	
1177 77068	C61-9/WLT//CRT	48.43	59.27	35.27	.00	.00	.00	1.00	
122 770 70	VT27500/CRT	47.57	57.71	36.53	1.67	3.33	3.00	.25	
77092	VT27500/CRT	44.98	57.92	35,27	2.67	10.00	2.33	1.25	
CI 17296	Hansel	44.47	53.95	39.53	3.33	40.00a	6.00	. 75	
CI 15317	Franklin	42,47	58.03	39.53	1.00	13.33	3.00	. 75	
CI 12930	Westmont	41,31	59.26	34.77	.00	.00	.00	13.75a	
	x <sub>2</sub> /	57.13	58,48	38.02	.54	4.39	.91	3.82	
	F2/	1.29	.00	.96MS				\$15.19**	
	S.E.X	11.85	.00	2.43	1.03	7.29	1.50	1.33	
	L.S.D. (.05)	33.59	.00	6.90	2.92	20.67	4.24	3.78	
	C.V. %	30.04	.00	5.41	189,26	166.27	164.05	34.97	

<sup>1/</sup> Check variety
2/ F-value for variety comparison

a/ Values significantly greater than the check at the .05 level.

b/ Values significantly less than the check at the .05 level.

\* Indicates statistical significance at the .05 level.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Indicates statistical significance at the .01 level.

Table 8 . Agronomic data from Dwarf Bunt Resistant Hard Red Winter Wheat Nursery grown at Stillwater location, Kalispell, MT in 1978. Random block design, four replications.

Date seeded: October 3, 1977 Date harvested: September 22, 1978

Plot size: 32 sq. ft.

Variety	Yield Bu/A	Test Wt Lbs/Bu.	Plant Ht.In.	Dwarf Smut	Lodging %
D-3-12-20	41.7	58.2	33.3	.5	2.5
A7014W-24	40.8	58.2	33.5	.0	22.5
A68228W-B-2-4-3-1	25.8	52.4	30.8	.25	20.0
A7014W-16-1	54.5	59.8	39.3	.0	.0
A70244SW-B-3-1-4-4	31.4	59.4	31.3	.0	18.8
A7175W-10-3	25.6	58.5	32.3	1.0	10.0
×1/	36.6 2.68NS		33.4 3.59*		
S.E.X	6.86		1.62		
L.S.D.(.05) C.V. %	20.65 26.47		4.88 6.87		

<sup>1/</sup> F value for variety comparisons

Table 9 . Agronomic data from the winter wheat seed treatment nursery grown on the Lance Claridge farm, Kalispell, MT in 1978. Random block design, three replications.

Date seeded: September 27, 1977 Date harvested: September 22, 1978

32 sq. ft. Plot size:

Vaniate:	Chemical	Rate Oz/A	Yield Bu/A	Test Wt Lbs/Bu	Dwarf Smut %	Height Inches
<i>l</i> ariety						30.0
Luke	Check	0	32.2	56.6	1.7	
Luke	TBZ±/	2	54.2	57.6	.0	29.0
Luke	TBZ 2/	4	88.6	56.7	.0	31.7
Luke	Benomyl2/	2	78.0	56.3	2.0	
Luke	RenomVI	4	53.9	56.9	1.3	27.7
Luke	Uniroyal H719 3/	2	64.9	56.3	2.0	27.6
Luke	Uniroyal H719	4	95.9	56.9	1.7	31.3
McDermid	Check	0	69.5	55.0	25.7	27.0
McDermid	TBZ	2	92.2	54.8	.0	29.7
McDermid	TBZ	4	68.3	54.4	.3	29.7
McDermid	Benomyl	2	91.3	54.7	22.3	30.7
McDermid	Benomyl	Ą	45.0	54.0	9.3	28.3
McDermid	Uniroyal H719	2	66.7	53.9	12.3	29.0
McDermid	Uniroyal H719	4	70.8	54.2	11.7	29.7
Hansel	Check	0	58.3	60.1	.7	43.3
Hansel	TBZ	2	38.5	59.5	.3	40.0
Hansel	TBZ	4	37.9	59.4	.0	41.0
Hansel	Benomyl	2	45.5	59.7	.3	41.0
Hansel	Benomyl	4	23.3	59.9	.3	38.0
Hansel	Uniroyal H719	2	78.0	59.5	.3	34.7
Hansel	Uniroyal H719	Ą	39.9	59.3	.3	38.0
Crest	Check	0	76.9	57.4	3.3	33.0
Crest	TBZ	2	16.1	57.4	.0	28.7
Crest	TBZ	4	31.0	57.2	.7	30.0
Crest	Benomy1	2	30.5	57.5	1.0	28.7
Crest	Benomyl	4	28.7	57.2	.7	31.7
Crest	Uniroyal H719	2	27.4	57.8	1.3	26.3
Crest	Uniroyal H719	4	41.1	57.8	3.0	31.3
Westmont	Check	0	28.1	57.5	38.0	31.7
Westmont	TBZ	2	32.9	58 . 4	1.3	35.0
Wes tmon t	TBZ	4	65.3	59.5	1.7	35.7
Westmont	Benomyl	2	65.8	58.4	27.7	38.3
Westmont	Benomyl	4	70.3	58.7	12.7	36.7
Westmont	Uniroyal H719	2	69.6	58.4	21.7	37.7
Westmont	Uniroyal H719	4	42.1	57.9	22.0	30.3

Products used in this experiment:

Common Name	Trade Name	Chemical Name	Company
1/ TBZ	Mertect	2-(4'-thiazolyl)-benzimidole	Merck Chem. Div.
2/ Benomyl	Benlate	Methyl 1-(butylcarbamoyl-2- benzimidazolecarbamate	Dupont
3/	Uniroyal H719	Chemistry unknown	Uniroyal

Ks VRS

YEAR:

1978

TITLE:

Investigation of cropping sequences on the productivity and

quality of cereal grains.

LOCATION:

Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center, Agricultural

Experiment Station, Kalispell, MT 59901

PERSONNEL:

Vern R. Stewart

**OBJECTIVES:** 

1. To determine the most productive cropping sequence.

2. To determine the effect of cropping sequence on:

(a) weed populations(b) fertility levels

(c) protein levels of wheat

3. To determine the economics of a particular cropping sequence.

### PROCEDURE:

A total of five cropping sequences were established in 1972. These were established in plots 3.3 acres in size which allows the use of field equipment in all operations. Fertilizer application rates were based on soils analysis and experience over the past 10 to 12 years in these fields. Protein data was obtained using the Udy method of anlaysis. An economic evaluation was made of this study for the seven year period. In this evaluation we have subtracted only the cost of the fertilizer from the gross income. The value of the crop is based on actual sales, or prices of hay, wheat and barley in the month of December in the year grown. Fertilizer costs were the actual cost of the fertilizer when purchased.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS:

Moisture for the crop year 1977-78 was 28.96 inches which was 9.73 inches higher than the long term mean at the research center. Precipitation amounts in April, May and July were considerably above normal. The June precipitation was slightly below the average. In August 3.34 inches of precipitation was recorded which caused considerable delay in harvest of winter wheat. The rain in September delayed the harvest still further and caused considerable damage to winter wheat.

Sequence R-2 - Yields of white winter wheat in R-2 were considerably below the other cropping sequences. There was a stand loss in McDermid because of snow mold and additional yield loss because of the high level of dwarf smut, up to 15 percent. This variety has now been dropped from the cropping sequence study. Barley yields were 68.3 bu/a, and are comparable to the other cropping sequences; however they are somewhat higher than we found in R-4a which was 53.4 bu/a. This difference is partly due to stand and green manure being used in the R-2 Sequence.

Sequence R-3 - Winter wheat yields were excellent when compared to Sequence R-2. This sequence continues to be the highest producing of our five sequences. The return per acre ofr this sequence is \$120.13. Hay yields were excellent at about 3.7 T/A.

Sequence R-4 - This sequence has been compared to R-2a in previous discussions. Barley yields are slightly below R-2, wheat yields are considerably above. Dollar return from this sequence is somewhat higher than we find in R-2.

Ks VRS

# Results and Discussion (con't)

Sequence R-5 - The hard red winter wheat sequence yields are very low. This is due in part to stand thinning as a result of snow mold. Alfalfa yields were excellent, 3.8 T/A. It had the third highest dollar return in 1978.

Sequence R-7 - The continuous cropping sequence was second in dollars of return in 1978. This is due to the high level of barley production because of the high rainfall. We did resolve some of our quackgrass problems by making an application of Roundup prior to seeding, however we delayed the seeding to accomplish this. We probably would have had better success with the control of quackgrass if we had made our application in the fall of 1977. Alfalfa yields were 3.4 T/A. The net in 1978 was \$105.76. Table 1.

Seven Year Economic Evaluation - In this years summary we have only subtracted fertilizer costs when making analysis. At the conclusion of this experiment we should consider a complete economic analysis of all costs other than what we use on an annual basis. We have sufficient records so most of the costs could be calculated.

In Sequence R-3, fifteen years - five years legume, winter wheat, fallow alternating, continues to be the most productive sequence in this study with a mean of \$90.46 per acre. This is an increase from the six year average of \$86.40. Over the seven year period Sequence R-5 is second with \$80.53, however this is just a few cents more than Sequence R-4. These comparisons can be seen in Tables 2, 3, 4, 5, and 5.

Over the years much of the decline we have had in dollar values has been due to reduction in commodity prices. In 1977 there was a considerable reduction because of the low rainfall.

This study will be phased out in 1979 or 1980 and a final summary will be presented to the scientific community.

Annual data from cropping sequence study. Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT 1978. Table 1.

Dollars per Acre			57,30			13	-3-	-	78.78			80,99			37 301
Doll per Acre			57			120,13			78			80			10
Net per Seguence			171.92	70		360.40			236,32			242.98			317 29
Ferti- lizer Cost	seed legume with	14.48	23.61	fallow alternating	26.42	26.42		14.65	39.71	ernating	14.72	14.72		14.77	14.34
Gross	1	66.66	110.02	fallow al	220,32	386.82	50	78.18	197.85	fallow alternating	86.70	171.00		104.53	88.86
Price Unit Dollars	spring grain green manure	3.05cwt	2.88bu	years legume, winter grain,	2.88bu	45.00T	winter wheat, spring grain	3.05cwt	2.88bu			45.00T	egume	3.05cwt	3.05cwt
Yield Per Acre	0,	68.3bu	38.2bu	gume, wint	76.5bu	3.7T	wheat, sr	53.4bu	68.7bu	Years legume, winter grain	30.0bu	3.81	- Continuous cropping including a legume	71.4bu	60.7bu
Test Weight Lbs/Bu		48.0	52.8		55.2	1	v, winter	48.0	54.9	years le	56.0	1	ping incl	48.0	48.0
8 Protein	s: fallow, grain and	1	Total	- 15 years: five	ı	Total	s: fallow,	ı	Total	: three	12	Total	ions croi	1 1	Total
S	3 years	1	31	year	33	1	years	ı	31	years	1	1	ntin	1 1	1
Pounds/Acre	a 1	27	43		47	1	- 3	27	44	0	42	1		27	27
Pou	quence	52	06	guence	87	t	quence	52	84	quence	34	ı,	quence	53	52
Variety	Crop Sequence	Purcell	McDermid	Crop Sequence	Luke	Thor	Crop Sequence	Purcell	Luke	Crop Sequence	Crest	Thor	Crop Sequence	Purcell Thor	Purce11
Crop		Barley	W. Wheat	-	W. Wheat Fallow	Forage		Barley	W. Wheat		W. Wheat Fallow	Forage		Barley	Barley
Field		R-2a R-2b	R-2c		R-3a R-3b	R-3c		R-4a R-4b	R-4c		R-5a R-5b	R-5c		R-7a R-7b	R-7c

Ks VRS

	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	-x	7 Yr. Ave/A
					BARLEY				4.
					DARLET				
Yield Bu/A	46.9	47.8	43.8	32.3	83.4	43.0	68.3	52.2	
Fertilizer									
Cost	8.72	17.29	14.92	25.76	16.59	14.62	14.48	16.05	
Price/cwt	2.50	4.50	6.40	4.10	3.75	3.35	3.05	3.95	
Gross \$	56.30	103.25	134.55	63.57	150.12	69.14	99.99	96.70	
Net/Acre	47.58	85.96	119.63	37.81	133.53	54.52	85.51	80.64	
					THEAT				
Yield Bu/A	53.9	48.7	62.1	65.8	77.0	33.4	38.2	54.2	
Fertilizer									
Cost	6.53	13.60	26.30	30.31	33.91	21.20	23.61	22.21	
Price/bu	1.95	4.20	4.36	3.11	2.08	2.29	2.88	2.98	
Gross \$	105.11	204.54	270.76	204.63	160.16	76.49	110.02	161.67	
Met/Acre	98.58	190.94	244.46	174.32	126.25	55.29	86.41	139.46	73.37

Table 3. Summary data from cropping sequence study - fifteen years, five years legume, winter grain, fallow alternating. Field R-3abc at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT 1972-1978.

	1972	1973	1974	1975	19 76	1977	1978	- x	7 Yr. Ave/A	
				P	LFALFA					
Yield T/A Fertilizer	.6	2.7	4.2	3.3	3.0	.7	3.7	2.6		
Cost	7.60					15.53		3.30		
Price/Ton	25.00	45.00	40.00	45.00	50.00	50.00	45.00	42.86		
Gross \$	15.00	121.50	168.00	148.50	150.00	35.00	166.50	114.93		
Net/Acre	7.40	121.50	168.00	148.50	150.00	19.47	166.50	111.55		
					WHEAT					
Yield Bu/A Fertilizer	56.3	58.1	60.7	64.0	53.4	58.1	76.5	61.0		
Cost	13.24	26.46	26.96	30.31	30.45	21.30	26.42	25.02		
Price/bu	2.11	4.25	4.36	3.11	2.08	2.29	2.88	3.01		
Gross \$	118.79	246.93	264.65	199.04	111.07	133.05	220.32	184.84		
Net/Acre	105.55	220.47	237.69	168.73	80.62	111.75	193.90	159.82	90.46	
									THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	

VRS

Table 4. Summary data from cropping sequence study - three years, fallow, winter grain, spring grain. Field R-4abc at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT 1972-1978.

	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	ž	7 Yr. Ave/A
					BARLEY				
Yield Bu/A	60,4	42.3	42.3	35.0	76.8	45.7	53.4	50.8	
Fertilizer Cost	8.71	17.29	17.26	24.47	17.97	10.44	14.65	15.83	
Price/cwt	2.50	4.50	6.40	4.15	3.75	3.35	3.05	3.96	
Gross \$	72.47	88.51	129.95	69.72	138.24	73.49	78.18	92.94	
let/Acre	63.76	71.22	1.12.69	45.25	120.27	63.05	63.53	77.11	
					WHEAT				
Yield Bu/A	71.5	48.6	65.2	66.7	67.7	52.5	68.7	63.0	
Fertilizer	13.24	26.46	25.64	30.31	30.45	21.43	25.06	24.66	
Cost	2.11	4.25	4.36	3.11	2.08	2.29	2.88	3.01	
Price/Bu		206.55	284.27	207.44		120.23	197.85	186.86	
Gross \$ Net/Acre	150.87 137.63	180.09	258.63	177.13	110.37	98.80	172.79	162.21	79.77

Table 5. Summary data from cropping sequence study - nine years, three years legumes, winter wheat, fallow alternating. Field R-5abc, at the Northwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, MT 1972-78.

	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	ž	7 Yr. Ave/A
v la i	-				ALFALFA				
Yield T/A	3.2	.2	4.2	3.4	.7	3.7	3.8	2.7	
Fertilizer Cost		14.58			18.93			5.58	
Price/Ton	25,00	45.00	40.00	45.00	50.00	50.00	45.00	42.86	
Gross \$	80.00	9.00	168.00	153.00	35.00	185.00	171.00	114.43	
Met/Acre	80.00	- 5.58	168.00	153.00	16.07	185.00	171.00	109.64	
					WHEAT				
Yield Bu/A	62.0	41.9	39.5	56.6	48.1	50.7	30.0	47.0	
Fertilizer								34 57	
Cost	6.53	13.60	14.42	13.20	20.61		14.72	14.57	
Price/Bu	2.11	4.20	4.46	3.43	2.36		2.89	3.19	
Gross \$	130.82	175.98	176.17	194.14	113.51	145.51	86.70	146.12	
Net/Acre	124.29	162.38	161.75	180.94	92.90	129.59	71.98	131.98	80.53

Summary data from cropping sequence study - continuous cropping, legumes and small grains, winter and spring. Field R-7abc at the Morthwestern Agricultural Research Center, Kalispell, NT 1972-78.

	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	ž	7 Yr. Ave/A
				ALFALF	A				
Yield T/A Fertilizer	.7	.15	2.9	2.2	2.5	.5	3.4	1.7	
Cost		14.76				16.88		5.27	
Price/Ton	25.00	45.00	40.00	45.00	50.00	50.00	45.00		
Fross \$	17.50	6.75	116.00	99.00	125.00				
Tet/Acre	17.50	- 8.01	116.00	99.00	125.00				
			SP	RING GRA	III				
	Wheat 1/		-	rley		Wheat2/	Barley		
ield Lbs/A	1656	1752	2189	1512	3024	1794	3427	2193	
ertilizer					1200000 120000				
Cost	10.47		16.80	24.98	16.00	23.41	14.77	17.50	
rice/Bu	1.92		1 1			2.75			
rice/Cwt		4.50		4.10		4.58	3.05	4.23	
ross \$	52.99			61.99		82.22	104.53	90.58	
et/Acre	42.52	62.77	123.28	37.01	97.40	58.81	89.76	73.08	
			Ţ	Theat2/			Barley		
ield Lbs/A ertilizer	1590	1848	2436	1782	2622	1950	2914	2163	
Cost	6.53	13.60	27.18	30.31	31.08	23.41	14.34	20.92	
rice/Bu	1.90	4.20	4.46	3.55	2.36	3.07	~	20174	
rice/Cwt	3.17	7.00		5.92		5.12	3.05	5.09	
ross \$	50.35	129.36		105.44		99.78		103.29	
et/Acre	43.82	115.76	153.90	75.13	72.05	76.37	74.15	87.31	77.78

<sup>1/</sup> Spring Wheat 2/ Winter Wheat